DISCRETE MATHEMATICS

AN OPEN INTRODUCTION

Oscar Levin

2ND EDITION

Oscar Levin School of Mathematical Science University of Northern Colorado Greeley, Co 80639 oscar.levin@unco.edu http://math.oscarlevin.com/

© 2013-2017 by Oscar Levin



This work is licensed under the Creative Commons Attribution-ShareAlike 4.0 International License. To view a copy of this license, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-sa/4.0/.

2nd Edition

ISBN-10: 1534970746 ISBN-13: 978-1534970748

A current version can always be found for free at http://discretetext.oscarlevin.com/

Cover image: Tiling with Fibonacci and Pascal.

For Madeline and Teagan

Acknowledgements

This book would not exist if not for "Discrete and Combinatorial Mathematics" by Richard Grassl and Tabitha Mingus. It is the book I learned discrete math out of, and taught out of the semester before I began writing this text. I wanted to maintain the inquiry based feel of their book but update, expand and rearrange some of the material. Some of the best exposition and exercises here were graciously donated from this source.

Thanks to Alees Seehausen who co-taught the Discrete Mathematics course with me in 2015 and helped develop many of the *Investigate*! activities and other problems currently used in the text. She also offered many suggestions for improvement of the expository text, for which I am quite grateful. Thanks also to Katie Morrison and Nate Eldredge for their suggestions after using parts of this text in their class.

While odds are that there are still errors and typos in the current book, there are many fewer thanks to the work of Michelle Morgan over the summer of 2016.

The book is now available in an interactive online format, and this is entirely thanks to the work of Rob Beezer and David Farmer along with the rest of the participants of the mathbook-xml-support group. Thanks for

Finally, a thank you to the numerous students who have pointed out typos and made suggestions over the years and a thanks in advance to those who will do so in the future.

Preface

This text aims to give an introduction to select topics in discrete mathematics at a level appropriate for first or second year undergraduate math majors, especially those who intend to teach middle and high school mathematics. The book began as a set of notes for the Discrete Mathematics course at the University of Northern Colorado. This course serves both as a survey of the topics in discrete math and as the "bridge" course for math majors, as UNC does not offer a separate "introduction to proofs" course. Most students who take the course plan to teach, although there are a handful of students who will go on to graduate school or study applied math or computer science. For these students the current text hopefully is still of interest, but the intent is not to provide a solid mathematical foundation for computer science, unlike the majority of textbooks on the subject.

Another difference between this text and most other discrete math books is that this book is intended to be used in a class taught using problem oriented or inquiry based methods. When I teach the class, I will assign sections for reading *after* first introducing them in class by using a mix of group work and class discussion on a few interesting problems. The text is meant to consolidate what we *discover* in class and serve as a reference for students as they master the concepts and techniques covered in the unit. None-the-less, every attempt has been made to make the text sufficient for self study as well, in a way that hopefully mimics an inquiry based classroom.

The topics covered in this text were chosen to match the needs of the students I teach at UNC. The main areas of study are combinatorics, sequences, logic and proofs, and graph theory, in that order. Induction is covered at the end of the chapter on sequences. Most discrete books put logic first as a preliminary, which certainly has its advantages. However, I wanted to discuss logic and proofs together, and found that doing both of these before anything else was overwhelming for my students given that they didn't yet have context of other problems in the subject. Also, after spending a couple weeks on proofs, we would hardly use that at all when covering combinatorics, so much of the progress we made was quickly lost. Instead, there is a short introduction section on mathematical statements, which should provide enough common language to discuss the logical content of combinatorics and sequences.

Depending on the speed of the class, it might be possible to include additional material. In past semesters I have included generating functions (after sequences) and some basic number theory (either after the logic and proofs chapter or at the very end of the course). These additional topics are covered in the last chapter.

While I (currently) believe this selection and order of topics is optimal, you should feel free to skip around to what interests you. There are occasionally examples and exercises that rely on earlier material, but I have tried to keep these to a minimum and usually can either be skipped or understood without too much additional study. If you are an instructor, feel free to edit the LATEX or Mathbook XML source to fit your needs.

Previous and future editions

This current 2nd edition brings a few major improvements, as well as *lots* of minor corrections. The highlights include:

- Some of the material from chapter 3 (on logic) is now part of an introduction section on mathematical statements.
- Content from the section on counting functions (previously 1.7) is now integrated with the rest of chapter 1.
- To accommodate instructors, some of the solutions to exercises were removed, and the more involved "homework" problems were integrated in the main exercises. New exercises and examples were added. Currently there are about 360 exercises of which roughly 2/3 have solutions or answers.
- Behind the scenes, the source of the text transitioned from LATEX to Mathbook XML, which allows for conversion to LATEX as well as the creation of an interactive online version.

The previous *Fall 2015 edition* was essentially the first edition of the book. I had previously compiled many of the sections in a book format for easy distribution, but those were mostly just lecture notes and exercises (there was no index or Investigate problems; very little in the way of consistent formatting).

My intent is to compile a new edition prior to each fall semester which incorporate additions and corrections suggested by instructors and students who use the text the previous semesters. Thus I encourage you to send along any suggestions and comments as you have them.

Oscar Levin, Ph.D. University of Northern Colorado, 2016

How to use this book

In addition to expository text, this book has a few features designed to encourage you to interact with the mathematics.

INVESTIGATE! ACTIVITIES

Sprinkled throughout the sections (usually at the very beginning of a topic) you will find activities designed to get you acquainted with the topic soon to be discussed. These are similar (sometimes identical) to group activities I give students to introduce material. You really should spend some time thinking about, or even working through, these problems before reading the section. By priming yourself to the types of issues involved in the material you are about to read, you will better understand what is to come. There are no solutions provided for these problems, but don't worry if you can't solve them or are not confident in your answers. My hope is that you will take this frustration with you while you read the proceeding section. By the time you are done with the section, things should be much clearer.

EXAMPLES

I have tried to include the "correct" number of examples. For those examples which include *problems*, full solutions are included. Before reading the solution, try to at least have an understanding of what the problem is asking. Unlike some textbooks, the examples are not meant to be all inclusive for problems you will see in the exercises. They should not be used as a blueprint for solving other problems. Instead, use the examples to deepen our understanding of the concepts and techniques discussed in each section. Then use this understanding to solve the exercises at the end of each section.

Exercises

You get good at math through practice. Each section concludes with a small number of exercises meant to solidify concepts and basic skills presented in that section. At the end of each chapter, a larger collection of similar exercises is included (as a sort of "chapter review") which might bridge material of different sections in that chapter. Many exercise have a hint, answer or full solution (which in the pdf version of the text can be found by clicking on the exercises number—clicking on the solution number will bring you back to the exercise). Readers are encouraged to try these exercises before looking at the solution. When I teach with this book, I assign these exercises as practice and then use them, or similar problems, on quizzes and exams. There are also problems without answers to challenge yourself (or to be assigned as homework).

Contents

AcknowledgementsvPrefaceviiHow to use this bookix									
						0	Intr	oduction and Preliminaries	1
							0.1	What is Discrete Mathematics?	1
	0.2	Mathematical Statements	2						
		Atomic and Molecular Statements	3						
		Implications	4						
		Quantifiers	9						
		Exercises	10						
	0.3	Sets	12						
	0.0	Notation	12						
		Relationships Between Sets	14						
		Operations On Sets	16						
		Venn Diagrams	18						
		Fvorcises	10						
	04	Functions	20						
	0.1	Surjections Injections and Bijections	20						
			24						
		Evercises	24						
			20						
1	Counting								
	1.1	Additive and Multiplicative Principles	29						
		Counting With Sets	31						
		Principle of Inclusion/Exclusion	33						
		Exercises	35						
	1.2	Binomial Coefficients	37						
		Subsets	37						
		Bit Strings	38						
		Lattice Paths	39						
		Binomial Coefficients	40						
		Pascal's Triangle	42						
		Exercises	43						
	1.3	Combinations and Permutations	45						
		Exercises	48						
	14	Combinatorial Proofs	50						
	1.1	Patterns in Pascal's Triangle	50						
		More Proofs	54						
		Fxercises	56						
	15	Stars and Bars	57						
	1.0	Evercises	60						
	16	Advanced Counting Using PIF	62						
	1.0	Counting Derangements	65						
		Counting Functions	60						
		Evercises	70						
	17	Chapter Summary	70						
	1./	Chapter Bowiow	71						
			12						

2	Sea	vences 77
	2.1	Definitions 77
		Exercises 82
	22	Arithmetic and Geometric Sequences 83
		Sums of Arithmetic and Geometric Sequences
		Fyercises 89
	23	Polynomial Fitting 91
	2.0	Every formation from the formation of th
	2.4	Exercises
	2.4	The Characteristic Dept Technique
		Eventieses
	2 5	Exercises
	2.5	Induction
		Examples
	•	Exercises
	2.6	Chapter Summary
		Chapter Review
2	C	halis Logis and Droofs 112
3	2 1	Drongsitional Lagia
	5.1	Truth Tables 114
		Logical Equivalence
		Deductions
	2.2	Exercises
	3.2	Proofs
		Direct Proof
		Proof by (counter) Example
		Proof by Cases
	2.2	Exercises
	3.3	Chapter Summary
		Chapter Review
1	Cra	nh Theory 125
T	01aj	Definitions 135
	4.1	Evereises 144
	12	Planar Craphs 145
	4.4	Non planar Graphs
		Polyhodra 149
		Evereises 150
	12	Coloring 151
	4.5	Coloring in Conorpl 152
	1 1	Exercises
	4.4	Euler raus and Circuits
	4 -	Exercises
	4.5	Watching in Bipartite Graphs 162 Eventions 164
	1.0	Exercises
	4.6	Chapter Summary
		Chapter Keview

227

5	Additional Topics	169
	5.1 Generating Functions	169
	Building Generating Functions	170
	Differencing	171
	Multiplication and Partial Sums	173
	Solving Recurrence Relations with Generating Functions	174
	Exercises	174
	5.2 Introduction to Number Theory	176
	Divisibility	176
	Remainder Classes	178
	Properties of Congruence	180
	Solving Congruences	183
	Solving Linear Diophantine Equations	185
	Exercises	187
A	Selected Solutions	189
В	List of Symbols	225

Index

xiv Contents

INTRODUCTION AND PRELIMINARIES

Welcome to Discrete Mathematics. If this is your first time encountering the subject, you will probably find discrete mathematics quite different from other math subjects. You might not even know what discrete math is! Hopefully this short introduction will shed some light on what the subject is about and what you can expect as you move forward in your studies.

0.1 What is Discrete Mathematics?

dis·crete / dis'krët.

Adjective: Individually separate and distinct.

Synonyms: separate - detached - distinct - abstract.

Defining *discrete mathematics* is hard because defining *mathematics* is hard. What is mathematics? The study of numbers? In part, but you also study functions and lines and triangles and parallelepipeds and vectors and Or perhaps you want to say that mathematics is a collection of tools that allow you to solve problems. What sort of problems? Okay, those that involve numbers, functions, lines, triangles, Whatever your conception of what mathematics is, try applying the concept of "discrete" to it, as defined above. Some math fundamentally deals with *stuff* that is individually separate and distinct.

In an algebra or calculus class, you might have found a particular set of numbers (maybe the set of numbers in the range of a function). You would represent this set as an interval: $[0, \infty)$ is the range of $f(x) = x^2$ since the set of outputs of the function are all real numbers 0 and greater. This set of numbers is NOT discrete. The numbers in the set are not separated by much at all. In fact, take any two numbers in the set and there are infinitely many more between them which are also in the set. Discrete math could still ask about the range of a function, but the set would not be an interval. Consider the function which gives the number of children of each person reading this. What is the range? I'm guessing it is something like $\{0, 1, 2, 3\}$. Maybe 4 is in there too. But certainly there is nobody reading this that has 1.32419 children. This set *is* discrete because the elements are separate. Also notice that the inputs to the function are a discrete set as each input is an individual person. You would not consider fractional inputs (we don't care about anything 2/3 between a pair of readers).

One way to get a feel for the subject is to consider the types of problems you solve in discrete math. Here are a few simple examples:

Investigate!

Note: Throughout the text you will see Investigate! activities like this one. Answer the questions in these as best you can to give yourself a feel for what is coming next.

- 1. The most popular mathematician in the world is throwing a party for all of his friends. As a way to kick things off, they decide that everyone should shake hands. Assuming all 10 people at the party each shake hands with every other person (but not themselves, obviously) exactly once, how many handshakes take place?
- 2. At the warm-up event for Oscar's All Star Hot Dog Eating Contest, Al ate one hot dog. Bob then showed him up by eating three hot dogs. Not to be outdone, Carl ate five. This continued with each contestant eating two more hot dogs than the previous contestant. How many hot dogs did Zeno (the 26th and final contestant) eat? How many hot dogs were eaten all together?

3. After excavating for weeks, you finally arrive at the burial chamber. The room is empty except for two large chests. On each is carved a message (strangely in English):

If this chest is empty, then the other chest's message is true. This chest is filled with treasure or the other chest contains deadly scorpions.

You know exactly one of these messages is true. What should you do?

4. Back in the days of yore, five small towns decided they wanted to build roads directly connecting each pair of towns. While the towns had plenty of money to build roads as long and as winding as they wished, it was very important that the roads not intersect with each other (as stop signs had not yet been invented). Also, tunnels and bridges were not allowed. Is it possible for each of these towns to build a road to each of the four other towns without creating any intersections?



One reason it is difficult to define discrete math is that it is a very broad description which encapsulates a large number of subjects. In this course we will study four main topics: **combinatorics** (the theory of ways things *combine*; in particular, how to count these ways), **sequences**, **symbolic logic**, and **graph theory**. However, there are other topics that belong under the discrete umbrella, including computer science, abstract algebra, number theory, game theory, probability, and geometry (some of these, particularly the last two, have both discrete and non-discrete variants).

Ultimately the best way to learn what discrete math is about is to *do* it. Let's get started! Before we can begin answering more complicated (and fun) problems, we must lay down some foundation. We start by reviewing mathematical statements, sets, and functions in the framework of discrete mathematics.

0.2 MATHEMATICAL STATEMENTS

Investigate!

While walking through a fictional forest, you encounter three trolls guarding a bridge. Each is either a *knight*, who always tells the truth, or a *knave*, who always lies. The trolls will not let you pass until you correctly identify each as either a knight or a knave. Each troll makes a single statement:

Troll 1: If I am a knave, then there are exactly two knights here.

Troll 2: Troll 1 is lying.

Troll 3: Either we are all knaves or at least one of us is a knight.

Which troll is which?



In order to *do* mathematics, we must be able to *talk* and *write* about mathematics. Perhaps your experience with mathematics so far has mostly involved finding answers to problems. As we embark towards more advanced and abstract mathematics, writing will play a more prominent role in the mathematical process.

Communication in mathematics requires more precision than many other subjects, and thus we should take a few pages here to consider the basic building blocks: *mathematical statements*.

ATOMIC AND MOLECULAR STATEMENTS

A **statement** is any declarative sentence which is either true or false. A statement is **atomic** if it cannot be divided into smaller statements, otherwise it is called **molecular**.

Example 0.2.1

These are statements (in fact, *atomic* statements):

- Telephone numbers in the USA have 10 digits.
- The moon is made of cheese.
- 42 is a perfect square.
- Every even number greater than 2 can be expressed as the sum of two primes.
- 3 + 7 = 12

And these are not statements:

- Would you like some cake?
- The sum of two squares.
- $1 + 3 + 5 + 7 + \dots + 2n + 1$.
- Go to your room!
- 3 + x = 12

The reason the last sentence is not a statement is because it contains a variable. Depending on what *x* is, the sentence is either true or false, but right now it is neither. One way to make the sentence into a statement is to specify the value of the variable in some way. This could be done in a number of ways. For example, "3 + x = 12 where x = 9" is a true statement, as is "3 + x = 12 for some value of x". This is an example of *quantifying* over a variable, which we will discuss more in a bit.

You can build more complicated (molecular) statements out of simpler (atomic or molecular) ones using **logical connectives**. For example, this is a molecular statement:

Telephone numbers in the USA have 10 digits and 42 is a perfect square.

Note that we can break this down into two smaller statements. The two shorter statements are *connected* by an "and." We will consider 5 connectives: "and" (Sam is a man and Chris is a woman), "or" (Sam is a man or Chris is a woman), "if..., then..." (if Sam is a man, then Chris is a woman), "if and only if" (Sam is a man if and only if Chris is a woman), and "not" (Sam is not a man). The first four are called **binary connectives** (because they connect two statements) while "not" is an example of a **unary connective** (since it applies to a single statement).

Which connective we use to modify statement(s) will determine the **truth value** of the molecular statement (that is, whether the statement is true or false), based on the truth values of the statements being modified. It is important to realize that we do not need to know what the parts actually say, only whether those parts are true or false. So to analyze logical connectives, it is enough to consider **propositional variables** (sometimes called *sentential* variables), usually capital letters in the middle of the alphabet: P, Q, R, S, \ldots These are variables that can take on one of two values: T or F. We also have symbols for the logical connectives: $\land, \lor, \rightarrow, \leftrightarrow, \neg$.

Logical Connectives

- $P \land Q$ means *P* and *Q*, called a **conjunction**.
- $P \lor Q$ means *P* or *Q*, called a **disjunction**.
- $P \rightarrow Q$ means if *P* then *Q*, called an **implication** or **conditional**.

- *P* ↔ *Q* means *P* if and only if *Q*, called a **biconditional**.
- $\neg P$ means not *P*, called a **negation**.

The **truth value** of a statement is determined by the truth value(s) of its part(s), depending on the connectives:

Truth Conditions for Connectives

- $P \land Q$ is true when both *P* and *Q* are true
- $P \lor Q$ is true when *P* or *Q* or both are true.
- $P \rightarrow Q$ is true when *P* is false or *Q* is true or both.
- $P \leftrightarrow Q$ is true when *P* and *Q* are both true, or both false.
- $\neg P$ is true when *P* is false.

Note that for us, *or* is the **inclusive or** (and not the sometimes used *exclusive or*) meaning that $P \lor Q$ is in fact true when both *P* and *Q* are true. As for the other connectives, "and" behaves as you would expect, as does negation. The biconditional (if and only if) might seem a little strange, but you should think of this as saying the two parts of the statements are *equivalent*. This leaves only the conditional $P \rightarrow Q$ which has a slightly different meaning in mathematics than it does in ordinary usage. However, implications are so common and useful in mathematics, that we must develop fluency with their use, and as such, they deserve their own subsection.

IMPLICATIONS

Implications

An **implication** or **conditional** is a molecular statement of the form

 $P \rightarrow Q$

where *P* and *Q* are statements. We say that

- *P* is the **hypothesis** (or **antecedent**).
- *Q* is the **conclusion** (or **consequent**).

An implication is *true* provided *P* is false or *Q* is true (or both), and *false* otherwise. In particular, the only way for $P \rightarrow Q$ to be false is for *P* to be true *and Q* to be false.

Easily the most common type of statement in mathematics is the conditional, or implication. Even statements that do not at first look like they have this form conceal an implication at their heart. Consider the *Pythagorean Theorem*. Many a college freshman would quote this theorem as " $a^2 + b^2 = c^2$." This is absolutely not correct. For one thing, that is not a statement since it has three variables in it. Perhaps they imply that this should be true for any values of the variables? So $1^2 + 5^2 = 2^2$?? How can we fix this? Well, the equation is true as long as *a* and *b* are the legs or a right triangle and *c* is the hypotenuse. In other words:

If *a* and *b* are the legs of a right triangle with hypotenuse *c*, then $a^2 + b^2 = c^2$.

This is a reasonable way to think about implications: our claim is that the conclusion ("then" part) is true, but on the assumption that the hypothesis ("if" part) is true. We make no claim about the conclusion in situations when the hypothesis is false.

Still, it is important to remember that an implication is a statement, and therefore is either true or false. The truth value of the implication is determined by the truth values of its two parts. To agree with the usage above, we say that an implication is true either when the hypothesis is false, or when the conclusion is true. This leaves only one way for an implication to be false: when the hypothesis is true and the conclusion is false.

Example 0.2.2

Consider the statement:

If Bob gets a 90 on the final, then Bob will pass the class.

This is definitely an implication: *P* is the statement "Bob gets a 90 on the final," and *Q* is the statement "Bob will pass the class."

Suppose I made that statement to Bob. In what circumstances would it be fair to call me a liar? What if Bob really did get a 90 on the final, and he did pass the class? Then I have not lied; my statement is true. However, if Bob did get a 90 on the final and did not pass the class, then I lied, making the statement false. The tricky case is this: what if Bob did not get a 90 on the final? Maybe he passes the class, maybe he doesn't. Did I lie in either case? I think not. In these last two cases, *P* was false, and the statement *P* \rightarrow *Q* was true. In the first case, *Q* was true, and so was *P* \rightarrow *Q*. So $P \rightarrow Q$ is true when either *P* is false or *Q* is true.

Just to be clear, although we sometimes read $P \rightarrow Q$ as "*P implies* Q", we are not insisting that there is some causal relationship between the statements *P* and *Q*. In particular, if you claim that $P \rightarrow Q$ is *false*, you are not saying that *P* does not imply *Q*, but rather that *P* is true and *Q* is false.

Example 0.2.3

Decide which of the following statements are true and which are false. Briefly explain.

1. $0 = 1 \rightarrow 1 = 1$

2. $1 = 1 \rightarrow \text{most horses have 4 legs}$

3. If 8 is a prime number, then the 7624th digit of π is an 8.

4. If the 7624th digit of π is an 8, then 2 + 2 = 4

Solution. All four of the statements are true. Remember, the only way for an implication to be false is for the *if* part to be true and the *then* part to be false.

- 1. Here the hypothesis is false and the conclusion is true, so the implication is true.
- 2. Here both the hypothesis and the conclusion is true, so the implication is true. It does not matter that there is no meaningful connection between the true mathematical fact and the fact about horses.
- 3. I have no idea what the 7624th digit of π is, but this does not matter. Since the hypothesis is false, the implication is automatically true.
- 4. Similarly here, regardless of the truth value of the hypothesis, the conclusion is true, making the implication true.

It is important to understand the conditions under which an implication is true not only to decide whether a mathematical statement is true, but in order to *prove* that it is. Proofs might seem scary (especially if you have had a bad high school geometry experience) but all we are really doing is explaining (very carefully) why a statement is true. If you understand the truth conditions for an implication, you already have the outline for a proof.

Direct Proofs of Implications

To prove an implication $P \rightarrow Q$, it is enough to assume *P*, and from it, deduce *Q*.

There are other techniques to prove statements (implications and others) that we will encounter throughout our studies, and new proof techniques are discovered all the time. Direct proof is the easiest and most elegant style of proof and has the advantage that such a proof often does a great job of explaining *why* the statement is true.

Example 0.2.4

Prove: If two numbers *a* and *b* are even, then their sum a + b is even.

Solution. Suppose the numbers *a* and *b* are even. This means that a = 2k and b = 2j for some integers *k* and *j*. The sum is then a + b = 2k + 2j = 2(k + j). Since k + j is an integer, this means that a + b is even.

Notice that since we get to assume the hypothesis of the implication we immediately have a place to start. The proof proceeds essentially by repeatedly asking and answering, "what does that mean?"

This sort of argument shows up outside of math as well. If you ever found yourself starting an argument with "hypothetically, let's assume," then you have attempted a direct proof of your desired conclusion.

Since implications are so prevalent in mathematics, we have some special language to help discuss them:

Converse and Contrapositive

- The **converse** of an implication *P* → *Q* is the implication *Q* → *P*. The converse is NOT logically equivalent to the original implication. That is, whether the converse of an implication is true is independent of the truth of the implication.
- The **contrapositive** of an implication $P \rightarrow Q$ is the statement $\neg Q \rightarrow \neg P$. An implication and its contrapositive are logically equivalent (they are either both true or both false).

Mathematics is overflowing with examples of true implications with a false converse. If a number greater than 2 is prime, then that number is odd. However, just because a number is odd does not mean it is prime. If a shape is a square, then it is a rectangle. But it is false that if a shape is a rectangle, then it is a square. While this happens often, it does not always happen. For example, the Pythagorean theorem has a true converse: if $a^2 + b^2 = c^2$, then the triangle with sides *a*, *b*, and *c* is a *right* triangle. Whenever you encounter an implication in mathematics, it is always reasonable to ask whether the converse is true.

The contrapositive, on the other hand, always has the same truth value as its original implication. This can be very helpful in deciding whether an implication is true: often it is easier to analyze the contrapositive.

Example 0.2.5

True or false: If you draw any nine playing cards from a regular deck, then you will have at least three cards all of the same suit. Is the converse true?

Solution. True. The original implication is a little hard to analyze because there are so many different combinations of nine cards. But consider the contrapositive: If you *don't* have at least three cards all of the same suit, then you don't have nine cards. It is easy to see why this is true: you can at most have two cards of each of the four suits, for a total of eight cards (or fewer).

The converse: If you have at least three cards all of the same suit, then you have nine cards. This is false. You could have three spades and nothing else. Note that to demonstrate that the converse (an implication) is false, we provided an example where the hypothesis is true (you do have three cards of the same suit), but where the conclusion is false (you do not have nine cards).

Understanding converses and contrapositives can help understand implications and their truth values:

Example 0.2.6

Suppose I tell Sue that if she gets a 93% on her final, then she will get an A in the class. Assuming that what I said is true, what can you conclude in the following cases:

- 1. Sue gets a 93% on her final.
- 2. Sue gets an A in the class.
- 3. Sue does not get a 93% on her final.
- 4. Sue does not get an A in the class.

Solution. Note first that whenever $P \rightarrow Q$ and P are both true statements, Q must be true as well. For this problem, take P to mean "Sue gets a 93% on her final" and Q to mean "Sue will get an A in the class."

- 1. We have $P \rightarrow Q$ and P, so Q follows. Sue gets an A.
- 2. You cannot conclude anything. Sue could have gotten the A because she did extra credit for example. Notice that we do not know that if Sue gets an *A*, then she gets a 93% on her final. That is the converse of the original implication, so it might or might not be true.
- 3. The contrapositive of the converse of $P \rightarrow Q$ is $\neg P \rightarrow \neg Q$, which states that if Sue does not get a 93% on the final, then she will not get an A in the class. But this does not follow from the original implication. Again, we can conclude nothing. Sue could have done extra credit.
- 4. What would happen if Sue does not get an A but *did* get a 93% on the final? Then *P* would be true and *Q* would be false. This makes the implication $P \rightarrow Q$ false! It must be that Sue did not get a 93% on the final. Notice now we have the implication $\neg Q \rightarrow \neg P$ which is the contrapositive of $P \rightarrow Q$. Since $P \rightarrow Q$ is assumed to be true, we know $\neg Q \rightarrow \neg P$ is true as well.

As we said above, an implication is not logically equivalent to its converse, but it is possible that both are true. In this case, when both $P \rightarrow Q$ and $Q \rightarrow P$ are true, we say that P and Q are equivalent. This is the biconditional we mentioned earlier:

If and only if

 $P \leftrightarrow Q$ is logically equivalent to $(P \rightarrow Q) \land (Q \rightarrow P)$. Example: Given an integer *n*, it is true that *n* is even if and only if n^2 is even. That is, if *n* is even, then n^2 is even, as well as the converse: if n^2 is even, then *n* is even.

You can think of "if and only if" statements as having two parts: an implication and its converse. We might say one is the "if" part, and the other is the "only if" part. We also sometimes say that "if and only if" statements have two directions: a forward direction $(P \rightarrow Q)$ and a backwards direction $(P \leftarrow Q)$, which is really just sloppy notation for $Q \rightarrow P$).

Let's think a little about which part is which. Is $P \rightarrow Q$ the "if" part or the "only if" part? Perhaps we should look at an example:

Example 0.2.7

Suppose it is true that I sing if and only if I'm in the shower. We know this means both that if I sing, then I'm in the shower, and also the converse, that if I'm in the shower, then I sing. Let *P* be the statement, "I sing," and *Q* be, "I'm in the shower." So $P \rightarrow Q$ is the statement "if I sing, then I'm in the shower." Which part of the if and only if statement is this?

What we are really asking is what is the meaning of "I sing if I'm in the shower" and "I sing only if I'm in the shower." When is the first one (the "if" part) *false*? When I am in the shower but not singing. That is the same condition on being false as the statement "if I'm in the shower, then

I sing." So the "if" part is $Q \to P$. On the other hand, to say, "I sing only if I'm in the shower" is equivalent to saying "if I sing, then I'm in the shower," so the "only if" part is $P \to Q$.

It is not terribly important to know which part is the "if" or "only if" part, but this does get at something very, very important: *there are many ways to state an implication*! The problem is, since these are all different ways of saying the same implication, we cannot use truth tables to analyze the situation. Instead, we just need good English skills.

Example 0.2.8

Rephrase the implication, "if I dream, then I am asleep" in as many different ways as possible. Then do the same for the converse.

Solution. The following are all equivalent to the original implication:

- 1. I am asleep if I dream.
- 2. I dream only if I am asleep.
- 3. In order to dream, I must be asleep.
- 4. To dream, it is necessary that I am asleep.
- 5. To be asleep, it is sufficient to dream.
- 6. I am not dreaming unless I am asleep.

The following are equivalent to the converse (if I am asleep, then I dream):

- 1. I dream if I am asleep.
- 2. I am asleep only if I dream.
- 3. It is necessary that I dream in order to be asleep.
- 4. It is sufficient that I be asleep in order to dream.
- 5. If I don't dream, then I'm not asleep.

Hopefully you agree with the above example. We include the "necessary and sufficient" versions because those are common when discussing mathematics. In fact, let's agree once and for all what they mean:

Necessary and Sufficient

- "*P* is necessary for Q" means $Q \rightarrow P$.
- "*P* is sufficient for Q" means $P \rightarrow Q$.
- If *P* is necessary and sufficient for *Q*, then $P \leftrightarrow Q$.

To be honest, I have trouble with these if I'm not very careful. I find it helps to have an example in mind:

Example 0.2.9

Recall from calculus, if a function is differentiable at a point *c*, then it is continuous at *c*, but that the converse of this statement is not true (for example, f(x) = |x| at the point 0). Restate this fact using "necessary and sufficient" language.

Solution. It is true that in order for a function to be differentiable at a point c, it is necessary for the function to be continuous at c. However, it is not necessary that a function be differentiable at c for it to be continuous at c.

It is true that to be continuous at a point c, it is sufficient that the function be differentiable at c. However, it is not the case that being continuous at c is sufficient for a function to be differentiable at c.

Thinking about the necessity and sufficiency of conditions can also help when writing proofs and justifying conclusions. If you want to establish some mathematical fact, it is helpful to think what other facts would *be enough* (be sufficient) to prove your fact. If you have an assumption, think about what must also be necessary if that hypothesis is true.

QUANTIFIERS

Investigate!

Consider the statement below. Decide whether any are equivalent to each other, or whether any imply any others.

- 1. You can fool some people all of the time.
- 2. You can fool everyone some of the time.
- 3. You can always fool some people.
- 4. Sometimes you can fool everyone.

Attempt the above activity before proceeding

It would be nice to use variables in our mathematical sentences. For example, suppose we wanted to claim that if n is prime, then n + 7 is not prime. This looks like an implication. I would like to write something like

$$P(n) \rightarrow \neg P(n+7)$$

where P(n) means "*n* is prime." But this is not quite right. For one thing, because this sentence has a **free variable** (that is, a variable that we have not specified anything about), it is not a statement. Now, if we plug in a specific value for *n*, we do get a statement. In fact, it turns out that no matter what value we plug in for *n*, we get a true implication. What we really want to say is that *for all* values of *n*, if *n* is prime, then n + 7 is not. We need to *quantify* the variable.

Although there are many types of *quantifiers* in English (e.g., many, few, most, etc.) in mathematics we, for the most part, stick to two: existential and universal.

Universal and Existential Quantifiers

The existential quantifier is \exists and is read "there exists" or "there is." For example,

 $\exists x(x < 0)$

asserts that there is a number less than 0.

The universal quantifier is \forall and is read "for all" or "every." For example,

 $\forall x (x \ge 0)$

asserts that every number is greater than or equal to 0.

As with all mathematical statements, we would like to decide whether quantified statements are true or false. Consider the statement

 $\forall x \exists y (y < x).$

You would read this, "for every x there is some y such that y is less than x." Is this true? The answer depends on what our *domain of discourse* is: when we say "for all" x, do we mean all positive integers or all

real numbers or all elements of some other set? Usually this information is implied. In discrete mathematics, we almost always quantify over the *natural numbers*, 0, 1, 2, , so let's take that for our domain of discourse here.

For the statement to be true, we need it to be the case that no matter what natural number we select, there is always some natural number that is strictly smaller. Perhaps we could let y be x - 1? But here is the problem: what if x = 0? Then y = -1 and that is *not a number*! (in our domain of discourse). Thus we see that the statement is false because there is a number which is less than or equal to all other numbers. In symbols,

$$\exists x \forall y (y \ge x).$$

To show that the original statement is false, we proved that the *negation* was true. Notice how the negation and original statement compare. This is typical.

Quantifiers and Negation

 $\neg \forall x P(x)$ is equivalent to $\exists x \neg P(x)$. $\neg \exists x P(x)$ is equivalent to $\forall x \neg P(x)$.

Essentially, we can pass the negation symbol over a quantifier, but that causes the quantifier to switch type. This should not be surprising: if not everything has a property, then something doesn't have that property. And if there is not something with a property, then everything doesn't have that property.

Exercises

1. Classify each of the sentences below as an atomic statement, and molecular statement, or not a statement at all. If the statement is molecular, say what kind it is (conjuction, disjunction, conditional, biconditional, negation).

- (a) The sum of the first 100 odd positive integers.
- (b) Everybody needs somebody sometime.
- (c) The Broncos will win the Super Bowl or I'll eat my hat.
- (d) We can have donuts for dinner, but only if it rains.
- (e) Every natural number greater than 1 is either prime or composite.
- (f) This sentence is false.

2. Suppose *P* and *Q* are the statements: *P*: Jack passed math. *Q*: Jill passed math.

- (a) Translate "Jack and Jill both passed math" into symbols.
- (b) Translate "If Jack passed math, then Jill did not" into symbols.
- (c) Translate " $P \lor Q$ " into English.
- (d) Translate " $\neg (P \land Q) \rightarrow Q$ " into English.
- (e) Suppose you know that if Jack passed math, then so did Jill. What can you conclude if you know that:
 - i. Jill passed math?
 - ii. Jill did not pass math?

3. Geoff Poshingten is out at a fancy pizza joint, and decides to order a calzone. When the waiter asks what he would like in it, he replies, "I want either pepperoni or sausage. Also, if I have sausage, then I must also include quail. Oh, and if I have pepperoni or quail then I must also have ricotta cheese."

- (a) Translate Geoff's order into logical symbols.
- (b) The waiter knows that Geoff is either a liar or a truth-teller (so either everything he says is false, or everything is true). Which is it?
- (c) What, if anything, can the waiter conclude about the ingredients in Geoff's desired calzone?

- 4. Consider the statement "If Oscar eats Chinese food, then he drinks milk."
- (a) Write the converse of the statement.
- (b) Write the contrapositive of the statement.
- (c) Is it possible for the contrapositive to be false? If it was, what would that tell you?
- (d) Suppose the original statement is true, and that Oscar drinks milk. Can you conclude anything (about his eating Chinese food)? Explain.
- (e) Suppose the original statement is true, and that Oscar does not drink milk. Can you conclude anything (about his eating Chinese food)? Explain.

5. Which of the following statements are equivalent to the implication, "if you win the lottery, then you will be rich," and which are equivalent to the converse of the implication?

- (a) Either you win the lottery or else you are not rich.
- (b) Either you don't win the lottery or else you are rich.
- (c) You will win the lottery and be rich.
- (d) You will be rich if you win the lottery.
- (e) You will win the lottery if you are rich.
- (f) It is necessary for you to win the lottery to be rich.
- (g) It is sufficient to win the lottery to be rich.
- (h) You will be rich only if you win the lottery.
- (i) Unless you win the lottery, you won't be rich.
- (j) If you are rich, you must have won the lottery.
- (k) If you are not rich, then you did not win the lottery.
- (l) You will win the lottery if and only if you are rich.

6. Consider the implication, "if you clean your room, then you can watch TV." Rephrase the implication in as many ways as possible. Then do the same for the converse.

Hint. Of course there are many answers. It helps to assume that the statement is true and the converse is *note* true. Think about what that means in the real world and then start saying it in different ways. Some ideas: Use "necessary and sufficient" language, use "only if," consider negations, use "or else" language.

7. Translate into symbols. Use E(x) for "*x* is even" and O(x) for "*x* is odd."

- (a) No number is both even and odd.
- (b) One more than any even number is an odd number.
- (c) There is prime number that is even.
- (d) Between any two numbers there is a third number.
- (e) There is no number between a number and one more than that number.

8. Translate into English:

- (a) $\forall x(E(x) \rightarrow E(x+2)).$
- (b) $\forall x \exists y(\sin(x) = y)$.
- (c) $\forall y \exists x (\sin(x) = y)$.
- (d) $\forall x \forall y (x^3 = y^3 \rightarrow x = y).$

9. Suppose P(x) is some predicate for which the statement $\forall x P(x)$ is true. Is it also the case that $\exists x P(x)$ is true? In other words, is the statement $\forall x P(x) \rightarrow \exists x P(x)$ always true? Is the converse always true? Explain.

10. For each of the statements below, give a domain of discourse for which the statement is true, and a domain for which the statement is false.

(a)
$$\forall x \exists y(y^2 = x)$$
.

(b)
$$\forall x \forall y \exists z (x < z < y).$$

(c) $\exists x \forall y \forall z (y < z \rightarrow y \le x \le z)$ Hint: domains need not be infinite.

0.3 Sets

The most fundamental objects we will use in our studies (and really in all of math) are *sets*. Much of what follows might be review, but it is very important that you are fluent in the language of set theory. Most of the notation we use below is standard, although some might be a little different than what you have seen before.

For us, a **set** will simply be an unordered collection of objects. Two examples: we could consider the set of all actors who have played *The Doctor* on *Doctor Who*, or the set of natural numbers between 1 and 10 inclusive. In the first case, Tom Baker is a element (or member) of the set, while Idris Elba, among many others, is not an element of the set. Also, the two examples are of different sets. Two sets are equal exactly if they contain the exact same elements. For example, the set containing all of the vowels in the declaration of independence is precisely the same set as the set of vowels in the word "questionably" (namely, all of them); we do not care about order or repetitions, just whether the element is in the set or not.

NOTATION

We need some notation to make talking about sets easier. Consider,

$$A = \{1, 2, 3\}.$$

This is read, "*A* is the set containing the elements 1, 2 and 3." We use curly braces " $\{, \}$ " to enclose elements of a set. Some more notation:

$$a \in \{a, b, c\}.$$

The symbol " \in " is read "is in" or "is an element of." Thus the above means that *a* is an element of the set containing the letters *a*, *b*, and *c*. Note that this is a true statement. It would also be true to say that *d* is not in that set:

$$d \notin \{a, b, c\}.$$

Be warned: we write " $x \in A$ " when we wish to express that one of the elements of the set *A* is *x*. For example, consider the set,

$$A = \{1, b, \{x, y, z\}, \emptyset\}.$$

This is a strange set, to be sure. It contains four elements: the number 1, the letter b, the set $\{x, y, z\}$, and the empty set ($\emptyset = \{\}$, the set containing no elements). Is x in A? The answer is no. None of the four elements in A are the letter x, so we must conclude that $x \notin A$. Similarly, consider the set $B = \{1, b\}$. Even though the elements of B are elements of A, we cannot say that the *set* B is one of the elements of A. Therefore $B \notin A$. (Soon we will see that B is a *subset* of A, but this is different from being an *element* of A.)

We have described the sets above by listing their elements. Sometimes this is hard to do, especially when there are a lot of elements in the set (perhaps infinitely many). For instance, if we want *A* to be the set of all even natural numbers, would could write,

$$A = \{0, 2, 4, 6, \ldots\},\$$

but this is a little imprecise. A better way would be

$$A = \{ x \in \mathbb{N} : \exists n \in \mathbb{N} (x = 2n) \}.$$

Breaking that down: " $x \in \mathbb{N}$ " means x is in the set \mathbb{N} (the set of natural numbers, $\{0, 1, 2, ...\}$), ":" is read "such that" and " $\exists n \in \mathbb{N}(x = 2n)$ " is read "there exists an n in the natural numbers for which x is two times n" (in other words, x is even). Slightly easier might be,

$$A = \{x : x \text{ is even}\}.$$

Note: Sometimes people use | or \ni for the "such that" symbol instead of the colon.

Defining a set using this sort of notation is very useful, although it takes some practice to read them correctly. It is a way to describe the set of all things that satisfy some condition (the condition is the logical statement after the ":" symbol). Here are some more examples:

Example 0.3.1

Describe each of the following sets both in words and by listing out enough elements to see the pattern.

- 1. $\{x : x + 3 \in \mathbb{N}\}.$
- 2. $\{x \in \mathbb{N} : x + 3 \in \mathbb{N}\}.$
- 3. $\{x : x \in \mathbb{N} \lor -x \in \mathbb{N}\}.$
- 4. $\{x : x \in \mathbb{N} \land -x \in \mathbb{N}\}.$

Solution.

- 1. This is the set of all numbers which are 3 less than a natural number (i.e., that if you add 3 to them, you get a natural number). The set could also be written as $\{-3, -2, -1, 0, 1, 2, ...\}$ (note that 0 is a natural number, so -3 is in this set because -3 + 3 = 0).
- 2. This is the set of all natural numbers which are 3 less than a natural number. So here we just have {0,1,2,3...}.
- 3. This is the set of all integers (positive and negative whole numbers, written \mathbb{Z}). In other words, $\{\ldots, -2, -1, 0, 1, 2, \ldots\}$.
- 4. Here we want all numbers x such that x and -x are natural numbers. There is only one: 0. So we have the set $\{0\}$.

We already have a lot of notation, and there is more yet. Below is a handy chart of symbols. Some of these will be discussed in greater detail as we move forward.

Special sets

- \emptyset The **empty set** is the set which contains no elements.
- \mathcal{U} The **universe set** is the set of all elements.
- ℕ The set of natural numbers. That is, $ℕ = \{0, 1, 2, 3...\}$.
- Z The set of integers. That is, $\mathbb{Z} = \{..., -2, -1, 0, 1, 2, 3, ...\}$.
- Q The set of rational numbers.
- \mathbb{R} The set of real numbers.
- $\mathcal{P}(A)$ The **power set** of any set *A* is the set of all subsets of *A*.

Set Theory Notation

- {,} We use these **braces** to enclose the elements of a set. So {1, 2, 3} is the set containing 1, 2, and 3.
- : $\{x : x > 2\}$ is the set of all x such that x is greater than 2.
- \in 2 \in {1, 2, 3} asserts that 2 is **an element of** the set {1, 2, 3}.
- \notin 4 \notin {1,2,3} because 4 is not an element of the set {1,2,3}.
- \subseteq *A* \subseteq *B* asserts that *A* is a subset of *B*: every element of *A* is also an element of *B*.
- \subset *A* \subset *B* asserts that *A* **is a proper subset of** *B*: every element of *A* is also an element of *B*, but *A* \neq *B*.

- \cap *A* \cap *B* is the **intersection of** *A* **and** *B*: the set containing all elements which are elements of both *A* and *B*.
- \cup *A* \cup *B* is the **union of** *A* **and** *B*: is the set containing all elements which are elements of *A* or *B* or both.
- × $A \times B$ is the **Cartesian product of** *A* **and** *B*: the set of all ordered pairs (*a*, *b*) with $a \in A$ and $b \in B$.
- \land A \land B is A **set-minus** B: the set containing all elements of A which are not elements of B.
- \overline{A} The **complement of** *A* is the set of everything which is not an element of *A*.
- |*A*| The **cardinality (or size) of** *A* is the number of elements in *A*.

Investigate!

- 1. Find the cardinality of each set below.
 - (a) $A = \{3, 4, \dots, 15\}.$
 - (b) $B = \{n \in \mathbb{N} : 2 < n \le 200\}.$
 - (c) $C = \{n \le 100 : n \in \mathbb{N} \land \exists m \in \mathbb{N} (n = 2m + 1)\}.$
- 2. Find two sets *A* and *B* for which |A| = 5, |B| = 6, and $|A \cup B| = 9$. What is $|A \cap B|$?
- 3. Find sets A and B with |A| = |B| such that $|A \cup B| = 7$ and $|A \cap B| = 3$. What is |A|?
- 4. Let $A = \{1, 2, ..., 10\}$. Define $\mathcal{B}_2 = \{B \subseteq A : |B| = 2\}$. Find $|\mathcal{B}_2|$.
- 5. For any sets *A* and *B*, define $AB = \{ab : a \in A \land b \in B\}$. If $A = \{1, 2\}$ and $B = \{2, 3, 4\}$, what is |AB|? What is $|A \times B|$?

Attempt the above activity before proceeding

Relationships Between Sets

We have already said what it means for two sets to be equal: they have exactly the same elements. Thus, for example,

$$\{1, 2, 3\} = \{2, 1, 3\}.$$

(Remember, the order the elements are written down in does not matter.) Also,

$$\{1, 2, 3\} = \{1, 1 + 1, 1 + 1 + 1\} = \{I, II, III\}$$

since these are all ways to write the set containing the first three positive integers (how we write them doesn't matter, just what they are).

What about the sets $A = \{1, 2, 3\}$ and $B = \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$? Clearly $A \neq B$, but notice that every element of A is also an element of B. Because of this we say that A is a *subset* of B, or in symbols $A \subset B$ or $A \subseteq B$. Both symbols are read "is a subset of." The difference is that sometimes we want to say that A is either equal to or is a subset of B, in which case we use \subseteq . This is analogous to the difference between < and \leq .

Example 0.3.2

Let $A = \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6\}$, $B = \{2, 4, 6\}$, $C = \{1, 2, 3\}$ and $D = \{7, 8, 9\}$. Determine which of the following are true, false, or meaningless.

1.
$$A \subset B$$
.

- 2. $B \subset A$.
- 3. $B \in C$.
- 4. $\emptyset \in A$.
- 5. $\emptyset \subset A$.
- 6. A < D.
- 7. $3 \in C$.
- 8. $3 \subset C$.
- 9. $\{3\} \subset C$.

Solution.

- 1. False. For example, $1 \in A$ but $1 \notin B$.
- 2. True. Every element in *B* is an element in *A*.
- 3. False. The elements in *C* are 1, 2, and 3. The set *B* is not equal to 1, 2, or 3.
- 4. False. A has exactly 6 elements, and none of them are the empty set.
- 5. True. Everything in the empty set (nothing) is also an element of *A*. Notice that the empty set is a subset of every set.
- 6. Meaningless. A set cannot be less than another set.
- 7. True. 3 is one of the elements of the set C.
- 8. Meaningless. 3 is not a set, so it cannot be a subset of another set.
- 9. True. 3 is the only element of the set {3}, and is an element of *C*, so every element in {3} is an element of *C*.

In the example above, *B* is a subset of *A*. You might wonder what other sets are subsets of *A*. If you collect all these subsets of *A* into a new set, we get a set of sets. We call the set of all subsets of *A* the **power** set of *A*, and write it $\mathcal{P}(A)$.

Example 0.3.3

Let $A = \{1, 2, 3\}$. Find $\mathcal{P}(A)$.

Solution. $\mathcal{P}(A)$ is a set of sets, all of which are subsets of *A*. So

 $\mathcal{P}(A) = \{\emptyset, \{1\}, \{2\}, \{3\}, \{1,2\}, \{1,3\}, \{2,3\}, \{1,2,3\}\}.$

Notice that while $2 \in A$, it is wrong to write $2 \in \mathcal{P}(A)$ since none of the elements in $\mathcal{P}(A)$ are numbers! On the other hand, we do have $\{2\} \in \mathcal{P}(A)$ because $\{2\} \subseteq A$.

What does a subset of $\mathcal{P}(A)$ look like? Notice that $\{2\} \notin \mathcal{P}(A)$ because not everything in $\{2\}$ is in $\mathcal{P}(A)$. But we do have $\{\{2\}\} \subseteq \mathcal{P}(A)$. The only element of $\{\{2\}\}$ is the set $\{2\}$ which is also an element of $\mathcal{P}(A)$. We could take the collection of all subsets of $\mathcal{P}(A)$ and call that $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{P}(A))$. Or even the power set of that set of sets of sets.

Another way to compare sets is by their *size*. Notice that in the example above, *A* has 6 elements and *B*, *C*, and *D* all have 3 elements. The size of a set is called the set's **cardinality**. We would write |A| = 6, |B| = 3, and so on. For sets that have a finite number of elements, the cardinality of the set is simply the number of elements in the set. Note that the cardinality of {1, 2, 3, 2, 1} is 3. We do not count repeats (in fact, {1, 2, 3, 2, 1} is exactly the same set as {1, 2, 3}). There are sets with infinite cardinality, such as \mathbb{N} , the set of rational numbers (written \mathbb{Q}), the set of even natural numbers, and the set of real numbers (\mathbb{R}). It

is possible to distinguish between different infinite cardinalities, but that is beyond the scope of this text. For us, a set will either be infinite, or finite; if it is finite, the we can determine its cardinality by counting elements.

Example 0.3.4

- 1. Find the cardinality of $A = \{23, 24, ..., 37, 38\}$.
- 2. Find the cardinality of $B = \{1, \{2, 3, 4\}, \emptyset\}$.
- 3. If $C = \{1, 2, 3\}$, what is the cardinality of $\mathcal{P}(C)$?

Solution.

- 1. Since 38 23 = 15, we can conclude that the cardinality of the set is |A| = 16 (you need to add one since 23 is included).
- 2. Here |B| = 3. The three elements are the number 1, the set $\{2, 3, 4\}$, and the empty set.
- 3. We wrote out the elements of the power set $\mathcal{P}(C)$ above, and there are 8 elements (each of which is a set). So $|\mathcal{P}(C)| = 8$. (You might wonder if there is a relationship between |A| and $|\mathcal{P}(A)|$ for all sets *A*. This is a good question which we will return to in Chapter 1.)

OPERATIONS ON SETS

Is it possible to add two sets? Not really, however there is something similar. If we want to combine two sets to get the collection of objects that are in either set, then we can take the **union** of the two sets. Symbolically,

$$C = A \cup B,$$

read, "*C* is the union of *A* and *B*," means that the elements of *C* are exactly the elements which are either an element of *A* or an element of *B* (or an element of both). For example, if $A = \{1, 2, 3\}$ and $B = \{2, 3, 4\}$, then $A \cup B = \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$.

The other common operation on sets is intersection . We write,

$$C = A \cap B$$

and say, "*C* is the intersection of *A* and *B*," when the elements in *C* are precisely those both in *A* and in *B*. So if $A = \{1, 2, 3\}$ and $B = \{2, 3, 4\}$, then $A \cap B = \{2, 3\}$.

Often when dealing with sets, we will have some understanding as to what "everything" is. Perhaps we are only concerned with natural numbers. In this case we would say that our **universe** is \mathbb{N} . Sometimes we denote this universe by \mathcal{U} . Given this context, we might wish to speak of all the elements which are *not* in a particular set. We say *B* is the **complement** of *A*, and write,

$$B = \overline{A}$$

when *B* contains every element not contained in *A*. So, if our universe is $\{1, 2, \dots, 9, 10\}$, and $A = \{2, 3, 5, 7\}$, then $\overline{A} = \{1, 4, 6, 8, 9, 10\}$.

Of course we can perform more than one operation at a time. For example, consider

$$A \cap \overline{B}$$
.

This is the set of all elements which are both elements of *A* and not elements of *B*. What have we done? We've started with *A* and removed all of the elements which were in *B*. Another way to write this is the **set difference** :

$$A \cap \overline{B} = A \setminus B.$$

It is important to remember that these operations (union, intersection, complement, and difference) on sets produce other sets. Don't confuse these with the symbols from the previous section (element of and subset of). $A \cap B$ is a set, while $A \subseteq B$ is true or false. This is the same difference as between 3 + 2 (which is a number) and $3 \le 2$ (which is false).

Example 0.3.5 Let $A = \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6\}, B = \{2, 4, 6\}, C = \{1, 2, 3\}$ and $D = \{7, 8, 9\}$. If the universe is $\mathcal{U} = \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6\}$ $\{1, 2, \ldots, 10\}$, find: 1. $A \cup B$. 2. $A \cap B$. 3. $B \cap C$. 4. $A \cap D$. 5. $\overline{B \cup C}$. 6. $A \setminus B$. 7. $(D \cap \overline{C}) \cup \overline{A \cap B}$. 8. $\emptyset \cup C$. 9. $\emptyset \cap C$. Solution. 1. $A \cup B = \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6\} = A$ since everything in *B* is already in *A*. 2. $A \cap B = \{2, 4, 6\} = B$ since everything in B is in A. 3. $B \cap C = \{2\}$ as the only element of both *B* and *C* is 2. 4. $A \cap D = \emptyset$ since A and D have no common elements. 5. $B \cup C = \{5, 7, 8, 9, 10\}$. First we find that $B \cup C = \{1, 2, 3, 4, 6\}$, then we take everything not in that set. 6. $A \setminus B = \{1, 3, 5\}$ since the elements 1, 3, and 5 are in A but not in B. This is the same as $A \cap \overline{B}$. 7. $(D \cap \overline{C}) \cup \overline{A \cap B} = \{1, 3, 5, 7, 8, 9, 10\}$. The set contains all elements that are either in D but not in *C* (i.e., {7, 8, 9}), or not in both *A* and *B* (i.e., {1, 3, 5, 7, 8, 9, 10}).

8. $\emptyset \cup C = C$ since nothing is added by the empty set.

Similarly,

Also,

9. $\emptyset \cap C = \emptyset$ since nothing can be both in a set and in the empty set.

You might notice that the symbols for union and intersection slightly resemble the logic symbols for "or" and "and." This is no accident. What does it mean for x to be an element of $A \cup B$? It means that x is an element of A or x is an element of B (or both). That is,

```
x \in A \cup B \qquad \Leftrightarrow \qquad x \in A \lor x \in B.x \in A \cap B \qquad \Leftrightarrow \qquad x \in A \land x \in B.x \in \overline{A} \qquad \Leftrightarrow \qquad \neg (x \in A).
```

which says *x* is an element of the complement of *A* if *x* is not an element of *A*.

There is one more way to combine sets which will be useful for us: the **Cartesian product**, $A \times B$. This sounds fancy but is nothing you haven't seen before. When you graph a function in calculus, you graph it in the Cartesian plane. This is the set of all ordered pairs of real numbers (x, y). We can do this for *any* pair of sets, not just the real numbers with themselves.

Put another way, $A \times B = \{(a, b) : a \in A \land b \in B\}$. The first coordinate comes from the first set and the second coordinate comes from the second set. Sometimes we will want to take the Cartesian product

of a set with itself, and this is fine: $A \times A = \{(a, b) : a, b \in A\}$ (we might also write A^2 for this set). Notice that in $A \times A$, we still want *all* ordered pairs, not just the ones where the first and second coordinate are the same. We can also take products of 3 or more sets, getting ordered triples, or quadruples, and so on.

Example 0.3.6

Let $A = \{1, 2\}$ and $B = \{3, 4, 5\}$. Find $A \times B$ and $A \times A$. How many elements do you expect to be in $B \times B$?

Solution. $A \times B = \{(1,3), (1,4), (1,5), (2,3), (2,4), (2,5)\}.$ $A \times A = A^2 = \{(1,1), (1,2), (2,1), (2,2)\}.$

 $|B \times B| = 9$. There will be 3 pairs with first coordinate 3, three more with first coordinate 4, and a final three with first coordinate 5.

VENN DIAGRAMS

There is a very nice visual tool we can use to represent operations on sets. A **Venn diagram** displays sets as intersecting circles. We can shade the region we are talking about when we carry out an operation. We can also represent cardinality of a particular set by putting the number in the corresponding region.





Each circle represents a set. The rectangle containing the circles represents the universe. To represent combinations of these sets, we shade the corresponding region. For example, we could draw $A \cap B$ as:



Here is a representation of $A \cap \overline{B}$, or equivalently $A \setminus B$:



A more complicated example is $(B \cap C) \cup (C \cap \overline{A})$, as seen below.



Notice that the shaded regions above could also be arrived at in another way. We could have started with all of *C*, then excluded the region where *C* and *A* overlap outside of *B*. That region is $(A \cap C) \cap \overline{B}$. So the above Venn diagram also represents $C \cap ((A \cap C) \cap \overline{B})$. So using just the picture, we have determined that

 $(B \cap C) \cup (C \cap \overline{A}) = C \cap \overline{\left((A \cap C) \cap \overline{B}\right)}.$

Exercises

1. Let $A = \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5\}, B = \{3, 4, 5, 6, 7\}, \text{ and } C = \{2, 3, 5\}.$

- (a) Find $A \cap B$.
- (b) Find $A \cup B$.
- (c) Find $A \setminus B$.
- (d) Find $A \cap (B \cup C)$.
- (e) Find $A \times C$.
- (f) Is $C \subseteq A$? Explain.
- (g) Is $C \subseteq B$? Explain.

2. Let $A = \{x \in \mathbb{N} : 3 \le x \le 13\}$, $B = \{x \in \mathbb{N} : x \text{ is even}\}$, and $C = \{x \in \mathbb{N} : x \text{ is odd}\}$.

- (a) Find $A \cap B$.
- (b) Find $A \cup B$.
- (c) Find $B \cap C$.
- (d) Find $B \cup C$.

3. Find an example of sets *A* and *B* such that $A \cap B = \{3, 5\}$ and $A \cup B = \{2, 3, 5, 7, 8\}$.

4. Find an example of sets *A* and *B* such that $A \subseteq B$ and $A \in B$.

5. Recall $\mathbb{Z} = \{\dots, -2, -1, 0, 1, 2, \dots\}$ (the integers). Let $\mathbb{Z}^+ = \{1, 2, 3, \dots\}$ be the positive integers. Let $2\mathbb{Z}$ be the even integers, $3\mathbb{Z}$ be the multiples of 3, and so on.

- (a) Is $\mathbb{Z}^+ \subseteq 2\mathbb{Z}$? Explain.
- (b) Is $2\mathbb{Z} \subseteq \mathbb{Z}^+$? Explain.
- (c) Find $2\mathbb{Z} \cap 3\mathbb{Z}$. Describe the set in words, and using set notation.
- (d) Express $\{x \in \mathbb{Z} : \exists y \in \mathbb{Z} (x = 2y \lor x = 3y)\}$ as a union or intersection of two sets already described in this problem.

6. Let A_2 be the set of all multiples of 2 except for 2. Let A_3 be the set of all multiples of 3 except for 3. And so on, so that A_n is the set of all multiple of *n* except for *n*, for any $n \ge 2$. Describe (in words) the set $\overline{A_2 \cup A_3 \cup A_4 \cup \cdots}$.

7. Draw a Venn diagram to represent each of the following:

- (a) $A \cup \overline{B}$
- (b) $\overline{(A \cup B)}$
- (c) $A \cap (B \cup C)$
- (d) $(A \cap B) \cup C$
- (e) $\overline{A} \cap B \cap \overline{C}$
- (f) $(A \cup B) \setminus C$

8. Describe a set in terms of *A* and *B* (using set notation) which has the following Venn diagram:



9. Find the following cardinalities:

- (a) |A| when $A = \{4, 5, 6, \dots, 37\}$
- (b) |A| when $A = \{x \in \mathbb{Z} : -2 \le x \le 100\}$
- (c) $|A \cap B|$ when $A = \{x \in \mathbb{N} : x \le 20\}$ and $B = \{x \in \mathbb{N} : x \text{ is prime}\}$

10. Let $A = \{a, b, c, d\}$. Find $\mathcal{P}(A)$.

Hint. We are looking for a set containing 16 sets.

11. Let $A = \{1, 2, ..., 10\}$. How many subsets of A contain exactly one element (i.e., how many **singleton** subsets are there)? How many **doubleton** subsets (containing exactly two elements) are there?

- **12.** Let $A = \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6\}$. Find all sets $B \in \mathcal{P}(A)$ which have the property $\{2, 3, 5\} \subseteq B$.
- **13.** Find an example of sets *A* and *B* such that |A| = 4, |B| = 5, and $|A \cup B| = 9$.
- **14.** Find an example of sets *A* and *B* such that |A| = 3, |B| = 4, and $|A \cup B| = 5$.

15. Are there sets *A* and *B* such that $|A| = |B|, |A \cup B| = 10$, and $|A \cap B| = 5$? Explain.

16. In a regular deck of playing cards there are 26 red cards and 12 face cards. Explain, using sets and what you have learned about cardinalities, why there are only 32 cards which are either red or a face card.

0.4 Functions

A **function** is a rule that assigns each input exactly one output. We call the output the **image** of the input. The set of all inputs for a function is called the **domain**. The set of all allowable outputs is called the **codomain**. We would write $f : X \rightarrow Y$ to describe a function with name f, domain X and codomain Y. This does not tell us *which* function f is though. To define the function, we must describe the rule. This is often done by giving a formula to compute the output for any input (although this is certainly not the only way to describe the rule).

For example, consider the function $f : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N}$ defined by $f(x) = x^2 + 3$. Here the domain and codomain are the same set (the natural numbers). The rule is: take your input, multiply it by itself and add 3. This works because we can apply this rule to every natural number (every element of the domain) and the result is always a natural number (an element of the codomain). Notice though that not every natural number actually is an output (there is no way to get 0, 1, 2, 5, etc.). The set of natural numbers that are *actually outputs* is called the **range** of the function (in this case, the range is $\{3, 4, 7, 12, 19, 28, \ldots\}$, all the natural numbers that are 3 more than a perfect square).

The key thing that makes a rule actually a *function* is that there is *exactly one* output for each input. That is, it is important that the rule be a good rule. What output do we assign to the input 7? There can only be one answer for any particular function.

The description of the rule can vary greatly. We might just give a list of the images of each input. You could also describe the function with a table or a graph or in words.

Example 0.4.1

The following are all examples of functions:

1. $f : \mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{Z}$ defined by f(n) = 3n. The domain and codomain are both the set of integers. However, the range is only the set of integer multiples of 3.

- 2. $g : \{1,2,3\} \rightarrow \{a,b,c\}$ defined by g(1) = c, g(2) = a and g(3) = a. The domain is the set $\{1,2,3\}$, the codomain is the set $\{a,b,c\}$ and the range is the set $\{a,c\}$. Note that g(2) and g(3) are the same element of the codomain. This is okay since each element in the domain still has only one output.
- 3. $h: \{1, 2, 3\} \rightarrow \{1, 2, 3\}$ defined as follows:



This means that the function f sends 1 to 2, 2 to 1 and 3 to 3: just follow the arrows.

The arrow diagram used to define the function above can be very helpful in visualizing functions. We will often be working with functions with *finite* domains, so this kind of picture is often more useful than a traditional graph of a function. A graph of the function in example 3 above would look like this:



It would be absolutely WRONG to connect the dots or try to fit them to some curve. There are only three elements in the domain. A curve suggests that the domain contains an entire interval of real numbers. Remember, we are not in calculus any more!

Since we will so often use functions with small domains and codomains, let's adopt some notation that is a little easier to work with than that of examples 2 and 3 above. All we need is some clear way of denoting the image of each element in the domain. In fact, writing a table of values would work perfectly:

We simplify this further by writing this as a matrix with each input directly over its output:

$$f = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 \\ 3 & 3 & 2 & 4 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

Note this is just notation and not the same sort of matrix you would find in a linear algebra class (it does not make sense to do operations with these matrices, or row reduce them, for example).

It is important to know how to determine if a rule is or is not a function. Drawing the arrow diagrams can help.

Example 0.4.2

Which of the following diagrams represent a function? Let $X = \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$ and $Y = \{a, b, c, d\}$.



Solution. f is a function. So is g. There is no problem with an element of the codomain not being the image of any input, and there is no problem with a from the codomain being the image of both 2 and 3 from the domain. We could use our two-line notation to write these as

$$f = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 \\ d & a & c & b \end{pmatrix} \qquad g = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 \\ d & a & a & b \end{pmatrix}$$

However, h is not a function. In fact, it fails for two reasons. First, the element 1 from the domain has not been mapped to any element from the codomain. Second, the element 2 from the domain has been mapped to more than one element from the codomain (a and c). Note that either one of these problems is enough to make a rule not a function. In general, neither of the following mappings are functions:



It might also be helpful to think about how you would write the two-line notation for h. We would have something like:

$$h = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 \\ & a, c? & d & b \end{pmatrix}.$$

There is nothing under 1 (bad) and we needed to put more than one thing under 2 (very bad). With a rule that is actually a function, the two-line notation will always "work".

SURJECTIONS, INJECTIONS, AND BIJECTIONS

We now turn to investigating special properties functions might or might not possess.

In the examples above, you may have noticed that sometimes there are elements of the codomain which are not in the range. When this sort of the thing *does not* happen, (that is, when everything in the codomain is in the range) we say the function is **onto** or that the function maps the domain *onto* the codomain. This terminology should make sense: the function puts the domain (entirely) on top of the codomain. The fancy math term for an onto function is a **surjection**, and we say that an onto function is a **surjective** function.

In pictures:



Surjective



Not surjective



Which functions are surjective (i.e., onto)?

1.
$$f : \mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{Z}$$
 defined by $f(n) = 3n$.

2.
$$g: \{1, 2, 3\} \rightarrow \{a, b, c\}$$
 defined by $g = \begin{pmatrix} c & a \\ c & a \end{pmatrix}$

3. $h: \{1, 2, 3\} \rightarrow \{1, 2, 3\}$ defined as follows:


Solution.

- 1. *f* is not surjective. There are elements in the codomain which are not in the range. For example, no $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ gets mapped to the number 1 (the rule would say that $\frac{1}{3}$ would be sent to 1, but $\frac{1}{3}$ is not in the domain). In fact, the range of the function is $3\mathbb{Z}$ (the integer multiples of 3), which is not equal to \mathbb{Z} .
- 2. *g* is not surjective. There is no $x \in \{1, 2, 3\}$ (the domain) for which g(x) = b, so *b*, which is in the codomain, is not in the range. Notice that there is an element from the codomain "missing" from the bottom row of the matrix.
- 3. *h* is surjective. Every element of the codomain is also in the range. Nothing in the codomain is missed.

To be a function, a rule cannot assign a single element of the domain to two or more different elements of the codomain. However, we have seen that the reverse *is* permissible: a function might assign the same element of the codomain to two or more different elements of the domain. When this *does not* occur (that is, when each element of the codomain is the image of at most one element of the domain) then we say the function is **one-to-one**. Again, this terminology makes sense: we are sending at most one element from the domain to one element from the codomain. One input to one output. The fancy math term for a one-to-one function is an **injection**. We call one-to-one functions **injective** functions.

In pictures:





Example 0.4.4

Which functions are injective (i.e., one-to-one)?

1. $f : \mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{Z}$ defined by f(n) = 3n.

2.
$$g: \{1, 2, 3\} \rightarrow \{a, b, c\}$$
 defined by $g = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 \\ c & a & a \end{pmatrix}$

3. $h: \{1, 2, 3\} \rightarrow \{1, 2, 3\}$ defined as follows:



Solution.

- 1. f is injective. Each element in the codomain is assigned to at *most* one element from the domain. If x is a multiple of three, then only x/3 is mapped to x. If x is not a multiple of 3, then there is no input corresponding to the output x.
- 2. *g* is not injective. Both inputs 2 and 3 are assigned the output *a*. Notice that there is an element from the codomain that appears more than once on the bottom row of the matrix.
- 3. *h* is injective. Each output is only an output once.

From the examples above, it should be clear that there are functions which are surjective, injective, both, or neither. In the case when a function is both one-to-one and onto (an injection and surjection), we say the function is a **bijection**, or that the function is a **bijective** function.

INVERSE IMAGE

When discussing functions, we have notation for talking about an element of the domain (say x) and its corresponding element in the codomain (we write f(x), which *is* the image of x). It would also be nice to start with some element of the codomain (say y) and talk about which element or elements (if any) from the domain it is the image of. We could write "those x in the domain such that f(x) = y," but this is a lot of writing. Here is some notation to make our lives easier.

Suppose $f : X \to Y$ is a function. For $y \in Y$ (an element of the codomain), we write $f^{-1}(y)$ to represent the *set* of all elements in the domain X which get sent to y. That is, $f^{-1}(y) = \{x \in X : f(x) = y\}$. We say that $f^{-1}(y)$ is the **complete inverse image** of y under f.

WARNING: $f^{-1}(y)$ is not an inverse function! Inverse functions only exist for bijections, but $f^{-1}(y)$ is defined for any function f. The point: $f^{-1}(y)$ is a *set*, not an *element* of the domain.

Example 0.4.5

Consider the function $f : \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6\} \rightarrow \{a, b, c, d\}$ given by

$$f = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 & 6 \\ a & a & b & c & c & c \end{pmatrix}.$$

Find the complete inverse image of each element in the codomain.

Solution. Remember, we are looking for sets.

$$f^{-1}(a) = \{1, 2\}$$
$$f^{-1}(b) = \{3\}$$
$$f^{-1}(c) = \{4, 5, 6\}$$
$$f^{-1}(d) = \emptyset.$$

Example 0.4.6

Consider the function $g : \mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{Z}$ defined by $g(n) = n^2 + 1$. Find $g^{-1}(1)$, $g^{-1}(2)$, $g^{-1}(3)$ and $g^{-1}(10)$.

Solution. To find $g^{-1}(1)$, we need to find all integers *n* such that $n^2 + 1 = 1$. Clearly only 0 works, so $g^{-1}(1) = \{0\}$ (note that even though there is only one element, we still write it as a set with one element in it).

To find $g^{-1}(2)$, we need to find all *n* such that $n^2 + 1 = 2$. We see $g^{-1}(2) = \{-1, 1\}$.

If $n^2 + \overline{1} = 3$, then we are looking for an *n* such that $n^2 = 2$. There are no such integers so $g^{-1}(3) = \emptyset$.

Finally, $g^{-1}(10) = \{-3, 3\}$ because g(-3) = 10 and g(3) = 10.

Since $f^{-1}(y)$ is a set, it makes sense to ask for $|f^{-1}(y)|$, the number of elements in the domain which map to y.

Example 0.4.7

Find a function $f : \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5\} \to \mathbb{N}$ such that $|f^{-1}(7)| = 5$.

Solution. There is only one such function. We need five elements of the domain to map to the number $7 \in \mathbb{N}$. Since there are only five elements in the domain, all of them must map to 7. So

$$f = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 \\ 7 & 7 & 7 & 7 & 7 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Function Definitions

- A **function** is a rule that assigns each element of a set, called the **domain**, to exactly one element of a second set, called the **codomain**.
- Notation: $f : X \to Y$ is our way of saying that the function is called f, the domain is the set X, and the codomain is the set Y.
- To specify the rule for a function with small domain, use **two-line notation** by writing a matrix with each output directly below its corresponding input, as in:

$$f = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 \\ 2 & 1 & 3 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

- f(x) = y means the element x of the domain (input) is assigned to the element y of the codomain. We say y is an output. Alternatively, we call y the **image of** x **under** f.
- The **range** is a subset of the codomain. It is the set of all elements which are assigned to at least one element of the domain by the function. That is, the range is the set of all outputs.
- A function is **injective** (an **injection** or **one-to-one**) if every element of the codomain is the output for **at most** one element from the domain.
- A function is **surjective** (a **surjection** or **onto**) if every element of the codomain is the output of **at least** one element of the domain.
- A **bijection** is a function which is both an injection and surjection. In other words, if every element of the codomain is the output of **exactly one** element of the domain.
- The **image** of an element *x* in the domain is the element *y* in the codomain that *x* is mapped to. That is, the image of *x* under *f* is *f*(*x*).
- The **complete inverse image** of an element y in the codomain, written $f^{-1}(y)$, is the *set* of all elements in the domain which are assigned to y by the function.

Exercises

1. Write out all functions $f : \{1, 2, 3\} \rightarrow \{a, b\}$ (using two-line notation). How many are there? How many are injective? How many are surjective? How many are both?

2. Write out all functions $f : \{1, 2\} \rightarrow \{a, b, c\}$ (in two-line notation). How many are there? How many are injective? How many are both?

3. Consider the function $f : \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5\} \rightarrow \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$ given by the table below:

- (a) Is *f* injective? Explain.
- (b) Is *f* surjective? Explain.
- (c) Write the function using two-line notation.

4. Consider the function $f : \{1, 2, 3, 4\} \rightarrow \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$ given by the graph below.



- (a) Is *f* injective? Explain.
- (b) Is *f* surjective? Explain.
- (c) Write the function using two-line notation.

5. For each function given below, determine whether or not the function is injective and whether or not the function is surjective.

- (a) $f : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N}$ given by f(n) = n + 4.
- (b) $f : \mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{Z}$ given by f(n) = n + 4.
- (c) $f : \mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{Z}$ given by f(n) = 5n 8.
- (d) $f : \mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{Z}$ given by $f(n) = \begin{cases} n/2 & \text{if } n \text{ is even} \\ (n+1)/2 & \text{if } n \text{ is odd.} \end{cases}$

6. Let $A = \{1, 2, 3, ..., 10\}$. Consider the function $f : \mathcal{P}(A) \to \mathbb{N}$ given by f(B) = |B|. That is, f takes a subset of A as an input and outputs the cardinality of that set.

- (a) Is *f* injective? Prove your answer.
- (b) Is *f* surjective? Prove your answer.
- (c) Find $f^{-1}(1)$.
- (d) Find $f^{-1}(0)$.
- (e) Find $f^{-1}(12)$.

7. Let $A = \{n \in \mathbb{N} : 0 \le n \le 999\}$ be the set of all numbers with three or fewer digits. Define the function $f : A \to \mathbb{N}$ by f(abc) = a + b + c, where *a*, *b*, and *c* are the digits of the number in *A*. For example, f(253) = 2 + 5 + 3 = 10.

- (a) Find $f^{-1}(3)$.
- (b) Find $f^{-1}(28)$.
- (c) Is *f* injective. Explain.
- (d) Is *f* surjective. Explain.

- **8.** Let $f : X \to Y$ be some function. Suppose $3 \in Y$. What can you say about $f^{-1}(3)$ if you know,
- (a) f is injective? Explain.
- (b) *f* is surjective? Explain.
- (c) *f* is bijective? Explain.

9. Find a set *X* and a function $f : X \to \mathbb{N}$ so that $f^{-1}(0) \cup f^{-1}(1) = X$.

10. What can you deduce about the sets *X* and *Y* if you know . . .

- (a) there is an injective function $f : X \to Y$? Explain.
- (b) there is a surjective function $f : X \to Y$? Explain.
- (c) there is a bijective function $f : X \to Y$? Explain.

11. Suppose $f : X \to Y$ is a function. Which of the following are possible? Explain.

- (a) *f* is injective but not surjective.
- (b) *f* is surjective but not injective.
- (c) |X| = |Y| and *f* is injective but not surjective.
- (d) |X| = |Y| and *f* is surjective but not injective.
- (e) |X| = |Y|, X and Y are finite, and f is injective but not surjective.
- (f) |X| = |Y|, X and Y are finite, and f is surjective but not injective.

12. Let $f : X \to Y$ and $g : Y \to Z$ be functions. We can define the **composition** of f and g to be the function $g \circ f : X \to Z$ which the image of each $x \in X$ is g(f(x)). That is, plug x into f, then plug the result into g (just like composition in algebra and calculus).

- (a) If *f* and *g* are both injective, must $g \circ f$ be injective? Explain.
- (b) If *f* and *g* are both surjective, must $g \circ f$ be surjective? Explain.
- (c) Suppose $g \circ f$ is injective. What, if anything, can you say about f and g? Explain.
- (d) Suppose $g \circ f$ is surjective. What, if anything, can you say about f and g? Explain.

Hint. Work with some examples. What if $f = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 \\ a & a & b \end{pmatrix}$ and $g = \begin{pmatrix} a & b & c \\ 5 & 6 & 7 \end{pmatrix}$?

13. Consider the function $f : \mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{Z}$ given by $f(n) = \begin{cases} n+1 & \text{if } n \text{ is even} \\ n-3 & \text{if } n \text{ is odd.} \end{cases}$

- (a) Is *f* injective? Prove your answer.
- (b) Is *f* surjective? Prove your answer.

14. At the end of the semester a teacher assigns letter grades to each of her students. Is this a function? If so, what sets make up the domain and codomain, and is the function injective, surjective, bijective, or neither?

15. In the game of *Hearts*, four players are each dealt 13 cards from a deck of 52. Is this a function? If so, what sets make up the domain and codomain, and is the function injective, surjective, bijective, or neither?

16. Suppose 7 players are playing 5-card stud. Each player initially receives 5 cards from a deck of 52. Is this a function? If so, what sets make up the domain and codomain, and is the function injective, surjective, bijective, or neither?

Counting

One of the first things you learn in mathematics is how to count. Now we want to count large collections of things quickly and precisely. For example:

- In a group of 10 people, if everyone shakes hands with everyone else exactly once, how many hand-shakes took place?
- How many ways can you distribute 10 girl scout cookies to 7 boy scouts?
- How many anagrams are there of "anagram"?

Before tackling questions like these, let's look at the basics of counting.

1.1 Additive and Multiplicative Principles

Investigate!

- 1. A restaurant offers 8 appetizers and 14 entrées. How many choices do you have if:
 - (a) you will eat one dish, either an appetizer or an entrée?
 - (b) you are extra hungry and want to eat both an appetizer and an entrée?
- 2. Think about the methods you used to solve question 1. Write down the rules for these methods.
- 3. Do your rules work? A standard deck of playing cards has 26 red cards and 12 face cards.
 - (a) How many ways can you select a card which is either red or a face card?
 - (b) How many ways can you select a card which is both red and a face card?
 - (c) How many ways can you select two cards so that the first one is red and the second one is a face card?



Consider this rather simple counting problem: at Red Dogs and Donuts, there are 14 varieties of donuts, and 16 types of hot dogs. If you want either a donut or a dog, how many options do you have? This isn't too hard, just add 14 and 16. Will that always work? What is important here?

Additive Principle

The **additive principle** states that if event *A* can occur in *m* ways, and event *B* can occur in *n disjoint* ways, then the event "*A* or *B*" can occur in m + n ways.

It is important that the events be **disjoint**: i.e., that there is no way for *A* and *B* to both happen at the same time. For example, a standard deck of 52 cards contains 26 red cards and 12 face cards. However, the number of ways to select a card which is either red or a face card is not 26 + 12 = 38. This is because there are 6 cards which are both red and face cards.

Example 1.1.1

How many two letter "words" start with either A or B? (A **word** is just a string of letters; it doesn't have to be English, or even pronounceable.)

Solution. First, how many two letter words start with A? We just need to select the second letter, which can be accomplished in 26 ways. So there are 26 words starting with A. There are also 26 words that start with B. To select a word which starts with either A or B, we can pick the word from the first 26 or the second 26, for a total of 52 words.

The additive principle also works with more than two events. Say, in addition to your 14 choices for donuts and 16 for dogs, you would also consider eating one of 15 waffles? How many choices do you have now? You would have 14 + 16 + 15 = 45 options.

Example 1.1.2

How many two letter words start with one of the 5 vowels?

Solution. There are 26 two letter words starting with A, another 26 starting with E, and so on. We will have 5 groups of 26. So we add 26 to itself 5 times. Of course it would be easier to just multiply $5 \cdot 26$. We are really using the additive principle again, just using multiplication as a shortcut.

Example 1.1.3

Suppose you are going for some fro-yo. You can pick one of 6 yogurt choices, and one of 4 toppings. How many choices do you have?

Solution. Break your choices up into disjoint events: *A* are the choices with the first topping, *B* the choices featuring the second topping, and so on. There are four events; each can occur in 6 ways (one for each yogurt flavor). The events are disjoint, so the total number of choices is 6 + 6 + 6 + 6 = 24.

Note that in both of the previous examples, when using the additive principle on a bunch of events all the same size, it is quicker to multiply. This really is the same, and not just because $6 + 6 + 6 + 6 = 4 \cdot 6$. We can first select the topping in 4 ways (that is, we first select which of the disjoint events we will take). For each of those first 4 choices, we now have 6 choices of yogurt. We have:

Multiplicative Principle

The **multiplicative principle** states that if event *A* can occur in *m* ways, and each possibility for *A* allows for exactly *n* ways for event *B*, then the event "*A* and *B*" can occur in $m \cdot n$ ways.

The multiplicative principle generalizes to more than two events as well.

Example 1.1.4

How many license plates can you make out of three letters followed by three numerical digits?

Solution. Here we have six events: the first letter, the second letter, the third letter, the first digit, the second digit, and the third digit. The first three events can each happen in 26 ways; the last three can each happen in 10 ways. So the total number of license plates will be $26 \cdot 26 \cdot 26 \cdot 10 \cdot 10 \cdot 10$, using the multiplicative principle.

Does this make sense? Think about how we would pick a license plate. How many choices we would have? First, we need to pick the first letter. There are 26 choices. Now for each of those, there are 26 choices for the second letter: 26 second letters with first letter A, 26 second letters with first letter B, and so on. We add 26 to itself 26 times. Or quicker: there are $26 \cdot 26$ choices for the first two letters.

Now for each choice of the first two letters, we have 26 choices for the third letter. That is, 26 third letters for the first two letters AA, 26 choices for the third letter after starting AB, and so on. There are $26 \cdot 26$ of these 26 third letter choices, for a total of $(26 \cdot 26) \cdot 26$ choices for the first three letters. And for each of these $26 \cdot 26 \cdot 26 \cdot 26$ choices of letters, we have a bunch of choices for the remaining digits.

In fact, there are going to be exactly 1000 choices for the numbers. We can see this because there are 1000 three-digit numbers (000 through 999). This is 10 choices for the first digit, 10 for the second, and 10 for the third. The multiplicative principle says we multiply: $10 \cdot 10 \cdot 10 = 1000$.

All together, there were 26^3 choices for the three letters, and 10^3 choices for the numbers, so we have a total of $26^3 \cdot 10^3$ choices of license plates.

Careful: "and" doesn't mean "times." For example, how many playing cards are both red and a face card? Not $26 \cdot 12$. The answer is 6, and we needed to know something about cards to answer that question.

Another caution: how many ways can you select two cards, so that the first one is a red card and the second one is a face card? This looks more like the multiplicative principle (you are counting two separate events) but the answer is not $26 \cdot 12$ here either. The problem is that while there are 26 ways for the first card to be selected, it is not the case that *for each* of those there are 12 ways to select the second card. If the first card was both red and a face card, then there would be only 11 choices for the second card. ¹

Example 1.1.5: Counting functions

How many functions $f : \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5\} \rightarrow \{a, b, c, d\}$ are there?

Solution. Remember that a function sends each element of the domain to exactly one element of the codomain. To determine a function, we just need to specify the image of each element in the domain. Where can we send 1? There are 4 choices. Where can we send 2? Again, 4 choices. What we have here is 5 "events" (picking the image of an element in the domain) each of which can happen in 4 ways (the choices for that image). Thus there are $4 \cdot 4 \cdot 4 \cdot 4 \cdot 4 = 4^5$ functions.

This is more than just an example of how we can use the multiplicative principle in a particular counting question. What we have here is a general interpretation of certain applications of the multiplicative principle using rigorously defined mathematical objects: functions. Whenever we have a counting question that asks for the the number of outcomes of a repeated event, we can interpret that as asking for the number of functions from $\{1, 2, ..., n\}$ (where *n* is the number of times the event is repeated) to $\{1, 2, ..., k\}$ (where *k* is the number of ways that event can occur).

COUNTING WITH SETS

Do you believe the additive and multiplicative principles? How would you convince someone they are correct? This is surprisingly difficult. They seem so simple, so obvious. But why do they work?

To make things clearer, and more mathematically rigorous, we will use sets. Do not skip this section! It might seem like we are just trying to give a proof of these principles, but we are doing a lot more. If we understand the additive and multiplicative principles rigorously, we will be better at applying them, and knowing when and when not to apply them at all.

We will look at the additive and multiplicative principles in a slightly different way. Instead of thinking about event *A* and event *B*, we want to think of a set *A* and a set *B*. The sets will contain all the different ways the event can happen. (It will be helpful to be able to switch back and forth between these two models when checking that we have counted correctly.) Here's what we mean:

Example 1.1.6

Suppose you own 9 shirts and 5 pairs of pants.

- 1. How many outfits can you make?
- 2. If today is half-naked-day, and you will wear only a shirt or only a pair of pants, how many choices do you have?

Solution. By now you should agree that the answer to the first question is $9 \cdot 5 = 45$ and the answer to the second question is 9 + 5 = 14. These are the multiplicative and additive principles. There are

¹To solve this problem, you could break it into two cases. First, count how many ways there are to select the two cards when the first card is a red non-face card. Second, count how many ways when the first card is a red face card. Doing so makes the events in each separate case independent, so the multiplicative principle can be applied.

two events: picking a shirt and picking a pair of pants. The first event can happen in 9 ways and the second event can happen in 5 ways. To get both a shirt and a pair of pants, you multiply. To get just one article of clothing, you add.

Now look at this using sets. There are two sets, call them *S* and *P*. The set *S* contains all 9 shirts so |S| = 9 while |P| = 5, since there are 5 elements in the set *P* (namely your 5 pairs of pants). What are we asking in terms of these sets? Well in question 2, we really want $|S \cup P|$, the number of elements in the union of shirts and pants. This is just |S| + |P| (since there is no overlap; $|S \cap P| = 0$). Question 1 is slightly more complicated. Your first guess might be to find $|S \cap P|$, but this is not right (there is nothing in the intersection). We are not asking for how many clothing items are both a shirt and a pair of pants. Instead, we want one of each. We could think of this as asking how many pairs (x, y) there are, where *x* is a shirt and *y* is a pair of pants. As we will soon verify, this number is $|S| \cdot |P|$.

From this example we can see right away how to rephrase our additive principle in terms of sets:

Additive Principle (with sets)

Given two sets *A* and *B*, if $A \cap B = \emptyset$ (that is, if there is no element in common to both *A* and *B*), then

$$|A \cup B| = |A| + |B|$$
.

This hardly needs a proof. To find $A \cup B$, you take everything in A and throw in everything in B. Since there is no element in both sets already, you will have |A| things and add |B| new things to it. This is what adding does! Of course, we can easily extend this to any number of disjoint sets.

From the example above, we see that in order to investigate the multiplicative principle carefully, we need to consider ordered pairs. We should define this carefully:

Cartesian Product

Given sets *A* and *B*, we can form the set $A \times B = \{(x, y) : x \in A \land y \in B\}$ to be the set of all ordered pairs (x, y) where *x* is an element of *A* and *y* is an element of *B*. We call $A \times B$ the **Cartesian product** of *A* and *B*.

Example 1.1.7 Let $A = \{1, 2\}$ and $B = \{3, 4, 5\}$. Find $A \times B$.

Solution. We want to find ordered pairs (a, b) where *a* can be either 1 or 2 and *b* can be either 3, 4, or 5. *A* × *B* is the set of all of these pairs:

 $A \times B = \{(1,3), (1,4), (1,5), (2,3), (2,4), (2,5)\}$

The question is, what is $|A \times B|$? To figure this out, write out $A \times B$. Let $A = \{a_1, a_2, a_3, \dots, a_m\}$ and $B = \{b_1, b_2, b_3, \dots, b_n\}$ (so |A| = m and |B| = n). The set $A \times B$ contains all pairs with the first half of the pair being some $a_i \in A$ and the second being one of the $b_i \in B$. In other words:

$$A \times B = \{(a_1, b_1), (a_1, b_2), (a_1, b_3), \dots, (a_1, b_n), \\(a_2, b_1), (a_2, b_2), (a_2, b_3), \dots, (a_2, b_n), \\(a_3, b_1), (a_3, b_2), (a_3, b_3), \dots, (a_3, b_n), \\\vdots \\(a_m, b_1), (a_m, b_2), (a_m, b_3), \dots, (a_m, b_n)\}.$$

Notice what we have done here: we made *m* rows of *n* pairs, for a total of $m \cdot n$ pairs.

Each row above is really $\{a_i\} \times B$ for some $a_i \in A$. That is, we fixed the A-element. Broken up this way, we have

$$A \times B = (\{a_1\} \times B) \cup (\{a_2\} \times B) \cup (\{a_3\} \times B) \cup \dots \cup (\{a_m\} \times B).$$

So $A \times B$ is really the union of *m* disjoint sets. Each of those sets has *n* elements in them. The total (using the additive principle) is $n + n + n + \dots + n = m \cdot n$.

To summarize:

Multiplicative Principle (with sets)

Given two sets *A* and *B*, we have $|A \times B| = |A| \cdot |B|$.

Again, we can easily extend this to any number of sets.

PRINCIPLE OF INCLUSION/EXCLUSION

Investigate!

A recent buzz marketing campaign for *Village Inn* surveyed patrons on their pie preferences. People were asked whether they enjoyed (A) Apple, (B) Blueberry or (C) Cherry pie (respondents answered yes or no to each type of pie, and could say yes to more than one type). The following table shows the results of the survey.

> Pies enjoyed: А В С AB AC BC ABC Number of people: 13 9 7 5 20 26 15

How many of those asked enjoy at least one of the kinds of pie? Also, explain why the answer is not 95.



Attempt the above activity before proceeding

While we are thinking about sets, consider what happens to the additive principle when the sets are NOT disjoint. Suppose we want to find $|A \cup B|$ and know that |A| = 10 and |B| = 8. This is not enough information though. We do not know how many of the 8 elements in *B* are also elements of *A*. However, if we also know that $|A \cap B| = 6$, then we can say exactly how many elements are in A, and, of those, how many are in *B* and how many are not (6 of the 10 elements are in *B*, so 4 are in *A* but not in *B*). We could fill in a Venn diagram as follows:



This says there are 6 elements in $A \cap B$, 4 elements in $A \setminus B$ and 2 elements in $B \setminus A$. Now *these* three sets *are* disjoint, so we can use the additive principle to find the number of elements in $A \cup B$. It is 6 + 4 + 2 = 12.

This will always work, but drawing a Venn diagram is more than we need to do. In fact, it would be nice to relate this problem to the case where A and B are disjoint. Is there one rule we can make that works in either case?

Here is another way to get the answer to the problem above. Start by just adding |A| + |B|. This is 10 + 8 = 18, which would be the answer if $|A \cap B| = 0$. We see that we are off by exactly 6, which just so happens to be $|A \cap B|$. So perhaps we guess,

$$|A \cup B| = |A| + |B| - |A \cap B|$$
.

This works for this one example. Will it always work? Think about what we are doing here. We want to know how many things are either in *A* or *B* (or both). We can throw in everything in *A*, and everything in *B*. This would give |A| + |B| many elements. But of course when you actually take the union, you do not repeat elements that are in both. So far we have counted every element in $A \cap B$ exactly twice: once when we put in the elements from *A* and once when we included the elements from *B*. We correct by subtracting out the number of elements we have counted twice. So we added them in twice, subtracted once, leaving them counted only one time.

In other words, we have:

Cardinality of a union (2 sets)

For any finite sets *A* and *B*,

 $|A \cup B| = |A| + |B| - |A \cap B|.$

We can do something similar with three sets.

Example 1.1.8

An examination in three subjects, Algebra, Biology, and Chemistry, was taken by 41 students. The following table shows how many students failed in each single subject and in their various combinations:

Subject:	Α	В	С	AB	AC	BC	ABC
Failed:	12	5	8	2	6	3	1

How many students failed at least one subject?

Solution. The answer is not 37, even though the sum of the numbers above is 37. For example, while 12 students failed Algebra, 2 of those students also failed Biology, 6 also failed Chemestry, and 1 of those failed all three subjects. In fact, that 1 student who failed all three subjects is counted a total of 7 times in the total 37. To clarify things, let us think of the students who failed Algebra as the elements of the set *A*, and similarly for sets *B* and *C*. The one student who failed all three subjects is the lone element of the set $A \cap B \cap C$. Thus, in Venn diagrams:



Now let's fill in the other intersections. We know $A \cap B$ contains 2 elements, but 1 element has already been counted. So we should put a 1 in the region where *A* and *B* intersect (but *C* does not). Similarly, we calculate the cardinality of $(A \cap C) \setminus B$, and $(B \cap C) \setminus A$:



Next, we determine the numbers which should go in the remaining regions, including outside of all three circles. This last number is the number of students who did not fail any subject:



We found 5 goes in the "*A* only" region because the entire circle for *A* needed to have a total of 12, and 7 were already accounted for. Similarly, we calculate the "*B* only" region to contain only 1 student and the "*C* only" region to contain no students.

Thus the number of students who failed at least one class is 15 (the sum of the numbers in each of the eight disjoint regions). The number of students who passed all three classes is 26: the total number of students, 41, less the 15 who failed at least one class.

Note that we can also answer other questions. For example, now many students failed just Chemistry? None. How many passed Algebra but failed both Biology and Chemistry? This corresponds to the region inside both *B* and *C* but outside of *A*, containing 2 students.

Could we have solved the problem above in an algebraic way? While the additive principle generalizes to any number of sets, when we add a third set here, we must be careful. With two sets, we needed to know the cardinalities of *A*, *B*, and $A \cap B$ in order to find the cardinality of $A \cup B$. With three sets we need more information. There are more ways the sets can combine. Not surprisingly then, the formula for cardinality of the union of three non-disjoint sets is more complicated:

Cardinality of a union (3 sets)

For any finite sets *A*, *B*, and *C*,

$$|A \cup B \cup C| = |A| + |B| + |C| - |A \cap B| - |A \cap C| - |B \cap C| + |A \cap B \cap C|$$

To determine how many elements are in at least one of *A*, *B*, or *C* we add up all the elements in each of those sets. However, when we do that, any element in both *A* and *B* is counted twice. Also, each element in both *A* and *C* is counted twice, as are elements in *B* and *C*, so we take each of those out of our sum once. But now what about the elements which are in $A \cap B \cap C$ (in all three sets)? We added them in three times, but also removed them three times. They have not yet been counted. Thus we add those elements back in at the end.

Returning to our example above, we have |A| = 12, |B| = 5, |C| = 8. We also have $|A \cap B| = 2$, $|A \cap C| = 6$, $|B \cap C| = 3$, and $|A \cap B \cap C| = 1$. Therefore:

$$|A \cup B \cup C| = 12 + 5 + 8 - 2 - 6 - 3 + 1 = 15$$

This is what we got when we solved the problem using Venn diagrams.

This process of adding in, then taking out, then adding back in, and so on is called the *Principle* of *Inclusion/Exclusion*, or simply PIE. We will return to this counting technique later to solve for more complicated problems (involving more than 3 sets).

Exercises

1. Your wardrobe consists of 5 shirts, 3 pairs of pants, and 17 bow ties. How many different outfits can you make?

2. For your college interview, you must wear a tie. You own 3 regular (boring) ties and 5 (cool) bow ties.

- (a) How many choices do you have for your neck-wear?
- (b) You realize that the interview is for clown college, so you should probably wear both a regular tie and a bow tie. How many choices do you have now?
- (c) For the rest of your outfit, you have 5 shirts, 4 skirts, 3 pants, and 7 dresses. You want to select either a shirt to wear with a skirt or pants, or just a dress. How many outfits do you have to choose from?

3. Your Blu-ray collection consists of 9 comedies and 7 horror movies. Give an example of a question for which the answer is:

(a) 16.

(b) 63.

4. We usually write numbers in decimal form (or base 10), meaning numbers are composed using 10 different "digits" $\{0, 1, \ldots, 9\}$. Sometimes though it is useful to write numbers **hexadecimal** or base 16. Now there are 16 distinct digits that can be used to form numbers: $\{0, 1, \ldots, 9, A, B, C, D, E, F\}$. So for example, a 3 digit hexadecimal number might be 2B8.

- (a) How many 2-digit hexadecimals are there in which the first digit is E or F? Explain your answer in terms of the additive principle (using either events or sets).
- (b) Explain why your answer to the previous part is correct in terms of the multiplicative principle (using either events or sets). Why do both the additive and multiplicative principles give you the same answer?
- (c) How many 3-digit hexadecimals start with a letter (A-F) and end with a numeral (0-9)? Explain.
- (d) How many 3-digit hexadecimals start with a letter (A-F) or end with a numeral (0-9) (or both)? Explain.

5. Suppose you have sets *A* and *B* with |A| = 10 and |B| = 15.

- (a) What is the largest possible value for $|A \cap B|$?
- (b) What is the smallest possible value for $|A \cap B|$?
- (c) What are the possible values for $|A \cup B|$?
- 6. If |A| = 8 and |B| = 5, what is $|A \cup B| + |A \cap B|$?

7. A group of college students were asked about their TV watching habits. Of those surveyed, 28 students watch *The Walking Dead*, 19 watch *The Blacklist*, and 24 watch *Game of Thrones*. Additionally, 16 watch *The Walking Dead* and *The Blacklist*, 14 watch *The Walking Dead* and *Game of Thrones*, and 10 watch *The Blacklist* and *Game of Thrones*. There are 8 students who watch all three shows. How many students surveyed watched at least one of the shows?

8. In a recent survey, 30 students reported whether they liked their potatoes Mashed, French-fried, or Twice-baked. 15 liked them mashed, 20 liked French fries, and 9 liked twice baked potatoes. Additionally, 12 students liked both mashed and fried potatoes, 5 liked French fries and twice baked potatoes, 6 liked mashed and baked, and 3 liked all three styles. How many students *hate* potatoes? Explain why your answer is correct.

9. For how many $n \in \{1, 2, \dots, 500\}$ is *n* a multiple of one or more of 5, 6, or 7?

Hint. To find out how many numbers are divisible by 6 and 7, for example, take 500/42 and round down.

10. Let *A*, *B*, and *C* be sets.

- (a) Find $|(A \cup C) \setminus B|$ provided |A| = 50, |B| = 45, |C| = 40, $|A \cap B| = 20$, $|A \cap C| = 15$, $|B \cap C| = 23$, and $|A \cap B \cap C| = 12$.
- (b) Describe a set in terms of *A*, *B*, and *C* with cardinality 26.

11. Consider all 5 letter "words" made from the letters *a* through *h*. (Recall, words are just strings of letters, not necessarily actual English words.)

(a) How many of these words are there total?

- (b) How many of these words contain no repeated letters?
- (c) How many of these words start with the sub-word "aha"?
- (d) How many of these words either start with "aha" or end with "bah" or both?
- (e) How many of the words containing no repeats also do not contain the sub-word "bad"?

12. For how many three digit numbers (100 to 999) is the *sum of the digits* even? (For example, 343 has an even sum of digits: 3 + 4 + 3 = 10 which is even.) Find the answer and explain why it is correct in at least two *different* ways.

13. The number 735000 factors as $2^3 \cdot 3 \cdot 5^4 \cdot 7^2$. How many divisors does it have? Explain your answer using the multiplicative principle.

1.2 BINOMIAL COEFFICIENTS

Investigate!

In chess, a rook can move only in straight lines (not diagonally). Fill in each square of the chess board below with the number of different shortest paths the rook, in the upper left corner, can take to get to that square. For example, one square is already filled in. There are six different paths from the rook to the square: DDRR (down down right right), DRDR, DRRD, RDDR, RDRD and RRDD.



Here are some apparently different discrete objects we can count: subsets, bit strings, lattice paths, and binomial coefficients. We will give an example of each type of counting problem (and say what these things even are). As we will see, these counting problems are surprisingly similar.

Subsets

Subsets should be familiar, otherwise read over Section 0.3 again. Suppose we look at the set $A = \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5\}$. How many subsets of *A* contain exactly 3 elements?

First, a simpler question: How many subsets of *A* are there total? In other words, what is $|\mathcal{P}(A)|$ (the cardinality of the power set of *A*)? Think about how we would build a subset. We need to decide, for each of the elements of *A*, whether or not to include the element in our subset. So we need to decide "yes" or "no" for the element 1. And for each choice we make, we need to decide "yes" or "no" for the element 2. And so on. For each of the 5 elements, we have 2 choices. Therefore the number of subsets is simply $2 \cdot 2 \cdot 2 \cdot 2 \cdot 2 = 2^5$ (by the multiplicative principle).

Of those 32 subsets, how many have 3 elements? This is not obvious. Note that we cannot just use the multiplicative principle. Maybe we want to say we have 2 choices (yes/no) for the first element, 2 choices for the second, 2 choices for the third, and then only 1 choice for the other two. But what if we said "no" to one of the first three elements? Then we would have two choices for the 4th element. What a mess!

Another (bad) idea: we need to pick three elements to be in our subset. There are 5 elements to choose from. So there are 5 choices for the first element, and for each of those 4 choices for the second, and then 3 for the third (last) element. The multiplicative principle would say then that there are a total of $5 \cdot 4 \cdot 3 = 60$ ways to select the 3 element subset. But this cannot be correct (60 > 32 for one thing). One of the outcomes we would get from these choices would be the set {3, 2, 5}, by choosing the element 3 first, then the element 2, then the element 5. Another outcome would be {5, 2, 3} by choosing the element 5 first, then the element 2, then the element 3. But these are the same set! We can correct this by dividing: for each set of three elements, there are 6 outcomes counted amoung our 60 (since there are 3 choices for which element we list first, 2 for which we list second, and 1 for which we list last). So we expect there to be 10 3-element subsets of *A*.

Is this right? Well, we could list out all 10 of them, being very systematic in doing so, to make sure we don't miss any or list any twice. Or we could try to count how many subsets of *A don't* have 3 elements in them. How many have no elements? Just 1 (the empty set). How many have 5? Again, just 1. These are the cases in which we say "no" to all elements, or "yes" to all elements. Okay, what about the subsets which contain a single element? There are 5 of these. We must say "yes" to exactly one element, and there are 5 to choose from. This is also the number of subsets containing 4 elements. Those are the ones for which we must say "no" to exactly one element.

So far we have counted 12 of the 32 subsets. We have not yet counted the subsets with cardinality 2 and with cardinality 3. There are a total of 20 subsets left to split up between these two groups. But the number of each must be the same! If we say "yes" to exactly two elements, that can be accomplished in exactly the same number of ways as the number of ways we can say "no" to exactly two elements. So the number of 2-element subsets is equal to the number of 3-element subsets. Together there are 20 of these subsets, so 10 each.

 Number of elements:
 0
 1
 2
 3
 4
 5

 Number of subsets:
 1
 5
 10
 10
 5
 1

BIT STRINGS

"Bit" is short for "binary digit," so a **bit string** is a string of binary digits. The **binary digits** are simply the numbers 0 and 1. All of the following are bit strings:

1001 0 1111 1010101010

The number of bits (0's or 1's) in the string is the **length** of the string; the strings above have lengths 4, 1, 4, and 10 respectively. We also can ask how many of the bits are 1's. The number of 1's in a bit string is the **weight** of the string; the weights of the above strings are 2, 0, 4, and 5 respectively.

Bit Strings

- An *n*-bit string is a bit string of length *n*. That is, it is a string containing *n* symbols, each of which is a bit, either 0 or 1.
- The weight of a bit string is the number of 1's in it.
- **B**^{*n*} is the *set* of all *n*-bit strings.
- \mathbf{B}_{k}^{n} is the set of all *n*-bit strings of weight *k*.

For example, the elements of the set \mathbf{B}_2^3 are the bit strings 011, 101, and 110. Those are the only strings containing three bits exactly two of which are 1's.

The counting questions: How many bit strings have length 5? How many of those have weight 3? In other words, we are asking for the cardinalities $|\mathbf{B}^5|$ and $|\mathbf{B}_3^5|$.

To find the number of 5-bit strings is straight forward. We have 5 bits, and each can either be a 0 or a 1. So there are 2 choices for the first bit, 2 choices for the second, and so on. By the multiplicative principle, there are $2 \cdot 2 \cdot 2 \cdot 2 \cdot 2 = 2^5 = 32$ such strings.

Finding the number of 5-bit strings of weight 3 is harder. Think about how such a string could start. The first bit must be either a 0 or a 1. In the first case (the string starts with a 0), we must then decide on four more bits. To have a total of three 1's, among those four remaining bits there must be three 1's. To count all of these strings, we must include all 4-bit strings of weight 3. In the second case (the string starts with a 1), we still have four bits to choose, but now only two of them can be 1's, so we should look at all the 4-bit strings of weight 2. So the strings in \mathbf{B}_3^5 all have the form $1\mathbf{B}_2^4$ (that is, a 1 followed by a string from \mathbf{B}_2^4) or $0\mathbf{B}_3^4$. These two sets are disjoint, so we can use the additive principle:

$$|\mathbf{B}_{3}^{5}| = |\mathbf{B}_{2}^{4}| + |\mathbf{B}_{3}^{4}|$$

This is an example of a **recurrence relation**. We represented one instance of our counting problem in terms of two simpler instances of the problem. If only we knew the cardinalities of \mathbf{B}_2^4 and \mathbf{B}_3^4 . Repeating the same reasoning,

$$|\mathbf{B}_{2}^{4}| = |\mathbf{B}_{1}^{3}| + |\mathbf{B}_{2}^{3}|$$
 and $|\mathbf{B}_{3}^{4}| = |\mathbf{B}_{2}^{3}| + |\mathbf{B}_{3}^{3}|$.

We can keep going down, but this should be good enough. Both \mathbf{B}_1^3 and \mathbf{B}_2^3 contain 3 bit strings: we must pick one of the three bits to be a 1 (three ways to do that) or one of the three bits to be a 0 (three ways to do that). Also, \mathbf{B}_3^3 contains just one string: 111. Thus $|\mathbf{B}_2^4| = 6$ and $|\mathbf{B}_3^4| = 4$, which puts \mathbf{B}_3^5 at a total of 10 strings.

But wait -32 and 10 were the answers to the counting questions about subsets. Coincidence? Not at all. Each bit string can be thought of as a *code* for a subset. For the set $A = \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5\}$, we would use 5-bit strings, one bit for each element of A. Each bit in the string is a 0 if its corresponding element of A is not in the subset, and a 1 if the element of A is in the subset. Remember, deciding the subset amounted to a sequence of five yes/no votes for the elements of A. Instead of yes, we put a 1; instead of no, we put a 0.

For example, the bit string 11001 represents the subset $\{1, 2, 5\}$ since the first, second and fifth bits are 1's. The subset $\{3, 5\}$ would be coded by the string 00101. What we really have here is a bijection from $\mathcal{P}(A)$ to **B**⁵.

Now for a subset to contain exactly three elements, the corresponding bit string must contain exactly three 1's. In other words, the weight must be 3. Thus counting the number of 3-element subsets of *A* is the same as counting the number 5-bit strings of weight 3.

LATTICE PATHS

The **integer lattice** is the set of all points in the Cartesian plane for which both the *x* and *y* coordinates are integers. If you like to draw graphs on graph paper, the lattice is the set of all the intersections of the grid lines.

A **lattice path** is one of the shortest possible paths connecting two points on the lattice, moving only horizontally and vertically. For example, here are three possible lattice paths from the points (0,0) to (3,2):



Notice to ensure the path is the *shortest* possible, each move must be either to the right or up. Additionally, in this case, note that no matter what path we take, we must make three steps right and two steps up. No matter what order we make these steps, there will always be 5 steps. Thus each path has *length* 5.

The counting question: how many lattice paths are there between (0, 0) and (3, 2)? We could try to draw all of these, or instead of drawing them, maybe just list which direction we travel on each of the 5 steps. One path might be RRUUR, or maybe UURRR, or perhaps RURRU (those correspond to the three paths drawn above). So how many such strings of R's and U's are there?

Notice that each of these strings must contain 5 symbols. Exactly 3 of them must be R's (since our destination is 3 units to the right). This seems awfully familiar. In fact, what if we used 1's instead of R's and 0's instead of U's? Then we would just have 5-bit strings of weight 3. There are 10 of those, so there are 10 lattice paths from (0,0) to (3,2).

The correspondence between bit strings and lattice paths does not stop there. Here is another way to count lattice paths. Consider the lattice shown below:



Any lattice path from (0,0) to (3,2) must pass through exactly one of *A* and *B*. The point *A* is 4 steps away from (0,0) and two of them are towards the right. The number of lattice paths to *A* is the same as the number of 4-bit strings of weight 2, namely 6. The point *B* is 4 steps away from (0,0), but now 3 of them are towards the right. So the number of paths to point *B* is the same as the number of 4-bit strings of weight 3, namely 4. So the total number of paths to (3,2) is just 6 + 4. This is the same way we calculated the number of 5-bit strings of weight 3. The point: the exact same recurrence relation exists for bit strings and for lattice paths.

BINOMIAL COEFFICIENTS

Binomial coefficients are the coefficients in the expanded version of a binomial, such as $(x + y)^5$. What happens when we multiply such a binomial out? We will expand $(x + y)^n$ for various values of *n*. Each of these are done by multiplying everything out (i.e., FOIL-ing) and then collecting like terms.

$$(x + y)^{1} = x + y$$

$$(x + y)^{2} = x^{2} + 2xy + y^{2}$$

$$(x + y)^{3} = x^{3} + 3x^{2}y + 3xy^{2} + y^{3}$$

$$(x + y)^{4} = x^{4} + 4x^{3}y + 6x^{2}y^{2} + 4xy^{3} + y^{4}$$

In fact, there is a quicker way to expand the above binomials. For example, consider the next one, $(x + y)^5$. What we are really doing is multiplying out,

$$(x + y)(x + y)(x + y)(x + y)(x + y).$$

If that looks daunting, go back to the case of $(x + y)^3 = (x + y)(x + y)$. Why do we only have one x^3 and y^3 but three x^2y and xy^2 terms? Every time we distribute over an (x + y) we create two copies of what is left, one multiplied by x, the other multiplied by y. To get x^3 , we need to pick the "multiplied by x" side every time (we don't have any y's in the term). This will only happen once. On the other hand, to get x^2y we need to select the x side twice and the y side once. In other words, we need to pick one of the three (x + y) terms to "contribute" their y.

Similarly, in the expansion of $(x + y)^5$, there will be only one x^5 term and one y^5 term. This is because to get an x^5 , we need to use the *x* term in each of the copies of the binomial (x + y), and similarly for y^5 . What about x^4y ? To get terms like this, we need to use four *x*'s and one *y*, so we need exactly one of the five binomials to contribute a *y*. There are 5 choices for this, so there are 5 ways to get x^4y , so the coefficient of x^4y is 5. This is also the coefficient for xy^4 for the same (but opposite) reason: there are 5 ways to pick which of the 5 binomials contribute the single *x*. So far we have

$$(x+y)^5 = x^5 + 5x^4y + \underline{?} x^3y^2 + \underline{?} x^2y^3 + 5xy^4 + y^5.$$

We still need the coefficients of x^3y^2 and x^2y^3 . In both cases, we need to pick exactly 3 of the 5 binomials to contribute one variable, the other two to contribute the other. Wait. This sounds familiar. We have 5

things, each can be one of two things, and we need a total of 3 of one of them. That's just like taking 5 bits and making sure exactly 3 of them are 1's. So the coefficient of x^3y^2 (and also x^2y^3) will be exactly the same as the number of bit strings of length 5 and weight 3, which we found earlier to be 10. So we have:

$$(x+y)^5 = x^5 + 5x^4y + 10x^3y^2 + 10x^2y^3 + 5xy^4 + y^5.$$

These numbers we keep seeing over and over again. They are the number of subsets of a particular size, the number of bit strings of a particular weight, the number of lattice paths, and the coefficients of these binomial products. We will call them **binomial coefficients**. We even have a special symbol for them: $\binom{n}{k}$.

Binomial Coefficients

For each integer $n \ge 0$ and integer k with $0 \le k \le n$ there is a number

$\binom{n}{k}$

read "*n* choose *k*." We have:

- $\binom{n}{k} = |\mathbf{B}_{k}^{n}|$, the number of *n*-bit strings of weight *k*.
- $\binom{n}{k}$ is the number of subsets of a set of size *n* each with cardinality *k*.
- $\binom{n}{k}$ is the number of lattice paths of length *n* containing *k* steps to the right.
- $\binom{n}{k}$ is the coefficient of $x^k y^{n-k}$ in the expansion of $(x + y)^n$.
- $\binom{n}{k}$ is the number of ways to select *k* objects from a total of *n* objects.

The last bullet point is usually taken as the definition of $\binom{n}{k}$. Out of *n* objects we must choose *k* of them, so there are *n* choose *k* ways of doing this. Each of our counting problems above can be viewed in this way:

- How many subsets of {1, 2, 3, 4, 5} contain exactly 3 elements? We must choose 3 of the 5 elements to be in our subset. There are ⁵₃ ways to do this, so there are ⁵₃ such subsets.
- How many bit strings have length 5 and weight 3? We must choose 3 of the 5 bits to be 1's. There are $\binom{5}{3}$ ways to do this, so there are $\binom{5}{3}$ such bit strings.
- How many lattice paths are there from (0,0) to (3,2)? We must choose 3 of the 5 steps to be towards the right. There are ⁽⁵⁾₃ ways to do this, so there are ⁽⁵⁾₃ such lattice paths.
- What is the coefficient of x^3y^2 in the expansion of $(x + y)^5$? We must choose 3 of the 5 copies of the binomial to contribute an x. There are $\binom{5}{3}$ ways to do this, so the coefficient is $\binom{5}{3}$.

It should be clear that in each case above, we have the right answer. All we had to do is phrase the question correctly and it became obvious that $\binom{5}{3}$ is correct. However, this does not tell us that the answer is in fact 10 in each case. We will eventually find a formula for $\binom{n}{k}$, but for now, look back at how we arrived at the answer 10 in our counting problems above. It all came down to bit strings, and we have a recurrence relation for bit strings:

$$|\mathbf{B}_{k}^{n}| = |\mathbf{B}_{k-1}^{n-1}| + |\mathbf{B}_{k}^{n-1}|.$$

Remember, this is because we can start the bit string with either a 1 or a 0. In both cases, we have n - 1 more bits to pick. The strings starting with 1 must contain k - 1 more 1's, while the strings starting with 0 still need k more 1's.

Since $|\mathbf{B}_{k}^{n}| = {n \choose k}$, the same recurrence relation holds for binomial coefficients:

Recurrence relation for $\binom{n}{k}$

$$\binom{n}{k} = \binom{n-1}{k-1} + \binom{n-1}{k}$$

PASCAL'S TRIANGLE

Let's arrange the binomial coefficients $\binom{n}{k}$ into a triangle like follows:



This can continue as far down as we like. The recurrence relation for $\binom{n}{k}$ tells us that each entry in the triangle is the sum of the two entries above it. The entries on the sides of the triangle are always 1. This is because $\binom{n}{0} = 1$ for all *n* since there is only one way to pick 0 of *n* objects and $\binom{n}{n} = 1$ since there is one way to select all *n* out of *n* objects. Using the recurrence relation, and the fact that the sides of the triangle are 1's, we can easily replace all the entries above with the correct values of $\binom{n}{k}$. Doing so gives us **Pascal's triangle**.

We can use Pascal's triangle to calculate binomial coefficients. For example, using the triangle below, we can find $\binom{12}{6} = 924$.

Pascal's Triangle



Exercises

1. Let $S = \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6\}$

- (a) How many subsets are there total?
- (b) How many subsets have {2, 3, 5} as a subset?
- (c) How many subsets contain at least one odd number?
- (d) How many subsets contain exactly one even number?

2. Let $S = \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6\}$

- (a) How many subsets are there of cardinality 4?
- (b) How many subsets of cardinality 4 have {2, 3, 5} as a subset?
- (c) How many subsets of cardinality 4 contain at least one odd number?
- (d) How many subsets of cardinality 4 contain exactly one even number?

3. Let $A = \{1, 2, 3, \dots, 9\}.$

- (a) How many subsets of *A* are there? That is, find $|\mathcal{P}(A)|$. Explain.
- (b) How many subsets of A contain exactly 5 elements? Explain.
- (c) How many subsets of A contain only even numbers? Explain.
- (d) How many subsets of A contain an even number of elements? Explain.

4. How many 9-bit strings (that is, bit strings of length 9) are there which:

- (a) Start with the sub-string 101? Explain.
- (b) Have weight 5 (i.e., contain exactly five 1's) and start with the sub-string 101? Explain.
- (c) Either start with 101 or end with 11 (or both)? Explain.
- (d) Have weight 5 and either start with 101 or end with 11 (or both)? Explain.

5. You break your piggy-bank to discover lots of pennies and nickels. You start arranging these in rows of 6 coins.

- (a) You find yourself making rows containing an equal number of pennies and nickels. For fun, you decide to lay out every possible such row. How many coins will you need?
- (b) How many coins would you need to make all possible rows of 6 coins (not necessarily with equal number of pennies and nickels)?
- 6. How many 10-bit strings contain 6 or more 1's?
- 7. How many subsets of $\{0, 1, \dots, 9\}$ have cardinality 6 or more?

Hint. Break the question into five cases.

- 8. What is the coefficient of x^{12} in $(x + 2)^{15}$?
- **9.** What is the coefficient of x^9 in the expansion of $(x + 1)^{14} + x^3(x + 2)^{15}$?

10. How many shortest lattice paths start at (3,3) and

- (a) end at (10,10)?
- (b) end at (10,10) and pass through (5,7)?
- (c) end at (10,10) and avoid (5,7)?

11. Gridtown USA, besides having excellent donut shoppes, is known for its precisely laid out grid of streets and avenues. Streets run east-west, and avenues north-south, for the entire stretch of the town, never curving and never interrupted by parks or schools or the like.

Suppose you live on the corner of 1st and 1st and work on the corner of 12th and 12th. Thus you must travel 22 blocks to get to work as quickly as possible.

- (a) How many different routes can you take to work, assuming you want to get there as quickly as possible?
- (b) Now suppose you want to stop and get a donut on the way to work, from your favorite donut shoppe on the corner of 8th st and 10th ave. How many routes to work, via the donut shoppe, can you take (again, ensuring the shortest possible route)?
- (c) Disaster Strikes Gridtown: there is a pothole on 4th avenue between 5th and 6th street. How many routes to work can you take avoiding that unsightly (and dangerous) stretch of road?
- (d) How many routes are there both avoiding the pothole and visiting the donut shoppe?

12. Suppose you are ordering a large pizza from *D.P. Dough*. You want 3 distinct toppings, chosen from their list of 11 vegetarian toppings.

- (a) How many choices do you have for your pizza?
- (b) How many choices do you have for your pizza if you refuse to have pineapple as one of your toppings?
- (c) How many choices do you have for your pizza if you *insist* on having pineapple as one of your toppings?
- (d) How do the three questions above relate to each other?
- **13.** Explain why the coefficient of x^5y^3 the same as the coefficient of x^3y^5 in the expansion of $(x + y)^8$?

1.3 Combinations and Permutations

Investigate!

You have a bunch of chips which come in five different colors: red, blue, green, purple and yellow.

- 1. How many different two-chip stacks can you make if the bottom chip must be red or blue? Explain your answer using both the additive and multiplicative principles.
- 2. How many different three-chip stacks can you make if the bottom chip must be red or blue and the top chip must be green, purple or yellow? How does this problem relate to the previous one?
- 3. How many different three-chip stacks are there in which no color is repeated? What about four-chip stacks?
- 4. Suppose you wanted to take three different colored chips and put them in your pocket. How many different choices do you have? What if you wanted four different colored chips? How do these problems relate to the previous one?

Attempt the above activity before proceeding

A **permutation** is a (possible) rearrangement of objects. For example, there are 6 permutations of the letters *a*, *b*, *c*:

abc, acb, bac, bca, cab, cba.

We know that we have them all listed above —there are 3 choices for which letter we put first, then 2 choices for which letter comes next, which leaves only 1 choice for the last letter. The multiplicative principle says we multiply $3 \cdot 2 \cdot 1$.

Example 1.3.1

How many permutations are there of the letters *a*, *b*, *c*, *d*, *e*, *f*?

Solution. We do NOT want to try to list all of these out. However, if we did, we would need to pick a letter to write down first. There are 6 choices for that letter. For each choice of first letter, there are 5 choices for the second letter (we cannot repeat the first letter; we are rearranging letters and only have one of each), and for each of those, there are 4 choices for the third, 3 choices for the fourth, 2 choices for the fifth and finally only 1 choice for the last letter. So there are $6 \cdot 5 \cdot 4 \cdot 3 \cdot 2 \cdot 1 = 720$ permutations of the 6 letters.

A piece of notation is helpful here: n!, read "n factorial", is the product of all positive integers less than or equal to n (for reasons of convenience, we also define 0! to be 1). So the number of permutation of 6 letters, as seen in the previous example is $6! = 6 \cdot 5 \cdot 4 \cdot 3 \cdot 2 \cdot 1$. This generalizes:

Permutations of *n* **elements**

There are $n! = n \cdot (n-1) \cdot (n-2) \cdots 2 \cdot 1$ permutations of *n* (distinct) elements.

Example 1.3.2: Counting Bijective Functions

How many functions $f : \{1, 2, \dots, 8\} \rightarrow \{1, 2, \dots, 8\}$ are *bijective*?

Solution. Remember what it means for a function to be bijective: each element in the codomain must be the image of exactly one element of the domain. Using two-line notation, we could write one of these bijections as

$$f = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 & 6 & 7 & 8 \\ 3 & 1 & 5 & 8 & 7 & 6 & 2 & 4 \end{pmatrix}$$

What we are really doing is just rearranging the elements of the codomain, so we are creating a permutation of 8 elements. In fact, "permutation" is another term used to describe bijective functions from a finite set to itself.

If you believe this, then you see the answer must be $8! = 8 \cdot 7 \cdots 1 = 40320$. You can see this directly as well: for each element of the domain, we must pick a distinct element of the codomain to map to. There are 8 choices for where to send 1, then 7 choices for where to send 2, and so on. We multiply using the multiplicative principle.

Sometimes we do not want to permute all of the letters/numbers/elements we are given.

Example 1.3.3

How many 4 letter "words" can you make from the letters *a* through *f*, with no repeated letters?

Solution. This is just like the problem of permuting 4 letters, only now we have more choices for each letter. For the first letter, there are 6 choices. For each of those, there are 5 choices for the second letter. Then there are 4 choices for the third letter, and 3 choices for the last letter. The total number of words is $6 \cdot 5 \cdot 4 \cdot 3 = 360$. This is not 6! because we never multiplied by 2 and 1. We could start with 6! and then cancel the 2 and 1, and thus write $\frac{6!}{2!}$.

In general, we can ask how many permutations exist of k objects choosing those objects from a larger collection of n objects. (In the example above, k = 4, and n = 6.) We write this number P(n, k) and sometimes call it a k-permutation of n elements. From the example above, we see that to compute P(n, k) we must apply the multiplicative principle to k numbers, starting with n and counting backwards. For example

$$P(10, 4) = 10 \cdot 9 \cdot 8 \cdot 7.$$

Notice again that P(10, 4) starts out looking like 10!, but we stop after 7. We can formally account for this "stopping" by dividing away the part of the factorial we do not want:

$$P(10,4) = \frac{10 \cdot 9 \cdot 8 \cdot 7 \cdot 6 \cdot 5 \cdot 4 \cdot 3 \cdot 2 \cdot 1}{6 \cdot 5 \cdot 4 \cdot 3 \cdot 2 \cdot 1} = \frac{10!}{6!}.$$

Careful: The factorial in the denominator is not 4! but rather (10 - 4)!.

k-permutations of *n* elements

P(n, k) is the number of *k*-permutations of *n* elements, the number of ways to *arrange k* objects chosen from *n* distinct objects.

$$P(n,k) = \frac{n!}{(n-k)!}.$$

Note that when n = k, we have $P(n, n) = \frac{n!}{(n-n)!} = n!$ (since we defined 0! to be 1). This makes sense —we already know n! gives the number of permutations of all n objects.

Example 1.3.4: Counting injective functions

How many functions $f : \{1, 2, 3\} \rightarrow \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8\}$ are *injective*?

Solution. Note that it doesn't make sense to ask for the number of *bijections* here, as there are none (because the codomain is larger than the domain, there are no surjections). But for a function to be injective, we just can't use an element of the codomain more than once.

We need to pick an element from the codomain to be the image of 1. There are 8 choices. Then we need to pick one of the remaining 7 elements to be the image of 2. Finally, one of the remaining 6 elements must be the image of 3. So the total number of functions is $8 \cdot 7 \cdot 6 = P(8, 3)$.

What this demonstrates in general is that the number of injections $f : A \rightarrow B$, where |A| = k and |B| = n, is P(n, k).

Here is another way to find the number of *k*-permutations of *n* elements: first select which *k* elements will be in the permutation, then count how many ways there are to arrange them. Once you have selected the *k* objects, we know there are *k*! ways to arrange (permute) them. But how do you select *k* objects from the *n*? You have *n* objects, and you need to *choose k* of them. You can do that in $\binom{n}{k}$ ways. Then for each choice of those *k* elements, we can permute *them* in *k*! ways. Using the multiplicative principle, we get another formula for *P*(*n*, *k*):

$$P(n,k) = \binom{n}{k} \cdot k!.$$

Now since we have a closed formula for P(n, k) already, we can substitute that in:

$$\frac{n!}{(n-k)!} = \binom{n}{k} \cdot k!.$$

If we divide both sides by k! we get a closed formula for $\binom{n}{k}$.

Closed formula for $\binom{n}{k}$

 $\binom{n}{k} = \frac{n!}{(n-k)!k!}$

We say P(n, k) counts *permutations*, and $\binom{n}{k}$ counts *combinations*. The formulas for each are very similar, there is just an extra k! in the denominator of $\binom{n}{k}$. That extra k! accounts for the fact that $\binom{n}{k}$ does not distinguish between the different orders that the k objects can appear in. We are just selecting (or choosing) the k objects, not arranging them. Perhaps "combination" is a misleading label. We don't mean it like a combination lock (where the order would definitely matter). Perhaps a better metaphor is a combination of flavors — you just need to decide which flavors to combine, not the order in which to combine them.

To further illustrate the connection between combinations and permutations, we close with an example.

Example 1.3.5

You decide to have a dinner party. Even though you are incredibly popular and have 14 different friends, you only have enough chairs to invite 6 of them.

- 1. How many choices do you have for which 6 friends to invite?
- 2. What if you need to decide not only which friends to invite but also where to seat them along your long table? How many choices do you have then?

Solution.

- 1. You must simply choose 6 friends from a group of 14. This can be done in $\binom{14}{6}$ ways. We can find this number either by using Pascal's triangle or the closed formula: $\frac{14!}{8!6!} = 3003$.
- 2. Here you must count all the ways you can permute 6 friends chosen from a group of 14. So the answer is P(14, 6), which can be calculated as $\frac{14!}{8!} = 2192190$.

Notice that we can think of this counting problem as a question about counting functions: how many injective functions are there from your set of 6 chairs to your set of 14 friends (the functions are injective because you can't have a single chair go to two of your friends).

How are these numbers related? Notice that P(14, 6) is *much* larger than $\binom{14}{6}$. This makes sense. $\binom{14}{6}$ picks 6 friends, but P(14, 6) arranges the 6 friends as well as picks them. In fact, we can say exactly how much larger P(14, 6) is. In both counting problems we choose 6 out of 14 friends. For the first one, we stop there, at 3003 ways. But for the second counting problem, each of those 3003 choices of 6 friends can be arranged in exactly 6! ways. So now we have $3003 \cdot 6!$ choices and that is exactly 2192190.

Alternatively, look at the first problem another way. We want to select 6 out of 14 friends, but we do not care about the order they are selected in. To select 6 out of 14 friends, we might try this:

$$14 \cdot 13 \cdot 12 \cdot 11 \cdot 10 \cdot 9.$$

This is a reasonable guess, since we have 14 choices for the first guest, then 13 for the second, and so on. But the guess is wrong (in fact, that product is exactly 2192190 = P(14, 6)). It distinguishes between the different orders in which we could invite the guests. To correct for this, we could divide by the number of different arrangements of the 6 guests (so that all of these would count as just one outcome). There are precisely 6! ways to arrange 6 guests, so the correct answer to the first question is

 $\overline{8! \cdot 6!}$.

which is what we had originally.

Note that another way to write this

Exercises

- 1. A pizza parlor offers 10 toppings.
- (a) How many 3-topping pizzas could they put on their menu? Assume double toppings are not allowed.
- (b) How many total pizzas are possible, with between zero and ten toppings (but not double toppings) allowed?
- (c) The pizza parlor will list the 10 toppings in two equal-sized columns on their menu. How many ways can they arrange the toppings in the left column?

2. A combination lock consists of a dial with 40 numbers on it. To open the lock, you turn the dial to the right until you reach a first number, then to the left until you get to second number, then to the right again to the third number. The numbers must be distinct. How many different combinations are possible?

3. Using the digits 2 through 8, find the number of different 5-digit numbers such that:

- (a) Digits can be used more than once.
- (b) Digits cannot be repeated, but can come in any order.
- (c) Digits cannot be repeated and must be written in increasing order.
- (d) Which of the above counting questions is a combination and which is a permutation? Explain why this makes sense.

4. How many triangles are there with vertices from the points shown below? Note, we are not allowing degenerate triangles - ones with all three vertices on the same line, but we do allow non-right triangles. Explain why your answer is correct.

• • • • • • • • • •

Hint. You need exactly two points on either the *x*- or *y*-axis, but don't over-count the right triangles.

5. How many quadrilaterals can you draw using the dots below as vertices (corners)?



6. How many of the quadrilaterals possible in the previous problem are:

- (a) Squares?
- (b) Rectangles?
- (c) Parallelograms?
- (d) Trapezoids?²
- (e) Trapezoids that are not parallelograms?

7. An *anagram* of a word is just a rearrangement of its letters. How many different anagrams of "uncopyrightable" are there? (This happens to be the longest common English word without any repeated letters.)

- 8. How many anagrams are there of the word "assesses" that start with the letter "a"?
- 9. How many anagrams are there of "anagram"?

10. On a business retreat, your company of 20 businessmen and businesswomen go golfing.

- (a) You need to divide up into foursomes (groups of 4 people): a first foursome, a second foursome, and so on. How many ways can you do this?
- (b) After all your hard work, you realize that in fact, you want each foursome to include one of the five Board members. How many ways can you do this?

11. How many different seating arrangements are possible for King Arthur and his 9 knights around their round table?

12. Consider sets *A* and *B* with |A| = 10 and |B| = 17.

- (a) How many functions $f : A \rightarrow B$ are there?
- (b) How many functions $f : A \rightarrow B$ are injective?

13. Consider functions $f : \{1, 2, 3, 4\} \rightarrow \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6\}$.

- (a) How many functions are there total?
- (b) How many functions are injective?
- (c) How many of the injective functions are *increasing*? To be increasing means that if a < b then f(a) < f(b), or in other words, the outputs get larger as the inputs get larger.

14. We have seen that the formula for P(n, k) is $\frac{n!}{(n-k)!}$. Your task here is to explain *why* this is the right formula.

(a) Suppose you have 12 chips, each a different color. How many different stacks of 5 chips can you make? Explain your answer and why it is the same as using the formula for P(12, 5).

- (b) Using the scenario of the 12 chips again, what does 12! count? What does 7! count? Explain.
- (c) Explain why it makes sense to divide 12! by 7! when computing P(12, 5) (in terms of the chips).
- (d) Does your explanation work for numbers other than 12 and 5? Explain the formula $P(n, k) = \frac{n!}{(n-k)!}$ using the variables *n* and *k*.

²Here, as in calculus, a trapezoid is defined as a quadrilateral with *at least* one pair of parallel sides. In particular, parallelograms are trapezoids.

50 1. Counting

1.4 Combinatorial Proofs

Investigate!

1. The Stanley Cup is decided in a best of 7 tournament between two teams. In how many ways can your team win? Let's answer this question two ways:

- (a) How many of the 7 games does your team need to win? How many ways can this happen?
- (b) What if the tournament goes all 7 games? So you win the last game. How many ways can the first 6 games go down?
- (c) What if the tournament goes just 6 games? How many ways can this happen? What about 5 games? 4 games?
- (d) What are the two different ways to compute the number of ways your team can win? Write down an equation involving binomial coefficients (that is, $\binom{n}{k}$'s). What pattern in Pascal's triangle is this an example of?
- 2. Generalize. What if the rules changed and you played a best of 9 tournament (5 wins required)? What if you played an *n* game tournament with *k* wins required to be named champion?

Attempt the above activity before proceeding

PATTERNS IN PASCAL'S TRIANGLE

Have a look again at Pascal's triangle. Forget for a moment where it comes from. Just look at it as a mathematical object. What do you notice?



There are lots of patterns hidden away in the triangle, enough to fill a reasonably sized book. Here are just a few of the most obvious ones:

- 1. The entries on the border of the triangle are all 1.
- 2. Any entry not on the border is the sum of the two entries above it.
- 3. The triangle is symmetric. In any row, entries on the left side are mirrored on the right side.
- 4. The sum of all entries on a given row is a power of 2. (You should check this!)

We would like to state these observations in a more precise way, and then prove that they are correct. Now each entry in Pascal's triangle is in fact a binomial coefficient. The 1 on the very top of the triangle is $\binom{0}{0}$.

The next row (which we will call row 1, even though it is not the top-most row) consists of $\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$ and $\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$. Row 4 (the row 1, 4, 6, 4, 1) consists of the binomial coefficients

$$\begin{pmatrix} 4 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 4 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 4 \\ 2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 4 \\ 3 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 4 \\ 4 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Given this description of the elements in Pascal's triangle, we can rewrite the above observations as follows:

1. $\binom{n}{0} = 1$ and $\binom{n}{n} = 1$.

2.
$$\binom{n}{k} = \binom{n-1}{k-1} + \binom{n-1}{k}$$

3.
$$\binom{n}{k} = \binom{n}{n-k}$$

4. $\binom{n}{0} + \binom{n}{1} + \binom{n}{2} + \dots + \binom{n}{n} = 2^n$.

Each of these is an example of a **binomial identity**: an identity (i.e., equation) involving binomial coefficients.

Our goal is to establish these identities. We wish to prove that they hold for all values of *n* and *k*. These proofs can be done in many ways. One option would be to give algebraic proofs, using the formula for $\binom{n}{k}$:

$$\binom{n}{k} = \frac{n!}{(n-k)!\,k!}.$$

Here's how you might do that for the second identity above.

Example 1.4.1

Give an algebraic proof for the binomial identity

$$\binom{n}{k} = \binom{n-1}{k-1} + \binom{n-1}{k}.$$

Solution.

Proof. By the definition of $\binom{n}{k}$, we have

$$\binom{n-1}{k-1} = \frac{(n-1)!}{(n-1-(k-1))!(k-1)!} = \frac{(n-1)!}{(n-k)!(k-1)!}$$

and

$$\binom{n-1}{k} = \frac{(n-1)!}{(n-1-k)!k!}.$$

Thus, starting with the right-hand side of the equation:

$$\binom{n-1}{k-1} + \binom{n-1}{k} = \frac{(n-1)!}{(n-k)!(k-1)!} + \frac{(n-1)!}{(n-1-k)!k!}$$
$$= \frac{(n-1)!k}{(n-k)!k!} + \frac{(n-1)!(n-k)}{(n-k)!k!}$$
$$= \frac{(n-1)!(k+n-k)}{(n-k)!k!}$$
$$= \frac{n!}{(n-k)!k!}$$
$$= \binom{n}{k}.$$

The second line (where the common denominator is found) works because k(k - 1)! = k! and (n - k)(n - k - 1)! = (n - k)!.

This is certainly a valid proof, but also is entirely useless. Even if you understand the proof perfectly, it does not tell you *why* the identity is true. A better approach would be to explain what $\binom{n}{k}$ *means* and then say why that is also what $\binom{n-1}{k-1} + \binom{n-1}{k}$ means. Let's see how this works for the four identities we observed above.

Example 1.4.2

Explain why $\binom{n}{0} = 1$ and $\binom{n}{n} = 1$.

Solution. What do these binomial coefficients tell us? Well, $\binom{n}{0}$ gives the number of ways to select 0 objects from a collection of *n* objects. There is only one way to do this, namely to not select any of the objects. Thus $\binom{n}{0} = 1$. Similarly, $\binom{n}{n}$ gives the number of ways to select *n* objects from a collection of *n* objects. There is only one way to do this: select all *n* objects. Thus $\binom{n}{n} = 1$.

Alternatively, we know that $\binom{n}{0}$ is the number of *n*-bit strings with weight 0. There is only one such string, the string of all 0's. So $\binom{n}{0} = 1$. Similarly $\binom{n}{n}$ is the number of *n*-bit strings with weight *n*. There is only one string with this property, the string of all 1's.

Another way: $\binom{n}{0}$ gives the number of subsets of a set of size *n* containing 0 elements. There is only one such subset, the empty set. $\binom{n}{n}$ gives the number of subsets containing *n* elements. The only such subset is the original set (of all elements).

Example 1.4.3

Explain why $\binom{n}{k} = \binom{n-1}{k-1} + \binom{n-1}{k}$.

Solution. The easiest way to see this is to consider bit strings. $\binom{n}{k}$ is the number of bit strings of length *n* containing *k* 1's. Of all of these strings, some start with a 1 and the rest start with a 0. First consider all the bit strings which start with a 1. After the 1, there must be n - 1 more bits (to get the total length up to *n*) and exactly k - 1 of them must be 1's (as we already have one, and we need *k* total). How many strings are there like that? There are exactly $\binom{n-1}{k-1}$ such bit strings, so of all the length *n* bit strings containing *k* 1's, $\binom{n-1}{k-1}$ of them must be 1's). Since there are $\binom{n-1}{k}$ which start with a 0 (we still need n - 1 bits and now *k* of them must be 1's). Since there are $\binom{n-1}{k}$ bit strings containing n - 1 bits with *k* 1's, that is the number of length *n* bit strings with *k* 1's which start with a 0. Therefore $\binom{n}{k} = \binom{n-1}{k-1} + \binom{n-1}{k}$.

Another way: consider the question, how many ways can you select k pizza toppings from a menu containing n choices? One way to do this is just $\binom{n}{k}$. Another way to answer the same question is to first decide whether or not you want anchovies. If you do want anchovies, you still need to pick k - 1 toppings, now from just n - 1 choices. That can be done in $\binom{n-1}{k-1}$ ways. If you do not want anchovies, then you still need to select k toppings from n - 1 choices (the anchovies are out). You can do that in $\binom{n-1}{k}$ ways. Since the choices with anchovies are disjoint from the choices without anchovies, the total choices are $\binom{n-1}{k-1} + \binom{n-1}{k}$. But wait. We answered the same question in two different ways, so the two answers must be the same. Thus $\binom{n}{k} = \binom{n-1}{k-1} + \binom{n-1}{k}$.

You can also explain (prove) this identity by counting subsets, or even lattice paths.

Example 1.4.4

Prove the binomial identity $\binom{n}{k} = \binom{n}{n-k}$.

Solution. Why is this true? $\binom{n}{k}$ counts the number of ways to select *k* things from *n* choices. On the other hand, $\binom{n}{n-k}$ counts the number of ways to select n - k things from *n* choices. Are these really the same? Well, what if instead of selecting the n - k things you choose to exclude them. How many ways are there to choose n - k things to exclude from *n* choices. Clearly this is $\binom{n}{n-k}$ as well (it doesn't matter whether you include or exclude the things once you have chosen them). And if you exclude n - k things, then you are including the other *k* things. So the set of outcomes should be the same.

Let's try the pizza counting example like we did above. How many ways are there to pick k toppings from a list of n choices? On the one hand, the answer is simply $\binom{n}{k}$. Alternatively, you

could make a list of all the toppings you don't want. To end up with a pizza containing exactly k toppings, you need to pick n - k toppings to not put on the pizza. You have $\binom{n}{n-k}$ choices for the toppings you don't want. Both of these ways give you a pizza with k toppings, in fact all the ways to get a pizza with k toppings. Thus these two answers must be the same: $\binom{n}{k} = \binom{n}{n-k}$.

You can also prove (explain) this identity using bit strings, subsets, or lattice paths. The bit string argument is nice: $\binom{n}{k}$ counts the number of bit strings of length n with k 1's. This is also the number of bit string of length n with k 0's (just replace each 1 with a 0 and each 0 with a 1). But if a string of length n has k 0's, it must have n - k 1's. And there are exactly $\binom{n}{n-k}$ strings of length n with n - k 1's.

Example 1.4.5

Prove the binomial identity $\binom{n}{0} + \binom{n}{1} + \binom{n}{2} + \dots + \binom{n}{n} = 2^n$.

Solution. Let's do a "pizza proof" again. We need to find a question about pizza toppings which has 2^n as the answer. How about this: If a pizza joint offers *n* toppings, how many pizzas can you build using any number of toppings from no toppings to all toppings, using each topping at most once?

On one hand, the answer is 2^n . For each topping you can say "yes" or "no," so you have two choices for each topping.

On the other hand, divide the possible pizzas into disjoint groups: the pizzas with no toppings, the pizzas with one topping, the pizzas with two toppings, etc. If we want no toppings, there is only one pizza like that (the empty pizza, if you will) but it would be better to think of that number as $\binom{n}{0}$ since we choose 0 of the *n* toppings. How many pizzas have 1 topping? We need to choose 1 of the *n* toppings, so $\binom{n}{1}$. We have:

- Pizzas with 0 toppings: $\binom{n}{0}$
- Pizzas with 1 topping: $\binom{n}{1}$
- Pizzas with 2 toppings: $\binom{n}{2}$
- 🗄
- Pizzas with *n* toppings: $\binom{n}{n}$.

The total number of possible pizzas will be the sum of these, which is exactly the left-hand side of the identity we are trying to prove.

Again, we could have proved the identity using subsets, bit strings, or lattice paths (although the lattice path argument is a little tricky).

Hopefully this gives some idea of how explanatory proofs of binomial identities can go. It is worth pointing out that more traditional proofs can also be beautiful. ³ For example, consider the following rather slick proof of the last identity.

Expand the binomial $(x + y)^n$:

$$(x+y)^{n} = \binom{n}{0}x^{n} + \binom{n}{1}x^{n-1}y + \binom{n}{2}x^{n-2}y^{2} + \dots + \binom{n}{n-1}x \cdot y^{n} + \binom{n}{n}y^{n}.$$

Let x = 1 and y = 1. We get:

$$(1+1)^n = \binom{n}{0} 1^n + \binom{n}{1} 1^{n-1} 1 + \binom{n}{2} 1^{n-2} 1^2 + \dots + \binom{n}{n-1} 1 \cdot 1^n + \binom{n}{n} 1^n.$$

Of course this simplifies to:

$$(2)^n = \binom{n}{0} + \binom{n}{1} + \binom{n}{2} + \dots + \binom{n}{n-1} + \binom{n}{n}$$

³Most every binomial identity can be proved using mathematical induction, using the recursive definition for $\binom{n}{k}$. We will discuss induction in Section 2.5.

Something fun to try: Let x = 1 and y = 2. Neat huh?

More Proofs

The explanatory proofs given in the above examples are typically called **combinatorial proofs**. In general, to give a combinatorial proof for a binomial identity, say A = B you do the following:

- 1. Find a counting problem you will be able to answer in two ways.
- 2. Explain why one answer to the counting problem is *A*.
- 3. Explain why the other answer to the counting problem is *B*.

Since both *A* and *B* are the answers to the same question, we must have A = B.

The tricky thing is coming up with the question. This is not always obvious, but it gets easier the more counting problems you solve. You will start to recognize types of answers as the answers to types of questions. More often what will happen is you will be solving a counting problem and happen to think up two different ways of finding the answer. Now you have a binomial identity and the proof is right there. The proof *is* the problem you just solved together with your two solutions.

For example, consider this counting question:

How many 10-letter words use exactly four A's, three B's, two C's and one D?

Let's try to solve this problem. We have 10 spots for letters to go. Four of those need to be A's. We can pick the four A-spots in $\binom{10}{4}$ ways. Now where can we put the B's? Well there are only 6 spots left, we need to pick 3 of them. This can be done in $\binom{6}{3}$ ways. The two C's need to go in two of the 3 remaining spots, so we have $\binom{3}{2}$ ways of doing that. That leaves just one spot of the D, but we could write that 1 choice as $\binom{1}{1}$. Thus the answer is:

$$\binom{10}{4}\binom{6}{3}\binom{3}{2}\binom{1}{1}.$$

But why stop there? We can find the answer another way too. First let's decide where to put the one D: we have 10 spots, we need to choose 1 of them, so this can be done in $\binom{10}{1}$ ways. Next, choose one of the $\binom{9}{2}$ ways to place the two C's. We now have 7 spots left, and three of them need to be filled with B's. There are $\binom{7}{3}$ ways to do this. Finally the A's can be placed in $\binom{4}{4}$ (that is, only one) ways. So another answer to the question is

$$\binom{10}{1}\binom{9}{2}\binom{7}{3}\binom{4}{4}.$$

Interesting. This gives us the binomial identity:

$$\binom{10}{4}\binom{6}{3}\binom{3}{2}\binom{1}{1} = \binom{10}{1}\binom{9}{2}\binom{7}{3}\binom{4}{4}.$$

Here are a couple of other binomial identities with combinatorial proofs.

Example 1.4.6 Prove the identity

$$1n + 2(n-1) + 3(n-2) + \dots + (n-1)2 + n1 = \binom{n+2}{3}.$$

Solution. To give a combinatorial proof we need to think up a question we can answer in two ways: one way needs to give the left-hand-side of the identity, the other way needs to be the right-hand-side of the identity. Our clue to what question to ask comes from the right-hand side: $\binom{n+2}{3}$ counts the number of ways to select 3 things from a group of n + 2 things. Let's name those things 1, 2, 3, ..., n + 2. In other words, we want to find 3-element subsets of those numbers (since order should not matter, subsets are exactly the right thing to think about). We will have to be a bit clever to explain why the left-hand-side also gives the number of these subsets. Here's the proof.

Proof. Consider the question "How many 3-element subsets are there of the set $\{1, 2, 3, ..., n + 2\}$?" We answer this in two ways:

Answer 1: We must select 3 elements from the collection of n + 2 elements. This can be done in $\binom{n+2}{3}$ ways.

Answer 2: Break this problem up into cases by what the middle number in the subset is. Say each subset is $\{a, b, c\}$ written in increasing order. We count the number of subsets for each distinct value of *b*. The smallest possible value of *b* is 2, and the largest is n + 1.

When b = 2, there are $1 \cdot n$ subsets: 1 choice for *a* and *n* choices (3 through n + 2) for *c*.

When b = 3, there are $2 \cdot (n - 1)$ subsets: 2 choices for a and n - 1 choices for c.

When b = 4, there are $3 \cdot (n-2)$ subsets: 3 choices for *a* and n-2 choices for *c*.

And so on. When b = n + 1, there are *n* choices for *a* and only 1 choice for *c*, so $n \cdot 1$ subsets. Therefore the total number of subsets is

$$1n + 2(n - 1) + 3(n - 2) + \dots + (n - 1)2 + n1.$$

Since Answer 1 and Answer 2 are answers to the same question, they must be equal. Therefore

$$\ln n + 2(n-1) + 3(n-2) + \dots + (n-1)2 + n1 = \binom{n+2}{3}.$$
 QED

Example 1.4.7

Prove the binomial identity

$$\binom{n}{0}^2 + \binom{n}{1}^2 + \binom{n}{2}^2 + \dots + \binom{n}{n}^2 = \binom{2n}{n}$$

Solution. We will give two different proofs of this fact. The first will be very similar to the previous example (counting subsets). The second proof is a little slicker, using lattice paths.

Proof. Consider the question: "How many pizzas can you make using *n* toppings when there are 2*n* toppings to choose from?"

Answer 1: There are 2n toppings, from which you must choose n. This can be done in $\binom{2n}{n}$ ways.

Answer 2: Divide the toppings into two groups of n toppings (perhaps n meats and n veggies). Any choice of n toppings must include some number from the first group and some number from the second group. Consider each possible number of meat toppings separately:

0 meats: $\binom{n}{0}\binom{n}{n}$, since you need to choose 0 of the *n* meats and *n* of the *n* veggies.

1 meat: $\binom{n}{n}\binom{n}{n-1}$, since you need 1 of *n* meats so n-1 of *n* veggies. 2 meats: $\binom{n}{2}\binom{n}{n-2}$. Choose 2 meats and the remaining n-2 toppings from the *n* veggies.

And so on. The last case is *n* meats, which can be done in $\binom{n}{n}\binom{n}{0}$ ways.

Thus the total number of pizzas possible is

$$\binom{n}{0}\binom{n}{n} + \binom{n}{1}\binom{n}{n-1} + \binom{n}{2}\binom{n}{n-2} + \dots + \binom{n}{n}\binom{n}{0}.$$

This is not quite the left-hand side ... yet. Notice that $\binom{n}{n} = \binom{n}{0}$ and $\binom{n}{n-1} = \binom{n}{1}$ and so on, by the identity in Example 1.4.4. Thus we do indeed get

$$\binom{n}{0}^2 + \binom{n}{1}^2 + \binom{n}{2}^2 + \dots + \binom{n}{n}^2.$$

Since these two answers are answers to the same question, they must be equal, and thus

$$\binom{n}{0}^{2} + \binom{n}{1}^{2} + \binom{n}{2}^{2} + \dots + \binom{n}{n}^{2} = \binom{2n}{n}.$$
 QED

For an alternative proof, we use lattice paths. This is reasonable to consider because the righthand side of the identity reminds us of the number of paths from (0, 0) to (n, n).

Proof. Consider the question: How many lattice paths are there from (0, 0) to (n, n)?

Answer 1: We must travel 2*n* steps, and *n* of them must be in the up direction. Thus there are $\binom{2n}{n}$ paths.

Answer 2: Note that any path from (0, 0) to (n, n) must cross the line x + y = n. That is, any path must pass through exactly one of the points: (0, n), (1, n - 1), (2, n - 2), ..., (n, 0). For example, this is what happens in the case n = 4:



How many paths pass through (0, n)? To get to that point, you must travel n units, and 0 of them are to the right, so there are $\binom{n}{0}$ ways to get to (0, n). From (0, n) to (n, n) takes n steps, and 0 of them are up. So there are $\binom{n}{0}$ ways to get from (0, n) to (n, n). Therefore there are $\binom{n}{0}\binom{n}{0}$ paths from (0, 0) to (n, n) through the point (0, n).

What about through (1, n - 1). There are $\binom{n}{1}$ paths to get there (n steps, 1 to the right) and $\binom{n}{1}$ paths to complete the journey to (n, n) (n steps, 1 up). So there are $\binom{n}{1}\binom{n}{1}$ paths from (0, 0) to (n, n) through (1, n - 1).

In general, to get to (n, n) through the point (k, n - k) we have $\binom{n}{k}$ paths to the midpoint and then $\binom{n}{k}$ paths from the midpoint to (n, n). So there are $\binom{n}{k}\binom{n}{k}$ paths from (0, 0) to (n, n) through (k, n - k).

All together then the total paths from (0, 0) to (n, n) passing through exactly one of these midpoints is

$$\binom{n}{0}^{2} + \binom{n}{1}^{2} + \binom{n}{2}^{2} + \dots + \binom{n}{n}^{2}.$$

Since these two answers are answers to the same question, they must be equal, and thus

$$\binom{n}{0}^2 + \binom{n}{1}^2 + \binom{n}{2}^2 + \dots + \binom{n}{n}^2 = \binom{2n}{n}.$$
 QED

Exercises

1. Prove the identity $\binom{n}{k} = \binom{n-1}{k-1} + \binom{n-1}{k}$ using a question about subsets.

2. Give a combinatorial proof of the identity $2 + 2 + 2 = 3 \cdot 2$.

3. Give a combinatorial proof for the identity $1 + 2 + 3 + \dots + n = \binom{n+1}{2}$.

4. A woman is getting married. She has 15 best friends but can only select 6 of them to be her bridesmaids, one of which needs to be her maid of honor. How many ways can she do this?

(a) What if she first selects the 6 bridesmaids, and then selects one of them to be the maid of honor?

- (b) What if she first selects her maid of honor, and then 5 other bridemaids?
- (c) Explain why $6\binom{15}{6} = 15\binom{14}{5}$.

- **5.** Give a combinatorial proof of the identity $\binom{n}{2}\binom{n-2}{k-2} = \binom{n}{k}\binom{k}{2}$.
- **6.** Consider the bit strings in \mathbf{B}_2^6 (bit strings of length 6 and weight 2).
- (a) How many of those bit strings start with 1?
- (b) How many of those bit strings start with 01?
- (c) How many of those bit strings start with 001?
- (d) Are there any other strings we have not counted yet? Which ones, and how many are there?
- (e) How many bit strings are there total in \mathbf{B}_{2}^{6} ?
- (f) What binomial identity have you just given a combinatorial proof for?

7. Let's count **ternary** digit strings, that is, strings in which each digit can be 0, 1, or 2.

- (a) How many ternary digit strings contain exactly *n* digits?
- (b) How many ternary digit strings contain exactly *n* digits and *n* 2's.
- (c) How many ternary digit strings contain exactly *n* digits and n 1 2's. (Hint: where can you put the non-2 digit, and then what could it be?)
- (d) How many ternary digit strings contain exactly *n* digits and n 22's. (Hint: see previous hint)
- (e) How many ternary digit strings contain exactly *n* digits and n k 2's.
- (f) How many ternary digit strings contain exactly *n* digits and no 2's. (Hint: what kind of a string is this?)
- (g) Use the above parts to give a combinatorial proof for the identity

$$\binom{n}{0} + 2\binom{n}{1} + 2^2\binom{n}{2} + 2^3\binom{n}{3} + \dots + 2^n\binom{n}{n} = 3^n.$$

8. How many ways are there to rearrange the letters in the word "rearrange"? Answer this question in at least two different ways to establish a binomial identity.

- **9.** Give a combinatorial proof for the identity $P(n, k) = \binom{n}{k}k!$
- **10.** Establish the identity below using a combinatorial proof.

$$\binom{2}{2}\binom{n}{2} + \binom{3}{2}\binom{n-1}{2} + \binom{4}{2}\binom{n-2}{2} + \dots + \binom{n}{2}\binom{2}{2} = \binom{n+3}{5}.$$

1.5 STARS AND BARS

• Investigate!

Suppose you have some number of identical Rubik's cubes to distribute to your friends. Imagine you start with a single row of the cubes.

- 1. Find the number of different ways you can distribute the cubes provided:
 - (a) You have 3 cubes to give to 2 people.
 - (b) You have 4 cubes to give to 2 people.
 - (c) You have 5 cubes to give to 2 people.
 - (d) You have 3 cubes to give to 3 people.
 - (e) You have 4 cubes to give to 3 people.
 - (f) You have 5 cubes to give to 3 people.
- 2. Make a conjecture about how many different ways you could distribute 7 cubes to 4 people. Explain.

3. What if each person were required to get at least one cube? How would your answers change?

STOP

Attempt the above activity before proceeding

Consider the following counting problem:

You have 7 cookies to give to 4 kids. How many ways can you do this?

Take a moment to think about how you might solve this problem. You may assume that it is acceptable to give a kid no cookies. Also, the cookies are all identical and the order in which you give out the cookies does not matter.

Before solving the problem, here is a wrong answer: You might guess that the answer should be 4^7 because for each of the 7 cookies, there are 4 choices of kids to which you can give the cookie. This is reasonable, but wrong. To see why, consider a few possible outcomes: we could assign the first six cookies to kid A, and the seventh cookie to kid B. Another outcome would assign the first cookie to kid B and the six remaining cookies to kid A. Both outcomes are included in the 4^7 answer. But for our counting problem, both outcomes are really the same – kid A gets six cookies and kid B gets one cookie.

What do outcomes actually look like? How can we represent them? One approach would be to write an outcome as a string of four numbers like this:

3112,

which represent the outcome in which the first kid gets 3 cookies, the second and third kid each get 1 cookie, and the fourth kid gets 2 cookies. Represented this way, the order in which the numbers occur matters. 1312 is a different outcome, because the first kid gets a one cookie instead of 3. Each number in the string can be any integer between 0 and 7. But the answer is not 7^4 . We need the *sum* of the numbers to be 7.

Another way we might represent outcomes is to write a string of seven letters:

ABAADCD,

which represents that the first cookie goes to kid A, the second cookie goes to kid B, the third and fourth cookies go to kid A, and so on. In fact, this outcome is identical to the previous one—A gets 3 cookies, B and C get 1 each and D gets 2. Each of the seven letters in the string can be any of the 4 possible letters (one for each kid), but the number of such strings is not 4⁷, because here order does *not* matter. In fact, another way to write the same outcome is

AAABCDD.

This will be the preferred representation of the outcome. Since we can write the letters in any order, we might as well write them in *alphabetical* order for the purposes of counting. So we will write all the A's first, then all the B's, and so on.

Now think about how you could specify such an outcome. All we really need to do is say when to switch from one letter to the next. In terms of cookies, we need to say after how many cookies do we stop giving cookies to the first kid and start giving cookies to the second kid. And then after how many do we switch to the third kid? And after how many do we switch to the fourth? So yet another way to represent an outcome is like this:

* * * * * * * *

Three cookies go to the first kid, then we switch and give one cookie to the second kid, then switch, one to the third kid, switch, two to the fourth kid. Notice that we need 7 stars and 3 bars – one star for each cookie, and one bar for each switch between kids, so one fewer bars than there are kids (we don't need to switch after the last kid – we are done).

Why have we done all of this? Simple: to count the number of ways to distribute 7 cookies to 4 kids, all we need to do is count how many *stars and bars* charts there are. But a **stars and bars chart** is just a string of symbols, some stars and some bars. If instead of stars and bars we would use 0's and 1's, it would just be a bit string. We know how to count those.

Before we get too excited, we should make sure that really *any* string of (in our case) 7 stars and 3 bars corresponds to a different way to distribute cookies to kids. In particular consider a string like this:

| * * * || * * * *
Does that correspond to a cookie distribution? Yes. It represents the distribution in which kid A gets 0 cookies (because we switch to kid B before any stars), kid B gets three cookies (three stars before the next bar), kid C gets 0 cookies (no stars before the next bar) and kid D gets the remaining 4 cookies. No matter how the stars and bars are arranged, we can distribute cookies in that way. Also, given any way to distribute cookies, we can represent that with a stars and bars chart. For example, the distribution in which kid A gets 6 cookies and kid B gets 1 cookie has the following chart:

* * * * * * ||

After all that work we are finally ready to count. Each way to distribute cookies corresponds to a stars and bars chart with 7 stars and 3 bars. So there are 10 symbols, and we must choose 3 of them to be bars. Thus:

There are $\binom{10}{3}$ ways to distribute 7 cookies to 4 kids.

While we are at it, we can also answer a related question: how many ways are there to distribute 7 cookies to 4 kids so that each kid gets at least one cookie? What can you say about the corresponding stars and bars charts? The charts must start and end with at least one star (so that kids A and D) get cookies, and also no two bars can be adjacent (so that kids B and C are not skipped). One way to assure this is to only place bars in the spaces *between* the stars. With 7 stars, there are 6 spots between the stars, so we must choose 3 of those 6 spots to fill with bars. Thus there are $\binom{6}{3}$ ways to distribute 7 cookies to 4 kids giving at least one cookie to each kid.

Another (and more general) way to approach this modified problem is to first give each kid one cookie. Now the remaining 3 cookies can be distributed to the 4 kids without restrictions. So we have 3 stars and 3 bars for a total of 6 symbols, 3 of which must be bars. So again we see that there are $\binom{6}{3}$ ways to distribute the cookies.

Stars and bars can be used in counting problems other than kids and cookies. Here are a few examples:

Example 1.5.1

Your favorite mathematical pizza chain offers 10 toppings. How many pizzas can you make if you are allowed 6 toppings? The order of toppings does not matter but now you are allowed repeats. So one possible pizza is triple sausage, double pineapple, and onions.

Solution. We get 6 toppings (counting possible repeats). Represent each of these toppings as a star. Think of going down the menu one topping at a time: you see anchovies first, and skip to the next, sausage. You say yes to sausage 3 times (use 3 stars), then switch to the next topping on the list. You keep skipping until you get to pineapple, which you say yes to twice. Another switch and you are at onions. You say yes once. Then you keep switching until you get to the last topping, never saying yes again (since you already have said yes 6 times. There are 10 toppings to choose from, so we must switch from considering one topping to the next 9 times. These are the bars.

Now that we are confident that we have the right number of stars and bars, we answer the question simply: there are 6 stars and 9 bars, so 15 symbols. We need to pick 9 of them to be bars, so there number of pizzas possible is

 $\begin{pmatrix} 15\\ 9 \end{pmatrix}$

Example 1.5.2

How many 7 digit phone numbers are there in which the digits are non-increasing? That is, every digit is less than or equal to the previous one.

Solution. We need to decide on 7 digits so we will use 7 stars. The bars will represent a switch from each possible single digit number down the next smaller one. So the phone number 866-5221 is represented by the stars and bars chart

| * || * * | * || * * | * |

There are 10 choices for each digit (0-9) so we must switch between choices 9 times. We have 7 stars and 9 bars, so the total number of phone numbers is

```
\binom{16}{9}.
```

Example 1.5.3

How many integer solutions are there to the equation

 $x_1 + x_2 + x_3 + x_4 + x_5 = 13.$

(An **integer solution** to an equation is a solution in which the unknown must have an integer value.)

- 1. where $x_i \ge 0$ for each x_i ?
- 2. where $x_i > 0$ for each x_i ?
- 3. where $x_i \ge 2$ for each x_i ?

Solution. This problem is just like giving 13 cookies to 5 kids. We need to say how many of the 13 units go to each of the 5 variables. In other words, we have 13 stars and 4 bars (the bars are like the "+" signs in the equation).

- 1. If x_i can be 0 or greater, we are in the standard case with no restrictions. So 13 stars and 4 bars can be arranged in $\binom{17}{4}$ ways.
- 2. Now each variable must be at least 1. So give one unit to each variable to satisfy that restriction. Now there are 8 stars left, and still 4 bars, so the number of solutions is $\binom{12}{4}$.
- 3. Now each variable must be 2 or greater. So before any counting, give each variable 2 units. We now have 3 remaining stars and 4 bars, so there are $\binom{7}{4}$ solutions.

COUNTING WITH FUNCTIONS

Many of the counting problems in this section might at first appear to be examples of counting *functions*. After all, when we try to count the number of ways to distribute cookies to kids, we are assigning each cookie to a kid, just like you assign elements of the domain of a function to elements in the codomain. However, the number of ways to assign 7 cookies to 4 kids is $\binom{10}{7} = 120$, while the number of functions $f : \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7\} \rightarrow \{a, b, c, d\}$ is $4^7 = 16384$. What is going on here?

When we count functions, we consider the following two functions, for example, to be different:

 $f = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 & 6 & 7 \\ a & b & c & c & c & c & c \end{pmatrix} \qquad g = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 & 6 & 7 \\ b & a & c & c & c & c & c \end{pmatrix}.$

But these two functions would correspond to the *same* cookie distribution: kids *a* and *b* each get one cookie, kid *c* gets the rest (and none for kid *d*).

The point: elements of the domain are distinguished, cookies are indistinguishable. This is analagous to the distinction between permutations (like counting functions) and combinations (not).

Exercises

1. A **multiset** is a collection of objects, just like a set, but can contain an object more than once (the order of the elements still doesn't matter). For example, $\{1, 1, 2, 5, 5, 7\}$ is a multiset of size 6.

- (a) How many sets of size 5 can be made using the 10 numeric digits 0 through 9?
- (b) How many *multisets* of size 5 can be made using the 10 numeric digits 0 through 9?

2. Each of the counting problems below can be solved with stars and bars. For each, say what outcome the diagram

* * * | * *

represents, if there are the correct number of stars and bars for the problem. Otherwise, say why the diagram does not represent any outcome, and what a correct diagram would look like.

- (a) How many ways are there to select a handful of 6 jellybeans from a jar that contains 5 different flavors?
- (b) How many ways can you distribute 5 identical lollipops to 6 kids?
- (c) How many 6-letter words can you make using the 5 vowels?
- (d) How many solutions are there to the equation $x_1 + x_2 + x_3 + x_4 = 6$.

3. After gym class you are tasked with putting the 14 identical dodgeballs away into 5 bins.

- (a) How many ways can you do this if there are no restrictions?
- (b) How many ways can you do this if each bin must contain at least one dodgeball?

4. How many integer solutions are there to the equation x + y + z = 8 for which

- (a) *x*, *y*, and *z* are all positive?
- (b) x, y, and z are all non-negative?
- (c) x, y, and z are all greater than -3.

5. Using the digits 2 through 8, find the number of different 5-digit numbers such that:

- (a) Digits cannot be repeated and must be written in increasing order. For example, 23678 is okay, but 32678 is not.
- (b) Digits *can* be repeated and must be written in *non-decreasing* order. For example, 24448 is okay, but 24484 is not.

6. When playing Yahtzee, you roll five regular 6-sided dice. How many different outcomes are possible from a single roll? The order of the dice does not matter.

7. Your friend tells you she has 7 coins in her hand (just pennies, nickels, dimes and quarters). If you guess how many of each kind of coin she has, she will give them to you. If you guess randomly, what is the probability that you will be correct?

8. How many integer solutions to $x_1 + x_2 + x_3 + x_4 = 25$ are there for which $x_1 \ge 1$, $x_2 \ge 2$, $x_3 \ge 3$ and $x_4 \ge 4$?

9. Solve the three counting problems below. Then say why it makes sense that they all have the same answer. That is, say how you can interpret them as each other.

- (a) How many ways are there to distribute 8 cookies to 3 kids?
- (b) How many solutions in non-negative integers are there to x + y + z = 8?
- (c) How many different packs of 8 crayons can you make using crayons that come in red, blue and yellow?

10. Consider functions $f : \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5\} \rightarrow \{0, 1, 2, \dots, 9\}$.

- (a) How many of these functions are strictly increasing? Explain. (A function is strictly increasing provided if a < b, then f(a) < f(b).)
- (b) How many of the functions are non-decreasing? Explain. (A function is non-decreasing provided if a < b, then $f(a) \le f(b)$.)

11. *Conic,* your favorite math themed fast food drive-in offers 20 flavors which can be added to your soda. You have enough money to buy a large soda with 4 added flavors. How many different soda concoctions can you order if:

(a) You refuse to use any of the flavors more than once?

- (b) You refuse repeats but care about the order the flavors are added?
- (c) You allow yourself multiple shots of the same flavor?
- (d) You allow yourself multiple shots, and care about the order the flavors are added?

1.6 Advanced Counting Using PIE

Investigate!

You have 11 identical mini key-lime pies to give to 4 children. However, you don't want any kid to get more than 3 pies. How many ways can you distribute the pies?

- 1. How many ways are there to distribute the pies without any restriction?
- 2. Let's get rid of the ways that one or more kid gets too many pies. How many ways are there to distribute the pies if Al gets too many pies? What if Bruce gets too many? Or Cat? Or Dent?
- 3. What if two kids get too many pies? How many ways can this happen? Does it matter which two kids you pick to overfeed?
- 4. Is it possible that three kids get too many pies? If so, how many ways can this happen?
- 5. How should you combine all the numbers you found above to answer the original question?

Suppose now you have 13 pies and 7 children. No child can have more than 2 pies. How many ways can you distribute the pies?



Stars and bars allows us to count the number of ways to distribute 10 cookies to 3 kids and natural number solutions to x + y + z = 11, for example. A relatively easy modification allows us to put a *lower bound* restriction on these problems: perhaps each kid must get at least two cookies or $x, y, z \ge 2$. This was done by first assigning each kid (or variable) 2 cookies (or units) and then distributing the rest using stars and bars.

What if we wanted an *upper bound* restriction? For example, we might insist that no kid gets more than 4 cookies or that $x, y, z \le 4$. It turns out this is considerably harder, but still possible. The idea is to count all the distributions and then remove those that violate the condition. In other words, we must count the number of ways to distribute 11 cookies to 3 kids in which *one or more* of the kids gets more than 4 cookies. For any particular kid, this is not a problem; we do this using stars and bars. But how to combine the number of ways for kid A, or B or C? We must use the PIE.

The Principle of Inclusion/Exclusion (PIE) gives a method for finding the cardinality of the union of not necessarily disjoint sets. We saw in Subsection how this works with three sets. To find how many things are in *one or more* of the sets *A*, *B*, and *C*, we should just add up the number of things in each of these sets. However, if there is any overlap among the sets, those elements are counted multiple times. So we subtract the things in each intersection of a pair of sets. But doing this removes elements which are in all three sets once too often, so we need to add it back in. In terms of cardinality of sets, we have

 $|A \cup B \cup C| = |A| + |B| + |C| - |A \cap B| - |A \cap C| - |B \cap C| + |A \cap B \cap C|.$

Example 1.6.1

Three kids, Alberto, Bernadette, and Carlos, decide to share 11 cookies. They wonder how many ways they could split the cookies up provided that none of them receive more than 4 cookies (someone receiving no cookies is for some reason acceptable to these kids).

Solution. Without the "no more than 4" restriction, the answer would be $\binom{13}{2}$, using 11 stars and 2 bars (separating the three kids). Now count the number of ways that one or more of the kids violates the condition, i.e., gets at least 4 cookies.

Let *A* be the set of outcomes in which Alberto gets more than 4 cookies. Let *B* be the set of outcomes in which Bernadette gets more than 4 cookies. Let *C* be the set of outcomes in which Carlos gets more than 4 cookies. We then are looking (for the sake of subtraction) for the size of the set $A \cup B \cup C$. Using PIE, we must find the sizes of |A|, |B|, |C|, $|A \cap B|$ and so on. Here is what we find.

- $|A| = \binom{8}{2}$. First give Alberto 5 cookies, then distribute the remaining 6 to the three kids without restrictions, using 6 stars and 2 bars.
- $|B| = \binom{8}{2}$. Just like above, only now Bernadette gets 5 cookies at the start.
- $|C| = \binom{8}{2}$. Carlos gets 5 cookies first.
- $|A \cap B| = \binom{3}{2}$. Give Alberto and Bernadette 5 cookies each, leaving 1 (star) to distribute to the three kids (2 bars).
- $|A \cap C| = \binom{3}{2}$. Alberto and Carlos get 5 cookies first.
- $|B \cap C| = \binom{3}{2}$. Bernadette and Carlos get 5 cookies first.
- $|A \cap B \cap C| = 0$. It is not possible for all three kids to get 4 or more cookies.

Combining all of these we see

$$|A \cup B \cup C| = \binom{8}{2} + \binom{8}{2} + \binom{8}{2} - \binom{3}{2} - \binom{3}{2} - \binom{3}{2} + 0 = 75.$$

Thus the answer to the original question is $\binom{13}{2} - 75 = 78 - 75 = 3$. This makes sense now that we see it. The only way to ensure that no kid gets more than 4 cookies is to give two kids 4 cookies and one kid 3; there are three choices for which kid that should be. We could have found the answer much quicker through this observation, but the point of the example is to illustrate that PIE works!

For four or more sets, we do not write down a formula for PIE. Instead, we just think of the principle: add up all the elements in single sets, then subtract out things you counted twice (elements in the intersection of a *pair* of sets), then add back in elements you removed too often (elements in the intersection of groups of three sets), then take back out elements you added back in too often (elements in the intersection of groups of four sets), then add back in, take back out, add back in, etc. This would be very difficult if it wasn't for the fact that in these problems, all the cardinalities of the single sets are equal, as are all the cardinalities of the intersections of two sets, and that of three sets, and so on. Thus we can group all of these together and multiply by how many different combinations of 1, 2, 3, . . . sets there are.

Example 1.6.2

How many ways can you distribute 10 cookies to 4 kids so that no kid gets more than 2 cookies?

Solution. There are $\binom{13}{3}$ ways to distribute 10 cookies to 4 kids (using 10 stars and 3 bars). We will subtract all the outcomes in which a kid gets 3 or more cookies. How many outcomes are there like that? We can force kid A to eat 3 or more cookies by giving him 3 cookies before we start. Doing so reduces the problem to one in which we have 7 cookies to give to 4 kids without any restrictions. In that case, we have 7 stars (the 7 remaining cookies) and 3 bars (one less than the number of kids) so we can distribute the cookies in $\binom{10}{3}$ ways. Of course we could choose any one of the 4 kids to give too many cookies, so it would appear that there are $\binom{4}{1}\binom{10}{3}$ ways to distribute the cookies giving too many to one kid. But in fact, we have over counted.

We must get rid of the outcomes in which two kids have too many cookies. There are $\binom{4}{2}$ ways to select 2 kids to give extra cookies. It takes 6 cookies to do this, leaving only 4 cookies. So we have

4 stars and still 3 bars. The remaining 4 cookies can thus be distributed in $\binom{7}{3}$ ways (for each of the $\binom{4}{2}$ choices of which 2 kids to over-feed).

But now we have removed too much. We must add back in all the ways to give too many cookies to three kids. This uses 9 cookies, leaving only 1 to distribute to the 4 kids using stars and bars, which can be done in $\binom{4}{3}$ ways. We must consider this outcome for every possible choice of which three kids we over-feed, and there are $\binom{4}{3}$ ways of selecting that set of 3 kids.

Next we would subtract all the ways to give four kids too many cookies, but in this case, that number is 0.

All together we get that the number of ways to distribute 10 cookies to 4 kids without giving any kid more than 2 cookies is:

$$\binom{13}{3} - \left[\binom{4}{1}\binom{10}{3} - \binom{4}{2}\binom{7}{3} + \binom{4}{3}\binom{4}{3}\right]$$

which is

$$286 - [480 - 210 + 16] = 0.$$

This makes sense: there is NO way to distribute 10 cookies to 4 kids and make sure that nobody gets more than 2. It is slightly surprising that

$$\begin{pmatrix} 13\\3 \end{pmatrix} = \left[\begin{pmatrix} 4\\1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 10\\3 \end{pmatrix} - \begin{pmatrix} 4\\2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 7\\3 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 4\\3 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 4\\3 \end{pmatrix} \right]$$

but since PIE works, this equality must hold.

Just so you don't think that these problems always have easier solutions, consider the following example.

Example 1.6.3

Earlier (Example 1.5.3) we counted the number of solutions to the equation

$$x_1 + x_2 + x_3 + x_4 + x_5 = 13$$

where $x_i \ge 0$ for each x_i .

How many of those solutions have $0 \le x_i \le 3$ for each x_i ?

Solution. We must subtract off the number of solutions in which one or more of the variables has a value greater than 3. We will need to use PIE because counting the number of solutions for which each of the five variables separately are greater than 3 counts solutions multiple times. Here is what we get:

- Total solutions: $\binom{17}{4}$.
- Solutions where $x_1 > 3$: $\binom{13}{4}$. Give x_1 4 units first, then distribute the remaining 9 units to the 5 variables.
- Solutions where $x_1 > 3$ and $x_2 > 3$: $\binom{9}{4}$. After you give 4 units to x_1 and another 4 to x_2 , you only have 5 units left to distribute.
- Solutions where $x_1 > 3$, $x_2 > 3$ and $x_3 > 3$: $\binom{5}{4}$.
- Solutions where *x*₁ > 3, *x*₂ > 3, *x*₃ > 3, and *x*₄ > 3: 0.

We also need to account for the fact that we could choose any of the five variables in the place of x_1 above (so there will be $\binom{5}{1}$ outcomes like this), any pair of variables in the place of x_1 and x_2 ($\binom{5}{2}$) outcomes) and so on. It is because of this that the double counting occurs, so we need to use PIE. All together we have that the number of solutions with $0 \le x_i \le 3$ is

$$\binom{17}{4} - \left[\binom{5}{1} \binom{13}{4} - \binom{5}{2} \binom{9}{4} + \binom{5}{3} \binom{5}{4} \right] = 15.$$

COUNTING DERANGEMENTS

Investigate!

For your senior prank, you decide to switch the nameplates on your favorite 5 professors' doors. So that none of them feel left out, you want to make sure that all of the nameplates end up on the wrong door. How many ways can this be accomplished?

STOP

Attempt the above activity before proceeding

The advanced use of PIE has applications beyond stars and bars. A **derangement** of *n* elements $\{1, 2, 3, ..., n\}$ is a permutation in which no element is fixed. For example, there are 6 permutations of the three elements $\{1, 2, 3\}$:

123 132 213 231 312 321.

but most of these have one or more elements fixed: 123 has all three elements fixed since all three elements are in their original positions, 132 has the first element fixed (1 is in its original first position), and so on. In fact, the only derangements of three elements are

231 and 312.

If we go up to 4 elements, there are 24 permutations (because we have 4 choices for the first element, 3 choices for the second, 2 choices for the third leaving only 1 choice for the last). How many of these are derangements? If you list out all 24 permutations and eliminate those which are not derangements, you will be left with just 9 derangements. Let's see how we can get that number using PIE.

Example 1.6.4

How many derangements are there of 4 elements?

Solution. We count all permutations, and subtract those which are not derangements. There are 4! = 24 permutations of 4 elements. Now for a permutation to *not* be a derangement, at least one of the 4 elements must be fixed. There are $\binom{4}{1}$ choices for which single element we fix. Once fixed, we need to find a permutation of the other three elements. There are 3! permutations on 3 elements. But now we have counted too many non-derangements, so we must subtract those permutations which fix two elements. There are $\binom{4}{2}$ choices for which two elements we fix, and then for each pair, 2! permutations of the remaining elements. But this subtracts too many, so add back in permutations which fix 3 elements, all $\binom{4}{3}1!$ of them. Finally subtract the $\binom{4}{4}0!$ permutations (recall 0! = 1) which fix all four elements. All together we get that the number of derangements of 4 elements is:

 $4! - \left[\binom{4}{1}3! - \binom{4}{2}2! + \binom{4}{3}1! - \binom{4}{4}0!\right] = 24 - 15 = 9.$

Of course we can use a similar formula to count the derangements of any number of elements. However, the more elements we have, the longer the formula gets. Here is another example:

Example 1.6.5

Five gentlemen attend a party, leaving their hats at the door. At the end of the party, they hastily grab hats on their way out. How many different ways could this happen so that none of the gentlemen leave with their own hat?

Solution. We are counting derangements on 5 elements. There are 5! ways for the gentlemen to grab hats in any order—but many of these permutations will result in someone getting their own hat. So we subtract all the ways in which one or more of the men get their own hat. In other words,

we subtract the non-derangements. Doing so requires PIE. Thus the answer is:

$$5! - \left[\binom{5}{1} 4! - \binom{5}{2} 3! + \binom{5}{3} 2! - \binom{5}{4} 1! + \binom{5}{5} 0! \right].$$

COUNTING FUNCTIONS

Investigate!

- Consider all functions $f : \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5\} \rightarrow \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5\}$. How many functions are there all together? How many of those are injective? Remember, a function is an injection if every input goes to a different output.
- Consider all functions $f : \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5\} \rightarrow \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5\}$. How many of the *injections* have the property that $f(x) \neq x$ for any $x \in \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5\}$?

Your friend claims that the answer is:

$$5! - \left[\binom{5}{1} 4! - \binom{5}{2} 3! + \binom{5}{3} 2! - \binom{5}{4} 1! + \binom{5}{5} 0! \right].$$

Explain why this is correct.

• Recall that a *surjection* is a function for which every element of the codomain is in the range. How many of the functions $f : \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5\} \rightarrow \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5\}$ are surjective? Use PIE!



Attempt the above activity before proceeding

We have seen throughout this chapter that many counting questions can be rephrased as questions about counting functions with certain properties. This is reasonable since many counting questions can be thought of as counting the number of ways to assign elements from one set to elements of another.

Example 1.6.6

You decide to give away your video game collection so to better spend your time studying advance mathematics. How many ways can you do this, provided:

- 1. You want to distribute your 3 different PS4 games among 5 friends, so that no friend gets more than one game?
- 2. You want to distribute your 8 different 3DS games among 5 friends?
- 3. You want to distribute your 8 different SNES games among 5 friends, so that each friend gets at least one game?

In each case, model the counting question as a function counting question.

Solution.

1. We must use the three games (call them 1, 2, 3) as the domain and the 5 friends (a,b,c,d,e) as the codomain (otherwise the function would not be defined for the whole domain when a friend didn't get any game). So how many functions are there with domain $\{1, 2, 3\}$ and codomain $\{a, b, c, d, e\}$? The answer to this is $5^3 = 125$, since we can assign any of 5 elements to be the image of 1, any of 5 elements to be the image of 2 and any of 5 elements to be the image of 3.

But this is not the correct answer to our counting problem, because one of these functions is

 $f = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 \\ a & a & a \end{pmatrix}$; one friend can get more than one game. What we really need to do is count

injective functions. This gives P(5,3) = 60 functions, which is the answer to our counting question.

- 2. Again, we need to use the 8 games as the domain and the 5 friends as the codomain. We are counting all functions, so the number of ways to distribute the games is 5⁸.
- 3. This question is harder. Use the games as the domain and friends as the codomain (otherwise an element of the domain would have more than one image, which is impossible). To ensure that every friend gets at least one game means that every element of the codomain is in the range. In other words, we are looking for *surjective* functions. How do you count those??

In Example 1.1.5 we saw how to count all functions (using the multiplicative principle) and in Example 1.3.4 we learned how to count injective functions (using permutations). Surjective functions are not as easily counted (unless the size of the domain is smaller than the codomain, in which case there are none).

The idea is to count the functions which are *not* surjective, and then subtract that from the total number of functions. This works very well when the codomain has two elements in it:

Example 1.6.7

How many functions $f : \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5\} \rightarrow \{a, b\}$ are surjective?

Solution. There are 2^5 functions all together, two choices for where to send each of the 5 elements of the domain. Now of these, the functions which are *not* surjective must exclude one or more elements of the codomain from the range. So first, consider functions for which *a* is not in the range. This can only happen one way: everything gets sent to *b*. Alternatively, we could exclude *b* from the range. Then everything gets sent to *a*, so there is only one function like this. These are the only ways in which a function could not be surjective (no function excludes both *a* and *b* from the range) so there are exactly $2^5 - 2$ surjective functions.

When there are three elements in the codomain, there are now three choices for a single element to exclude from the range. Additionally, we could pick pairs of two elements to exclude from the range, and we must make sure we don't over count these. It's PIE time!

Example 1.6.8

How many functions $f : \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5\} \rightarrow \{a, b, c\}$ are surjective?

Solution. Again start with the total number of functions: 3^5 (as each of the five elements of the domain can go to any of three elements of the codomain). Now we count the functions which are *not* surjective.

Start by excluding *a* from the range. Then we have two choices (*b* or *c*) for where to send each of the five elements of the domain. Thus there are 2^5 functions which exclude *a* from the range. Similarly, there are 2^5 functions which exclude *b*, and another 2^5 which exclude *c*. Now have we counted all functions which are not surjective? Yes, but in fact, we have counted some multiple times. For example, the function which sends everything to *c* was one of the 2^5 functions we counted when we exclude *a* from the range, and also one of the 2^5 functions we counted when we exclude *b* from the range. We must subtract out all the functions which specifically exclude two elements from the range. There is 1 function when we exclude *a* and *b* (everything goes to *c*), one function when we exclude *a* and *c*, and one function when we exclude *b* and *c*.

We are using PIE: to count the functions which are not surjective, we added up the functions which exclude *a*, *b*, and *c* separately, then subtracted the functions which exclude pairs of elements. We would then add back in the functions which exclude groups of three elements, except that there are no such functions. We find that the number of functions which are *not* surjective is

$$2^5 + 2^5 + 2^5 - 1 - 1 - 1 + 0$$

Perhaps a more descriptive way to write this is

$$\binom{3}{1}2^5 - \binom{3}{2}1^5 + \binom{3}{3}0^5.$$

since each of the $2^{5's}$ was the result of choosing 1 of the 3 elements of the codomain to exclude from the range, each of the three $1^{5's}$ was the result of choosing 2 of the 3 elements of the codomain to exclude. Writing 1^{5} instead of 1 makes sense too: we have 1 choice of were to send each of the 5 elements of the domain.

Now we can finally count the number of surjective functions:

$$3^{5} - \left[\binom{3}{1} 2^{5} - \binom{3}{2} 1^{5} \right] = 150.$$

You might worry that to count surjective functions when the codomain is larger than 3 elements would be too tedious. We need to use PIE but with more than 3 sets the formula for PIE is very long. However, we have lucked out. As we saw in the example above, the number of functions which exclude a single element from the range is the same no matter which single element is excluded. Similarly, the number of functions which exclude a pair of elements will be the same for every pair. With larger codomains, we will see the same behavior with groups of 3, 4, and more elements excluded. So instead of adding/subtracting each of these, we can simply add or subtract all of them at once, if you know how many there are. This works just like it did in for the other types of counting questions in this section, only now the size of the various combinations of sets is a number raised to a power, as opposed to a binomial coefficient or factorial. Here's what happens with 4 and 5 elements in the codomain.

Example 1.6.9

- 1. How many functions $f : \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5\} \rightarrow \{a, b, c, d\}$ are surjective?
- 2. How many functions $f : \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5\} \rightarrow \{a, b, c, d, e\}$ are surjective?

Solution.

1. There are 4^5 functions all together; we will subtract the functions which are not surjective. We could exclude any one of the four elements of the codomain, and doing so will leave us with 3^5 functions for each excluded element. This counts too many so we subtract the functions which exclude two of the four elements of the codomain, each pair giving 2^5 functions. But this excludes too many, so we add back in the functions which exclude three of the four elements of the codomain, each triple giving 1^5 function. There are $\binom{4}{1}$ groups of functions excluding a single element, $\binom{4}{2}$ groups of functions excluding a pair of elements, and $\binom{4}{3}$ groups of functions which are *not* surjective is:

$$\binom{4}{1}3^5 - \binom{4}{2}2^5 + \binom{4}{3}1^5.$$

We can now say that the number of functions which are surjective is:

$$4^{5} - \left[\binom{4}{1}3^{5} - \binom{4}{2}2^{5} + \binom{4}{3}1^{5}\right].$$

2. The number of surjective functions is:

$$5^{5} - \left[\binom{5}{1} 4^{5} - \binom{5}{2} 3^{5} + \binom{5}{3} 2^{5} - \binom{5}{4} 1^{5} \right].$$

We took the total number of functions 5^5 and subtracted all that were not surjective. There were $\binom{5}{1}$ ways to select a single element from the codomain to exclude from the range, and for each there were 4^5 functions. But this double counts, so we use PIE and subtract functions excluding two elements from the range: there are $\binom{5}{2}$ choices for the two elements to exclude, and for each pair, 3^5 functions. This takes out too many functions, so we add back in functions which exclude 3 elements from the range: $\binom{5}{3}$ choices for which three to exclude, and then 2^5 functions for each choice of elements. Finally we take back out the 1 function which excludes 4 elements for each of the $\binom{5}{4}$ choices of 4 elements.

If you happen to calculate this number precisely, you will get 120 surjections. That happens to also be the value of 5!. This might seem like an amazing coincidence until you realize that every surjective function $f : X \to Y$ with |X| = |Y| finite must necessarily be a bijection. The number of bijections is always |X|! in this case. What we have here is a *combinatorial proof* of the following identity:

$$n^{n} - \langle [\binom{n}{1}(n-1)^{n} - \binom{n}{2}(n-2)^{n} + \dots + \binom{n}{n-1}1^{n} \rangle] = n!.$$

We have seen that counting surjective functions is another nice example of the advanced use of the Principle of Inclusion/Exclusion. Also, counting injective functions turns out to be equivalent to permutations, and counting all functions has a solution akin to those counting problems where order matters but repeats are allowed (like counting the number of words you can make from a given set of letters).

These are not just a few more examples of the techniques we have developed in this chapter. Quite the opposite: everything we have learned in this chapter are examples of *counting functions*!

Example 1.6.10

How many 5-letter words can you make using the eight letters *a* through *h*? How many contain no repeated letters?

Solution. By now it should be no surprise that there are 8^5 words, and P(8,5) words without repeated letters. The new piece here is that we are actually counting functions. For the first problem, we are counting all functions from $\{1, 2, ..., 5\}$ to $\{a, b, ..., h\}$. The numbers in the domain represent the *position* of the letter in the word, the codomain represents the letter that could be assigned to that position. If we ask for no repeated letters, we are asking for injective functions.

If *A* and *B* are *any* sets with |A| = 5 and |B| = 8, then the number of functions $f : A \rightarrow B$ is 8^5 and the number of injections is P(8, 5). So if you can represent your counting problem as a function counting problem, most of the work is done.

Example 1.6.11

How many subsets are there of $\{1, 2, \ldots, 9\}$? How many 9-bit strings are there (of any weight)?

Solution. We saw in Section 1.2 that the answer to both these questions is 2^9 , as we can say yes or no (or 0 or 1) to each of the 9 elements in the set (positions in the bit-string). But 2^9 also looks like the answer you get from counting functions. In fact, if you count all functions $f : A \rightarrow B$ with |A| = 9 and |B| = 2, this is exactly what you get.

This makes sense! Let $A = \{1, 2, ..., 9\}$ and $B = \{y, n\}$. We are assigning each element of the set either a yes or a no. Or in the language of bit-strings, we would take the 9 positions in the bit string as our domain and the set $\{0, 1\}$ as the codomain.

So far we have not used a function as a model for binomial coefficients (combinations). Think for a moment about the relationship between combinations and permutations, say specifically $\binom{9}{3}$ and P(9,3). We *do* have a function model for P(9,3). This is the number of *injective* functions from a set of size 3 (say $\{1,2,3\}$ to a set of size 9 (say $\{1,2,\ldots,9\}$) since there are 9 choices for where to send the first element of the domain, then only 8 choices for the second, and 7 choices for the third. For example, the function might look like this:

$$f(1) = 5$$
 $f(2) = 8$ $f(3) = 4$.

This is a different function from:

$$f(1) = 4$$
 $f(2) = 5$ $f(3) = 8$.

Now P(9,3) counts these as different outcomes correctly, but $\binom{9}{3}$ will count these (among others) as just one outcome. In fact, in terms of functions $\binom{9}{3}$ just counts the number of different ranges possible of injective functions. This should not be a surprise since binomial coefficients counts subsets, and the range is a possible subset of the codomain.⁴

While it is possible to interpret combinations as functions, perhaps the better advice is to instead use combinations (or stars and bars) when functions are not quite the right way to interpret the counting question.

Exercises

1. The dollar menu at your favorite tax-free fast food restaurant has 7 items. You have \$10 to spend. How many different meals can you buy if you spend all your money and:

- (a) Purchase at least one of each item.
- (b) Possibly skip some items.
- (c) Don't get more than 2 of any particular item.

2. After a late night of math studying, you and your friends decide to go to your favorite tax-free fast food Mexican restaurant, *Burrito Chime*. You decide to order off of the dollar menu, which has 7 items. Your group has \$16 to spend (and will spend all of it).

- (a) How many different orders are possible? Explain. (The *order* in which the order is placed does not matter - just which and how many of each item that is ordered.)
- (b) How many different orders are possible if you want to get at least one of each item? Explain.
- (c) How many different orders are possible if you don't get more than 4 of any one item? Explain.

3. After another gym class you are tasked with putting the 14 identical dodgeballs away into 5 bins. This time, no bin can hold more than 6 balls. How many ways can you clean up?

4. Consider the equation $x_1 + x_2 + x_3 + x_4 = 15$. How many solutions are there with $2 \le x_i \le 5$ for all $i \in \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$?

5. Suppose you planned on giving 7 gold stars to some of the 13 star students in your class. Each student can receive at most one star. How many ways can you do this? Use PIE, and also an easier method, and compare your results.

6. Based on the previous question, give a combinatorial proof for the identity:

$$\binom{n}{k} = \binom{n+k-1}{k} - \sum_{j=1}^{n} (-1)^{j+1} \binom{n}{j} \binom{n+k-(2j+1)}{k}.$$

7. Illustrate how the counting of derangements works by writing all permutations of $\{1, 2, 3, 4\}$ and the crossing out those which are not derangements. Keep track of the permutations you cross out more than once, using PIE.

8. How many permutations of {1, 2, 3, 4, 5} leave exactly 1 element fixed?

9. Ten ladies of a certain age drop off their red hats at the hat check of a museum. As they are leaving, the hat check attendant gives the hats back randomly. In how many ways can exactly six of the ladies receive their own hat (and the other four not)? Explain.

⁴A more mathematically sophisticated interpretation of combinations is that we are defining two injective functions to be *equivalent* if they have the same range, and then counting the number of equivalence classes under this notion of equivalence.

10. The Grinch sneaks into a room with 6 Christmas presents to 6 different people. He proceeds to switch the name-labels on the presents. How many ways could he do this if:

- (a) No present is allowed to end up with its original label? Explain what each term in your answer represents.
- (b) Exactly 2 presents keep their original labels? Explain.
- (c) Exactly 5 presents keep their original labels? Explain.

11. Consider functions $f : \{1, 2, 3, 4\} \rightarrow \{a, b, c, d, e, f\}$. How many functions have the property that $f(1) \neq a$ or $f(2) \neq b$, or both?

12. Consider sets A and B with |A| = 10 and |B| = 5. How many functions $f : A \to B$ are surjective?

13. Let $A = \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5\}$. How many injective functions $f : A \rightarrow A$ have the property that for each $x \in A$, $f(x) \neq x$?

14. Let d_n be the number of derangements of n objects. For example, using the techniques of this section, we find

$$d_3 = 3! - \left(\binom{3}{1} 2! - \binom{3}{2} 1! + \binom{3}{3} 0! \right)$$

We can use the formula for $\binom{n}{k}$ to write this all in terms of factorials. After simplifying, for d_3 we would get

$$d_3 = 3! \left(1 - \frac{1}{1} + \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{6} \right)$$

Generalize this to find a nicer formula for d_n . Bonus: For large n, approximately what fraction of all permutations are derangements? Use your knowledge of Taylor series from calculus.

1.7 CHAPTER SUMMARY

Investigate!

Suppose you have a huge box of animal crackers containing plenty of each of 10 different animals. For the counting questions below, carefully examine their similarities and differences, and then give an answer. The answers are all one of the following:

P(10,6) $\binom{10}{6}$ 10^6 $\binom{15}{9}$.

- 1. How many animal parades containing 6 crackers can you line up?
- 2. How many animal parades of 6 crackers can you line up so that the animals appear in alphabetical order?
- 3. How many ways could you line up 6 different animals in alphabetical order?
- 4. How many ways could you line up 6 different animals if they can come in any order?
- 5. How many ways could you give 6 children one animal cracker each?
- 6. How many ways could you give 6 children one animal cracker each so that no two kids get the same animal?
- 7. How many ways could you give out 6 giraffes to 10 kids?
- 8. Write a question about giving animal crackers to kids that has the answer $\binom{10}{6}$.

Attempt the above activity before proceeding

With all the different counting techniques we have mastered in this last chapter, it might be difficult to know when to apply which technique. Indeed, it is very easy to get mixed up and use the wrong counting method for a given problem. You get better with practice. As you practice you start to notice some trends that can help you distinguish between types of counting problems. Here are some suggestions that you might find helpful when deciding how to tackle a counting problem and checking whether your solution is correct.

- Remember that you are counting the number of items in some *list of outcomes*. Write down part of this list. Write down an element in the middle of the list how are you deciding whether your element really is in the list. Could you get this element more than once using your proposed answer?
- If generating an element on the list involves selecting something (for example, picking a letter or picking a position to put a letter, etc), can the things you select be repeated? Remember, permutations and combinations select objects from a set *without* repeats.
- Does order matter? Be careful here and be sure you know what your answer really means. We usually say that order matters when you get different outcomes when the same objects are selected in different orders. Combinations and "Stars & Bars" are used when order *does not* matter.
- There are four possibilities when it comes to order and repeats. If order matters and repeats are allowed, the answer will look like n^k . If order matters and repeats are not allowed, we have P(n, k). If order doesn't matter and repeats are allowed, use stars and bars. If order doesn't matter and repeats are not allowed, use $\binom{n}{k}$. But be careful: this only applies when you are selecting things, and you should make sure you know exactly what you are selecting before determining which case you are in.
- Think about how you would represent your counting problem in terms of sets or functions. We know how to count different sorts of sets and different types of functions.
- As we saw with combinatorial proofs, you can often solve a counting problem in more than one way. Do that, and compare your numerical answers. If they don't match, something is amiss.

While we have covered many counting techniques, we have really only scratched the surface of the large subject of *enumerative combinatorics*. There are mathematicians doing original research in this area even as you read this. Counting can be really hard.

In the next chapter, we will approach counting questions from a very different direction, and in doing so, answer infinitely many counting questions at the same time. We will create *sequences* of answers to related questions.

CHAPTER REVIEW

- 1. You have 9 presents to give to your 4 kids. How many ways can this be done if:
- (a) The presents are identical, and each kid gets at least one present?
- (b) The presents are identical, and some kids might get no presents?
- (c) The presents are unique, and some kids might get no presents?
- (d) The presents are unique and each kid gets at least one present?

2. For each of the following counting problems, say whether the answer is $\binom{10}{4}$, P(10, 4), or neither. If you answer is "neither," say what the answer should be instead.

- (a) How many shortest lattice paths are there from (0, 0) to (10, 4)?
- (b) If you have 10 bow ties, and you want to select 4 of them for next week, how many choices do you have?
- (c) Suppose you have 10 bow ties and you will wear one on each of the next 4 days. How many choices do you have?
- (d) If you want to wear 4 of your 10 bow ties next week (Monday through Sunday), how many ways can this be accomplished?

- (e) Out of a group of 10 classmates, how many ways can you rank your top 4 friends?
- (f) If 10 students come to their professor's office but only 4 can fit at a time, how different combinations of 4 students can see the prof first?
- (g) How many 4 letter words can be made from the first 10 letters of the alphabet?
- (h) How many ways can you make the word "cake" from the first 10 letters of the alphabet?
- (i) How many ways are there to distribute 10 apples among 4 children?
- (j) If you have 10 kids (and live in a shoe) and 4 types of cereal, how many ways can your kids eat breakfast?
- (k) How many ways can you arrange exactly 4 ones in a string of 10 binary digits?
- (l) You want to select 4 single digit numbers as your lotto picks. How many choices do you have?
- (m) 10 kids want ice-cream. You have 4 varieties. How many ways are there to give the kids as much ice-cream as they want?
- (n) How many 1-1 functions are there from $\{1, 2, \dots, 10\}$ to $\{a, b, c, d\}$?
- (o) How many surjective functions are there from $\{1, 2, ..., 10\}$ to $\{a, b, c, d\}$?
- (p) Each of your 10 bow ties match 4 pairs of suspenders. How many outfits can you make?
- (q) After the party, the 10 kids each choose one of 4 party-favors. How many outcomes?
- (r) How many 6-elements subsets are there of the set $\{1, 2, \ldots, 10\}$
- (s) How many ways can you split up 11 kids into 5 teams?
- (t) How many solutions are there to $x_1 + x_2 + \cdots + x_5 = 6$ where each x_i is non-negative?
- (u) Your band goes on tour. There are 10 cities within driving distance, but only enough time to play 4 of them. How many choices do you have for the cities on your tour?
- (v) In how many different ways can you play the 4 cities you choose?
- (w) Out of the 10 breakfast cereals available, you want to have 4 bowls. How many ways can you do this?
- (x) There are 10 types of cookies available. You want to make a 4 cookie stack. How many different stacks can you make?
- (y) From your home at (0,0) you want to go to either the donut shop at (5,4) or the one at (3,6). How many paths could you take?
- (z) How many 10-digit numbers do not contain a sub-string of 4 repeated digits?

3. Recall, you own 3 regular ties and 5 bow ties. You realize that it would be okay to wear more than two ties to your clown college interview.

- (a) You must select some of your ties to wear. Everything is okay, from no ties up to all ties. How many choices do you have?
- (b) If you want to wear at least one regular tie and one bow tie, but are willing to wear up to all your ties, how many choices do you have for which ties to wear?
- (c) How many choices do you have if you wear exactly 2 of the 3 regular ties and 3 of the 5 bow ties?
- (d) Once you have selected 2 regular and 3 bow ties, in how many orders could you put the ties on, assuming you must have one of the three bow ties on top?

4. Give a counting question where the answer is $8 \cdot 3 \cdot 3 \cdot 5$. Give another question where the answer is 8 + 3 + 3 + 5.

- **5.** Consider five digit numbers $\alpha = a_1a_2a_3a_4a_5$, with each digit from the set {1, 2, 3, 4}.
- (a) How many such numbers are there?
- (b) How many such numbers are there for which the *sum* of the digits is even?
- (c) How many such numbers contain more even digits than odd digits?

6. In a recent small survey of airline passengers, 25 said they had flown American in the last year, 30 had flown Jet Blue, and 20 had flown Continental. Of those, 10 reported they had flown on American and Jet Blue, 12 had flown on Jet Blue and Continental, and 7 had flown on American and Continental. 5 passengers had flown on all three airlines.

How many passengers were surveyed? (Assume the results above make up the entire survey.)

7. Recall, by 8-bit strings, we mean strings of binary digits, of length 8.

- (a) How many 8-bit strings are there total?
- (b) How many 8-bit strings have weight 5?
- (c) How many subsets of the set {*a*, *b*, *c*, *d*, *e*, *f*, *g*, *h*} contain exactly 5 elements?
- (d) Explain why your answers to parts (b) and (c) are the same. Why are these questions equivalent?

8. What is the coefficient of x^{10} in the expansion of $(x + 1)^{13} + x^2(x + 1)^{17}$?

- 9. How many 8-letter words contain exactly 5 vowels (a,e,i,o,u)? What if repeated letters were not allowed?
- **10.** For each of the following, find the number of shortest lattice paths from (0, 0) to (8, 8) which:
- (a) pass through the point (2, 3).
- (b) avoid (do not pass through) the point (7, 5).
- (c) either pass through (2, 3) or (5, 7) (or both).

11. You live in Grid-Town on the corner of 2nd and 3rd, and work in a building on the corner of 10th and 13th. How many routes are there which take you from home to work and then back home, but by a different route?

12. How many 10-bit strings start with 111 or end with 101 or both?

13. How many 10-bit strings of weight 6 start with 111 or end with 101 or both?

14. How many 6 letter words made from the letters *a*, *b*, *c*, *d*, *e*, *f* without repeats do not contain the sub-word "bad" in (a) consecutive letters? or (b) not-necessarily consecutive letters (but in order)?

15. Explain using lattice paths why $\sum_{k=0}^{n} {n \choose k} = 2^{n}$.

16. Suppose you have 20 one-dollar bills to give out as prizes to your top 5 discrete math students. How many ways can you do this if:

- (a) Each of the 5 students gets at least 1 dollar?
- (b) Some students might get nothing?
- (c) Each student gets at least 1 dollar but no more than 7 dollars?

Hint. Stars and bars.

17. How many functions $f : \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5\} \rightarrow \{a, b, c, d, e\}$ are there satisfying:

- (a) f(1) = a or f(2) = b (or both)?
- (b) $f(1) \neq a \text{ or } f(2) \neq b \text{ (or both)}?$
- (c) $f(1) \neq a$ and $f(2) \neq b$, and f is injective?
- (d) *f* is surjective, but $f(1) \neq a$, $f(2) \neq b$, $f(3) \neq c$, $f(4) \neq d$ and $f(5) \neq e$?

18. How many functions map $\{1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6\}$ onto $\{a, b, c, d\}$ (i.e., how many *surjections* are there)?

19. To thank your math professor for doing such an amazing job all semester, you decide to bake Oscar cookies. You know how to make 10 different types of cookies.

(a) If you want to give your professor 4 different types of cookies, how many different combinations of cookie type can you select? Explain your answer.

- (b) To keep things interesting, you decide to make a different number of each type of cookie. If again you want to select 4 cookie types, how many ways can you select the cookie types and decide for which there will be the most, second most, etc. Explain your answer.
- (c) You change your mind again. This time you decide you will make a total of 12 cookies. Each cookie could be any one of the 10 types of cookies you know how to bake (and it's okay if you leave some types out). How many choices do you have? Explain.
- (d) You realize that the previous plan did not account for presentation. This time, you once again want to make 12 cookies, each one could be any one of the 10 types of cookies. However, now you plan to shape the cookies into the numerals 1, 2, ..., 12 (and probably arrange them to make a giant clock, but you haven't decided on that yet). How many choices do you have for which types of cookies to bake into which numerals? Explain.
- (e) The only flaw with the last plan is that your professor might not get to sample all 10 different varieties of cookies. How many choices do you have for which types of cookies to make into which numerals, given that each type of cookie should be present at least once? Explain.

20. For which of the parts of the previous problem (Exercise 1.7.19) does it make sense to interpret the counting question as counting some number of functions? Say what the domain and codomain should be, and whether you are counting all functions, injections, surjections, or something else.

76 1. Counting

Sequences

Investigate!

There is a monastery in Hanoi, as the legend goes, with a great hall containing three tall pillars. Resting on the first pillar are 64 giant disks (or washers), all different sizes, stacked from largest to smallest. The monks are charged with the following task: they must move the entire stack of disks to the third pillar. However, due to the size of the disks, the monks cannot move more than one at a time. Each disk must be placed on one of the pillars before the next disk is moved. And because the disks are so heavy and fragile, the monks may never place a larger disk on top of a smaller disk. When the monks finally complete their task, the world shall come to an end. Your task: figure out how long before we need to start worrying about the end of the world.

- 1. First, let's find the minimum number of moves required for a smaller number of disks. Collect some data. Make a table.
- 2. Conjecture a formula for the minimum number of moves required to move *n* disks. Test your conjecture. How do you know your formula is correct?
- 3. If the monks were able to move one disk every second without ever stopping, how long before the world ends?



This puzzle is called the *Tower of Hanoi*. You are tasked with finding the minimum number of moves to complete the puzzle. This certainly sounds like a counting problem. Perhaps you have an answer? If not, what else could we try?

The answer depends on the number of disks you need to move. In fact, we could answer the puzzle first for 1 disk, then 2, then 3 and so on. If we list out all of the answers for each number of disks, we will get a **sequence** of numbers. The *n*th term in the sequence is the answer to the question, "what is the smallest number of moves required to complete the Tower of Hanoi puzzle with *n* disks?" You might wonder why we would create such a sequence instead of just answering the question. By looking at how the sequence of numbers grows, we gain insight into the problem. It is easy to count the number of moves required for a small number of disks. We can then look for a pattern among the first few terms of the sequence. Hopefully this will suggest a method for finding the *n*th term of the sequence, which is the answer to our question. Of course we will also need to verify that our suspected pattern is correct, and that this correct pattern really does give us the *n*th term we think it does, but it is impossible to prove that your formula is correct without having a formula to start with.

Sequences are also interesting mathematical objects to study in their own right. Let's see why.

2.1 **Definitions**



A **sequence** is simply an ordered list of numbers. For example, here is a sequence: 0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, This is different from the set \mathbb{N} because, while the sequence is a complete list of every element in the set of natural numbers, in the sequence we very much care what order the numbers come in. For this reason, when we use variables to represent terms in a sequence they will look like this:

$$a_0, a_1, a_2, a_3, \ldots$$

To refer to the *entire* sequence at once, we will write $(a_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ or $(a_n)_{n \ge 0}$, or sometimes if we are being sloppy, just (a_n) (in which case we assume we start the sequence with a_0).

We might replace the *a* with another letter, and sometimes we omit a_0 , starting with a_1 , in which case we would use $(a_n)_{n\geq 1}$ to refer to the sequence as a whole. The numbers in the subscripts are called **indices** (the plural of **index**).

While we often just think of sequences as an ordered list of numbers, they really are a type of function. Specifically, the sequence $(a_n)_{n\geq 0}$ is a function with domain \mathbb{N} where a_n is the image of the natural number n. Later we will manipulate sequences in much the same way you have manipulated functions in algebra or calculus. We can shift a sequence up or down, add two sequences, or ask for the rate of change of a sequence. These are done exactly as you would for functions.

That said, while keeping the rigorous mathematical definition in mind is helpful, we often describe sequences by writing out the first few terms.

Example 2.1.1

Can you find the next term in the following sequences?

- 1. 7,7,7,7,7,...
- 2. 3, -3, 3, -3, 3, ...
- 3. 1, 5, 2, 10, 3, 15, ...
- 4. 1, 2, 4, 8, 16, 32, ...
- 5. 1, 4, 9, 16, 25, 36, ...
- 6. 1, 2, 3, 5, 8, 13, 21, ...
- 7. 1, 3, 6, 10, 15, 21, ...
- 8. 2, 3, 5, 7, 11, 13, ...
- 9. 3, 2, 1, 0, -1, ...
- 10. 1, 1, 2, 6, ...

Solution. No you cannot. You might guess that the next terms are:

- 1.7
- 2. -3
- 3. 4
- 4. 64
- 5. 49
- 6. 34
- 7. 28
- 8. 17

9. -2

10. 24

In fact, those are the next terms of the sequences I had in mind when I made up the example, but there is no way to be sure they are correct.

Still, we will often do this. Given the first few terms of a sequence, we can ask what the pattern in the sequence suggests the next terms are.

Given that no number of initial terms in a sequence is enough to say for certain which sequence we are dealing with, we need to find another way to specify a sequence. We consider two ways to do this:

Closed formula

A **closed formula** for a sequence $(a_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a formula for a_n using a fixed finite number of operations on *n*. This is what you normally think of as a formula in *n*, just like if you were defining a function in terms of *n* (because that is exactly what you are doing).

Recursive definition

A recursive definition (sometimes called an inductive definition) for a sequence $(a_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ consists of a recurrence relation: an equation relating a term of the sequence to previous terms (terms with smaller index) and an initial condition: a list of a few terms of the sequence (one less than the number of terms in the recurrence relation).

It is easier to understand what is going on here with an example:

Example 2.1.2

Here are a few closed formulas for sequences:

•
$$a_n = n^2$$
.
• $a_n = \frac{n(n+1)}{2}$.
• $a_n = \frac{\left(\frac{1+\sqrt{5}}{2}\right)^n - \left(\frac{1+\sqrt{5}}{2}\right)^{-n}}{5}$.

Note in each case, if you are given *n*, you can calculate a_n directly: just plug in *n*. For example, to find a_3 in the second sequence, just compute $a_3 = \frac{3(3+1)}{2} = 6$.

Here are a few recursive definitions for sequences:

- $a_n = 2a_{n-1}$ with $a_0 = 1$.
- $a_n = 2a_{n-1}$ with $a_0 = 27$.
- $a_n = a_{n-1} + a_{n-2}$ with $a_0 = 0$ and $a_1 = 1$.

In these cases, if you are given n, you cannot calculate a_n directly, you first need to find a_{n-1} (or a_{n-1} and a_{n-2}). In the second sequence, to find a_3 you would take $2a_2$, but to find $a_2 = 2a_1$ we would need to know $a_1 = 2a_0$. We do know this, so we could trace back through these equations to find $a_1 = 54$, $a_2 = 108$ and finally $a_3 = 216$.

Investigate!

You have a large collection of 1×1 squares and 1×2 dominoes. You want to arrange these to make a 1×15 strip. How many ways can you do this?

- 1. Start by collecting data. How many length 1 × 1 strips can you make? How many 1 × 2 strips? How many 1 × 3 strips? And so on.
- 2. How are the 1×3 and 1×4 strips related to the 1×5 strips?
- 3. How many 1×15 strips can you make?
- 4. What if I asked you to find the number of 1×1000 strips? Would the method you used to calculate the number fo 1×15 strips be helpful?



Attempt the above activity before proceeding

You might wonder why we would bother with recursive definitions for sequences. After all, it is harder to find a_n with a recursive definition than with a closed formula. This is true, but it is also harder to find a closed formula for a sequence than it is to find a recursive definition. So to find a useful closed formula, we might first find the recursive definition, then use that to find the closed formula.

This is not to say that recursive definitions aren't useful in finding a_n . You can always calculate a_n given a recursive definition, it might just take a while.

Example 2.1.3

Find a_6 in the sequence defined by $a_n = 2a_{n-1} - a_{n-2}$ with $a_0 = 3$ and $a_1 = 4$.

Solution. We know that $a_6 = 2a_5 - a_4$. So to find a_6 we need to find a_5 and a_4 . Well

 $a_5 = 2a_4 - a_3$ and $a_4 = 2a_3 - a_2$,

so if we can only find a_3 and a_2 we would be set. Of course

 $a_3 = 2a_2 - a_1$ and $a_2 = 2a_1 - a_0$,

so we only need to find a_1 and a_0 . But we are given these. Thus

```
a_{0} = 3
a_{1} = 4
a_{2} = 2 \cdot 4 - 3 = 5
a_{3} = 2 \cdot 5 - 4 = 6
a_{4} = 2 \cdot 6 - 5 = 7
a_{5} = 2 \cdot 7 - 6 = 8
a_{6} = 2 \cdot 8 - 7 = 9.
```

Note that now we can guess a closed formula for the *n*th term of the sequence: $a_n = n + 3$. To be sure this will always work, we could plug in this formula into the recurrence relation:

$$2a_{n-1} - a_{n-2} = 2((n-1)+3) - ((n-2)+3)$$

= 2n + 4 - n - 1
= n + 3
= a_n.

That is not quite enough though, since there can be multiple closed formulas that satisfy the same recurrence relation; we must also check that our closed formula agrees on the initial terms of the sequence. Since $a_0 = 0 + 3 = 3$ and $a_1 = 1 + 3 = 4$ are the correct initial conditions, we can now conclude we have the correct closed formula.

Finding closed formulas, or even recursive definitions, for sequences is not trivial. There is no one method for doing this. Just like in evaluating integrals or solving differential equations, it is useful to have a bag of tricks you can apply, but sometimes there is no easy answer.

One useful method is to relate a given sequence to another sequence for which we already know the closed formula.

Example 2.1.4

Use the formulas $T_n = \frac{n(n+1)}{2}$ and $a_n = 2^n$ to find closed formulas for the following sequences.

- 1. (b_n) : 1, 2, 4, 7, 11, 16, 22, ...
- 2. (c_n) : 3, 5, 9, 17, 33, ...
- 3. (d_n) : 0, 2, 6, 12, 20, 30, 42, ...
- 4. (e_n) : 3, 6, 10, 15, 21, 28,
- 5. (f_n) : 0, 1, 3, 7, 15, 31, ...
- 6. (g_n) 3, 6, 12, 24, 48,
- 7. (h_n) : 6, 10, 18, 34, 66,
- 8. (j_n) : 15, 33, 57, 87, 123, ...

Solution. Before you say this is impossible, what we are asking for is simply to find a closed formula which agrees with all of the initial terms of the sequences. Of course there is no way to read into the mind of the person who wrote the numbers down, but we can at least do this.

The first few terms of $(T_n)_{n\geq 0}$ are 0, 1, 3, 6, 10, 15, 21, . . . (these are called the **triangular numbers**). The first few terms of $(a_n)_{n\geq 0}$ are 1, 2, 4, 8, 16, Let's try to find formulas for the given sequences:

- 1. (1, 2, 4, 7, 11, 16, 22, ...). Note that if subtract 1 from each term, we get the sequence (T_n) . So we have $b_n = T_n + 1$. Therefore a closed formula is $b_n = \frac{n(n+1)}{2} + 1$. A quick check of the first few *n* confirms we have it right.
- 2. (3, 5, 9, 17, 33, ...). Each term in this sequence is one more than a power of 2, so we might guess the closed formula is $c_n = a_n + 1 = 2^n + 1$. If we try this though, we get $c_0 2^0 + 1 = 2$ and $c_1 = 2^1 + 1 = 3$. We are off because the indices are shifted. What we really want is $c_n = a_{n+1} + 1$ giving $c_n = 2^{n+1} + 1$.
- 3. (0, 2, 6, 12, 20, 30, 42, . . .). Notice that all these terms are even. What happens if we factor out a 2? We get (T_n) ! More precisely, we find that $d_n/2 = T_n$, so this sequence has closed formula $d_n = n(n + 1)$.
- 4. (3, 6, 10, 15, 21, 28, ...). These are all triangular numbers. However, we are starting with 3 as our initial term instead of as our third term. So if we could plug in 2 instead of 0 into the formula for T_n , we would be set. Therefore the closed formula is $e_n = \frac{(n+2)(n+3)}{2}$ (where n + 3 came from (n + 2) + 1). Thinking about sequences as functions, we are doing a horizontal shift by 2: $e_n = T_{n+2}$ which would cause the graph to shift 2 units to the left.
- 5. (0, 1, 3, 7, 15, 31, ...). Try adding 1 to each term and we get powers of 2. You might guess this because each term is a little more than twice the previous term (the powers of 2 are *exactly* twice the previous term). Closed formula: $f_n = 2^n 1$.

- 6. (3, 6, 12, 24, 48, ...). These numbers are also doubling each time, but are also all multiples of
 3. Dividing each by 3 gives 1, 2, 4, 8, Aha. We get the closed formula g_n = 3 · 2ⁿ.
- 7. (6, 10, 18, 34, 66, ...). To get from one term to the next, we almost double each term. So maybe we can relate this back to 2^n . Yes, each term is 2 more than a power of 2. So we get $h_n = 2^{n+2} + 2$ (the n + 2 is because the first term is 2 more than 2^2 , not 2^0). Alternatively, we could have related this sequence to the second sequence in this example: starting with 3, 5, 9, 17, ... we see that this sequence is twice the terms from that sequence. That sequence had closed formula $c_n = 2^{n+1} + 1$. Our sequence here would be twice this, so $h_n = 2(2^n + 1)$, which is the same as we got before.
- 8. (15, 33, 57, 87, 123, ...). Try dividing each term by 3. That gives the sequence 5, 11, 19, 29, 41, Now add 1: 6, 12, 20, 30, 42, ..., which is (d_n) in this example, except starting with 6 instead of 0. So let's start with the formula $d_n = n(n + 1)$. To start with the 6, we shift: (n + 2)(n + 3). But this is one too many, so subtract 1: (n + 2)(n + 3) - 1. That gives us our sequence, but divided by 3. So we want $j_n = 3((n + 2)(n + 3) - 1)$.

Exercises

1. Find the closed formula for each of the following sequences by relating them to a well known sequence. Assume the first term given is a_1 .

- (a) 2, 5, 10, 17, 26, ...
- (b) 0, 2, 5, 9, 14, 20, ...
- (c) 8, 12, 17, 23, 30, ...
- (d) 1, 5, 23, 119, 719, ...

2. For each sequence given below, find a closed formula for a_n , the *n*th term of the sequence (assume the first terms are a_0) by relating it to another sequence for which you already know the formula. In each case, briefly say how you got your answers.

- (a) 4, 5, 7, 11, 19, 35, ...
- (b) 0, 3, 8, 15, 24, 35, ...
- (c) 6, 12, 20, 30, 42, ...
- (d) 0, 2, 7, 15, 26, 40, 57, ... (Cryptic Hint: these might be called "house numbers")

3. The Fibonacci sequence is 0, 1, 1, 2, 3, 5, 8, 13, ... (where $F_0 = 0$).

- (a) Give the recursive definition for the sequence.
- (b) Write out the first few terms of the sequence of partial sums: 0, 0 + 1, 0 + 1 + 1, ...
- (c) Give a closed formula for the sequence of partial sums in terms of F_n (for example, you might say $F_0 + F_1 + \cdots + F_n = 3F_{n-1}^2 + n$, although that is definitely not correct).

4. Consider the three sequences below. For each, find a recursive definition. How are these sequences related?

- (a) 2, 4, 6, 10, 16, 26, 42,
- (b) 5, 6, 11, 17, 28, 45, 73,
- (c) $0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, \dots$

5. Show that $a_n = 3 \cdot 2^n + 7 \cdot 5^n$ is a solution to the recurrence relation $a_n = 7a_{n-1} - 10a_{n-2}$. What would the initial conditions need to be for this to be the closed formula for the sequence?

6. Write out the first few terms of the sequence given by $a_1 = 3$; $a_n = 2a_{n-1} + 4$. Then find a recursive definition for the sequence 10, 24, 52, 108,

7. Write out the first few terms of the sequence given by $a_n = n^2 - 3n + 1$. Then find a closed formula for the sequence (starting with a_1) 0, 2, 6, 12, 20,

8. Find a closed formula for the sequence with recursive definition $a_n = 2a_{n-1} - a_{n-2}$ with $a_1 = 1$ and $a_2 = 2$.

9. Find a recursive definition for the sequence with closed formula $a_n = 3 + 2n$. Bonus points if you can give a recursive definition in which makes use of two previous terms and no constants.

2.2 Arithmetic and Geometric Sequences



We now turn to the question of finding closed formulas for particular types of sequences.

Arithmetic Sequences

If the terms of a sequence differ by a constant, we say the sequence is **arithmetic**. If the initial term (a_0) of the sequence is *a* and the **common difference** is *d*, then we have,

Recursive definition: $a_n = a_{n-1} + d$ with $a_0 = a$. Closed formula: $a_n = a + dn$. How do we know this? For the recursive definition, we need to specify a_0 . Then we need to express a_n in terms of a_{n-1} . If we call the first term a, then $a_0 = a$. For the recurrence relation, by the definition of an arithmetic sequence, the difference between successive terms is some constant, say d. So $a_n - a_{n-1} = d$, or in other words,

$$a_0 = a \qquad a_n = a_{n-1} + d.$$

To find a closed formula, first write out the sequence in general:

 $a_{0} = a$ $a_{1} = a_{0} + d = a + d$ $a_{2} = a_{1} + d = a + d + d = a + 2d$ $a_{3} = a_{2} + d = a + 2d + d = a + 3d$:

We see that to find the *n*th term, we need to start with *a* and then add *d* a bunch of times. In fact, add it *n* times. Thus $a_n = a + dn$.

Example 2.2.1

Find recursive definitions and closed formulas for the sequences below. Assume the first term listed is a_0 .

1. 2, 5, 8, 11, 14,

2. 50, 43, 36, 29,

Solution. First we should check that these sequences really are arithmetic by taking differences of successive terms. Doing so will reveal the common difference *d*.

- 1. 5-2 = 3, 8-5 = 3, etc. To get from each term to the next, we add three, so d = 3. The recursive definition is therefore $a_n = a_{n-1} + 3$ with $a_0 = 2$. The closed formula is $a_n = 2 + 3n$.
- 2. Here the common difference is -7, since we add -7 to 50 to get 43, and so on. Thus we have a recursive definition of $a_n = a_{n-1} 7$ with $a_0 = 50$. The closed formula is $a_n = 50 7n$.

What about sequences like 2, 6, 18, 54, ...? This is not arithmetic because the difference between terms is not constant. However, the *ratio* between successive terms is constant. We call such sequences geometric.

The recursive definition for the geometric sequence with initial term *a* and common ratio *r* is $a_n = a_n \cdot r$; $a_0 = a$. To get the next term we multiply the previous term by *r*. We can find the closed formula like we did for the arithmetic progression. Write

```
a_0 = a

a_1 = a_0 \cdot r

a_2 = a_1 \cdot r = a_0 \cdot r \cdot r = a_0 \cdot r^2

:
```

We must multiply the first term *a* by *r* a number of times, *n* times to be precise. We get $a_n = a \cdot r^n$.

Geometric Sequences

A sequence is called **geometric** if the ratio between successive terms is constant. Suppose the initial term a_0 is a and the **common ratio** is r. Then we have,

Recursive definition: $a_n = ra_{n-1}$ with $a_0 = a$. Closed formula: $a_n = a \cdot r^n$.

Example 2.2.2

Find the recursive and closed formula for the sequences below. Again, the first term listed is a_0 .

- 1. 3, 6, 12, 24, 48, ...
- 2. 27,9,3,1,1/3,...

Solution. Again, we should first check that these sequences really are geometric, this time by dividing each term by its previous term. Assuming this ratio is constant, we will have found r.

- 1. 6/3 = 2, 12/6 = 2, 24/12 = 2, etc. Yes, to get from any term to the next, we multiply by r = 2. So the recursive definition is $a_n = 2a_{n-1}$ with $a_0 = 3$. The closed formula is $a_n = 3 \cdot 2^n$.
- 2. The common ratio is r = 1/3. So the sequence has recursive definition $a_n = \frac{1}{3}a_{n-1}$ with $a_0 = 27$ and closed formula $a_n = 27 \cdot \frac{1}{3}^n$.

In the examples and formulas above, we assumed that the *initial* term was a_0 . If your sequence starts with a_1 , you can easily find the term that would have been a_0 and use that in the formula. For example, if we want a formula for the sequence 2, 5, 8, . . . and insist that $2 = a_1$, then we can find $a_0 = -1$ (since the sequence is arithmetic with common difference 3, we have $a_0 + 3 = a_1$). Then the closed formula will be $a_n = -1 + 3n$.

Remark 2.2.3. If you look at other textbooks or online, you might find that their closed formulas for arithmetic and geometric sequences differ from ours. Specifically, you might find the formulas $a_n = a + (n - 1)d$ (arithmetic) and $a_n = a \cdot r^{n-1}$ (geometric). Which is correct? Both! In our case, we take *a* to be a_0 . If instead we had a_1 as our initial term, we would get the (slightly more complicated) formulas you find elsewhere.

SUMS OF ARITHMETIC AND GEOMETRIC SEQUENCES

• Investigate!

Your neighborhood grocery store has a candy machine full of Skittles.

- 1. Suppose that the candy machine currently holds exactly 650 Skittles, and every time someone inserts a quarter, exactly 7 Skittles come out of the machine.
 - (a) How many Skittles will be left in the machine after 20 quarters have been inserted?
 - (b) Will there ever be exactly zero Skittles left in the machine? Explain.
- 2. What if the candy machine gives 7 Skittles to the first customer who put in a quarter, 10 to the second, 13 to the third, 16 to the fourth, etc. How many Skittles has the machine given out after 20 quarters are put into the machine?
- 3. Now, what if the machine gives 4 Skittles to the first customer, 7 to the second, 12 to the third, 19 to the fourth, etc. How many Skittles has the machine given out after 20 quarters are put into the machine?

Attempt the above activity before proceeding

Look at the sequence $(T_n)_{n\geq 1}$ which starts 1, 3, 6, 10, 15, These are called the **triangular numbers** since they represent the number of dots in an equilateral triangle (think of how you arrange 10 bowling pins: a row of 4 plus a row of 3 plus a row of 2 and a row of 1).



Is this sequence arithmetic? No, since 3 - 1 = 2 and $6 - 3 = 3 \neq 2$, so there is no common difference. Is the sequence geometric? No. 3/1 = 3 but 6/3 = 2, so there is no common ratio. What to do?

Notice that the differences between terms form an arithmetic sequence: 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, ... This says that the *n*th term of the sequence 1, 3, 6, 10, 15, ... is the *sum* of the first *n* terms in the sequence 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, ... We say that the first sequence is the **sequence of partial sums** of the second sequence (partial sums because we are not taking the sum of all infinitely many terms). If we know how to add up the terms of an arithmetic sequence, we could use this to find a closed formula for a sequence whose differences are the terms of that arithmetic sequence.

This should become clearer if we write the triangular numbers like this:

$$1 = 1$$

$$3 = 1 + 2$$

$$6 = 1 + 2 + 3$$

$$10 = 1 + 2 + 3 + 4$$

$$\vdots \qquad \vdots$$

$$T_n = 1 + 2 + 3 + \dots + n.$$

Consider how we could find the sum of the first 100 positive integers (that is, T_{100}). Instead of adding them in order, we regroup and add 1+100 = 101. The next pair to combine is 2+99 = 101. Then 3+98 = 101. Keep going. This gives 50 pairs which each add up to 101, so $T_{100} = 101 \cdot 50 = 5050.^{10}$

In general, using this same sort of regrouping, we find that $T_n = \frac{n(n+1)}{2}$. Incidentally, this is exactly the same as $\binom{n+1}{2}$, which makes sense if you think of the triangular numbers as counting the number of handshakes that take place at a party with n + 1 people: the first person shakes n hands, the next shakes an additional n - 1 hands and so on.

The point of all of this is that some sequences, while not arithmetic or geometric, can be interpreted as the sequence of partial sums of arithmetic and geometric sequences. Luckily there are methods we can use to compute these sums quickly.

SUMMING ARITHMETIC SEQUENCES: REVERSE AND ADD

Here is a technique that allows us to quickly find the sum of an arithmetic sequence.

Example 2.2.4 Find the sum: $2 + 5 + 8 + 11 + 14 + \dots + 470$.

Solution. The idea is to mimic how we found the formula for triangular numbers. If we add the first and last terms, we get 472. The second term and second-to-last term also add up to 472. To keep track of everything, we might express this as follows. Call the sum *S*. Then,

	S =	2	+	5	+	8	$+\cdots +$	467	+	470
+	S =	470	+	467	+	464	$+\cdots +$	5	+	2
	2 <i>S</i> =	472	+	472	+	472	$+\cdots+$	472	+	472

To find 2*S* then we add 472 to itself a number of times. What number? We need to decide how many terms (**summands**) are in the sum. Since the terms form an arithmetic sequence, the *n*th term

¹This insight is usually attributed to Carl Friedrich Gauss, one of the greatest mathematicians of all time, who discovered it as a child when his unpleasant elementary teacher thought he would keep the class busy by requiring them to compute the lengthy sum.

in the sum (counting 2 as the 0th term) can be expressed as 2 + 3n. If 2 + 3n = 470 then n = 156. So n ranges from 0 to 156, giving 157 terms in the sum. This is the number of 472's in the sum for 2*S*. Thus

 $2S = 157 \cdot 472 = 74104$

S = 74104/2 = 37052

It is now easy to find *S*:

This will work for any sum of *arithmetic* sequences. Call the sum *S*. Reverse and add. This produces a single number added to itself many times. Find the number of times. Multiply. Divide by 2. Done.

Example 2.2.5

Find a closed formula for $6 + 10 + 14 + \cdots + (4n - 2)$.

Solution. Again, we have a sum of an arithmetic sequence. We need to know how many terms are in the sequence. Clearly each term in the sequence has the form 4k - 2 (as evidenced by the last term). For which values of k though? To get 6, k = 2. To get 4n - 2 take k = n. So to find the number of terms, we need to know how many integers are in the range 2, 3, ..., n. The answer is n - 1. (There are n numbers from 1 to n, so one less if we start with 2.)

Now reverse and add:

	S =	6	+	10	$+\cdots +$	4n - 6	+	4n - 2
+	S =	4n - 2	+	4n - 6	$+\cdots +$	10	+	6
	2 <i>S</i> =	4n + 4	+	4n + 4	$+\cdots+$	4n + 4	+	4 <i>n</i> + 4

Since there are n - 2 terms, we get

$$2S = (n-2)(4n+4)$$
 so $S = \frac{(n-2)(4n+4)}{2}$

Besides finding sums, we can use this technique to find closed formulas for sequences we recognize as sequences of partial sums.

Example 2.2.6

Use partial sums to find a closed formula for $(a_n)_{n\geq 0}$ which starts 2, 3, 7, 14, 24, 37,

Solution. First, if you look at the differences between terms, you get a sequence of differences: 1, 4, 7, 10, 13, . . ., which is an arithmetic sequence. Written another way:

$$a_0 = 2$$

$$a_1 = 2 + 1$$

$$a_2 = 2 + 1 + 4$$

$$a_3 = 2 + 1 + 4 + 7$$

and so on. We can write the general term of (a_n) in terms of the arithmetic sequence as follows:

$$a_n = 2 + 1 + 4 + 7 + 10 + \dots + (1 + 3(n - 1))$$

(we use 1 + 3(n - 1) instead of 1 + 3n to get the indices to line up correctly; for a_3 we add up to 7, which is 1 + 3(3 - 1)).

We can reverse and add, but the initial 2 does not fit our pattern. This just means we need to keep the 2 out of the reverse part:

$$a_n = 2 + 1 + 4 + \dots + 1 + 3(n-1)$$

+ $a_n = 2 + 1 + 3(n-1) + 1 + 3(n-2) + \dots + 1$
 $2a_n = 4 + 2 + 3(n-1) + 2 + 3(n-1) + \dots + 2 + 3(n-1)$

Not counting the first term (the 4) there are *n* summands of 2 + 3(n-1) = 3n - 1 so the right-hand side becomes 2 + (3n - 1)n.

Finally, solving for a_n we get

$$a_n = \frac{4 + (3n-1)n}{2}.$$

Just to be sure, we check $a_0 = \frac{4}{2} = 2$, $a_1 = \frac{4+2}{2} = 3$, etc. We have the correct closed formula.

SUMMING GEOMETRIC SEQUENCES: MULTIPLY, SHIFT AND SUBTRACT

To find the sum of a geometric sequence, we cannot just reverse and add. Do you see why? The reason we got the same term added to itself many times is because there was a constant difference. So as we added that difference in one direction, we subtracted the difference going the other way, leaving a constant total. For geometric sums, we have a different technique.

Example 2.2.7 What is $3 + 6 + 12 + 24 + \dots + 12288$? **Solution.** Multiply each term by 2, the common ratio. You get $2S = 6 + 12 + 24 + \dots + 24576$. Now subtract: 2S - S = -3 + 24576 = 24573. Since 2S - S = S, we have our answer.

To better see what happened in the above example, try writing it this way:

S =	3+	$6 + 12 + 24 + \dots + 12288$	
-2S =		$6 + 12 + 24 + \dots + 12288$	+24576
-S =	3+	$0+0+0+\dots+0$	-24576

Then divide both sides by -1 and we have the same result for *S*. The idea is, by multiplying the sum by the common ratio, each term becomes the next term. We shift over the sum to get the subtraction to mostly cancel out, leaving just the first term and new last term.

```
Example 2.2.8

Find a closed formula for S(n) = 2 + 10 + 50 + \dots + 2 \cdot 5^n.

Solution. The common ratio is 5. So we have

S = 2 + 10 + 50 + \dots + 2 \cdot 5^n
-5S = 10 + 50 + \dots + 2 \cdot 5^n + 2 \cdot 5^{n+1}
-4S = 2 - 2 \cdot 5^{n+1}
Thus S = \frac{2 - 2 \cdot 5^{n+1}}{-4}
```

Even though this might seem like a new technique, you have probably used it before.

Example 2.2.9 Express 0.464646... as a fraction. Solution. Let N = 0.46464646... Consider 0.01N. We get:

$$N = 0.4646464...$$

- 0.01N = 0.00464646...
0.99N = 0.46

So $N = \frac{46}{99}$. What have we done? We viewed the repeating decimal 0.464646... as a sum of the geometric sequence 0.46, 0.0046, 0.000046,... The common ratio is 0.01. The only real difference is that we are now computing an *infinite* geometric sum, we do not have the extra "last" term to consider. Really, this is the result of taking a limit as you would in calculus when you compute *infinite* geometric sums.

\sum and \prod notation

To simplify writing out sums, we will use notation like $\sum_{k=1}^{n} a_k$. This means add up the a_k 's where k changes

from 1 to *n*.

Example 2.2.10

Use \sum notation to rewrite the sums:

1.
$$1 + 2 + 3 + 4 + \dots + 100$$

- 2. $1 + 2 + 4 + 8 + \dots + 2^{50}$
- 3. $6 + 10 + 14 + \dots + (4n 2)$.

Solution.

1.
$$\sum_{k=1}^{100} k$$

2. $\sum_{k=0}^{50} 2^k$
3. $\sum_{k=2}^{n} (4k-2)$

If we want to multiply the a_k instead, we would write $\prod_{k=1}^{n} a_k$. For example, $\prod_{k=1}^{n} k = n!$.

Exercises

- **1.** Consider the sequence 5, 9, 13, 17, 21, ... with $a_1 = 5$
- (a) Give a recursive definition for the sequence.
- (b) Give a closed formula for the *n*th term of the sequence.
- (c) Is 2013 a term in the sequence? Explain.
- (d) How many terms does the sequence 5, 9, 13, 17, 21, ..., 533 have?
- (e) Find the sum: $5 + 9 + 13 + 17 + 21 + \dots + 533$. Show your work.
- (f) Use what you found above to find b_n , the n^{th} term of 1, 6, 15, 28, 45, ..., where $b_0 = 1$

2. Consider the sequence $(a_n)_{n\geq 0}$ which starts 8, 14, 20, 26,

- (a) What is the next term in the sequence?
- (b) Find a formula for the *n*th term of this sequence.

- (c) Find the sum of the first 100 terms of the sequence: $\sum_{k=0}^{99} a_k$.
- **3.** Consider the sum $4 + 11 + 18 + 25 + \dots + 249$.
- (a) How many terms (summands) are in the sum?
- (b) Compute the sum. Remember to show all your work.

4. Consider the sequence 1, 7, 13, 19, ..., 6n + 7.

- (a) How many terms are there in the sequence?
- (b) What is the second-to-last term?
- (c) Find the sum of all the terms in the sequence.
- 5. Find $5 + 7 + 9 + 11 + \dots + 521$.
- 6. Find $5 + 15 + 45 + \dots + 5 \cdot 3^{20}$.
- 7. Find $1 \frac{2}{3} + \frac{4}{9} \dots + \frac{2^{30}}{3^{30}}$.

8. Find *x* and *y* such that 27, *x*, *y*, 1 is part of an arithmetic sequence. Then find *x* and *y* so that the sequence is part of a geometric sequence. (Warning: *x* and *y* might not be integers.)

9. Starting with any rectangle, we can create a new, larger rectangle by attaching a square to the longer side. For example, if we start with a 2×5 rectangle, we would glue on a 5×5 square, forming a 5×7 rectangle:



- (a) Create a sequence of rectangles using this rule starting with a 1×2 rectangle. Then write out the sequence of *perimeters* for the rectangles (the first term of the sequence would be 6, since the perimeter of a 1×2 rectangle is 6 the next term would be 10).
- (b) Repeat the above part this time starting with a 1×3 rectangle.
- (c) Find recursive formulas for each of the sequences of perimeters you found in parts (a) and (b). Don't forget to give the initial conditions as well.
- (d) Are the sequences arithmetic? Geometric? If not, are they *close* to being either of these (i.e., are the differences or ratios *almost* constant)? Explain.

10. Consider the sequence 2, 7, 15, 26, 40, 57, . . . (with $a_0 = 2$). By looking at the differences between terms, express the sequence as a sequence of partial sums. Then find a closed formula for the sequence by computing the *n*th partial sum.

11. If you have enough toothpicks, you can make a large triangular grid. Below, are the triangular grids of size 1 and of size 2. The size 1 grid requires 3 toothpicks, the size 2 grid requires 9 toothpicks.





- (a) Let t_n be the number of toothpicks required to make a size *n* triangular grid. Write out the first 5 terms of the sequence $t_1, t_2, ...$
- (b) Find a recursive definition for the sequence. Explain why you are correct.
- (c) Is the sequence arithmetic or geometric? If not, is it the sequence of partial sums of an arithmetic or geometric sequence? Explain why your answer is correct.
- (d) Use your results from part (c) to find a closed formula for the sequence. Show your work.

12. Use summation (Σ) or product (Π) notation to rewrite the following.

(a)
$$2+4+6+8+\cdots+2n$$
.

- (b) $1 + 5 + 9 + 13 + \dots + 425$.
- (c) $1 + \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{3} + \frac{1}{4} + \dots + \frac{1}{50}$.

(d)
$$2 \cdot 4 \cdot 6 \cdot \cdots \cdot 2n$$
.

(e) $(\frac{1}{2})(\frac{2}{3})(\frac{3}{4})\cdots(\frac{100}{101}).$

13. Expand the following sums and products. That is, write them out the long way.

(a)
$$\sum_{k=1}^{100} (3+4k)$$
.
(b) $\sum_{k=0}^{n} 2^{k}$.
(c) $\sum_{k=2}^{50} \frac{1}{(k^{2}-1)}$.
(d) $\prod_{k=2}^{100} \frac{k^{2}}{(k^{2}-1)}$.
(e) $\prod_{k=0}^{n} (2+3k)$.

2.3 POLYNOMIAL FITTING

Investigate!

A standard 8×8 chessboard contains 64 squares. Actually, this is just the number of unit squares. How many squares of all sizes are there on a chessboard? Start with smaller boards: 1×1 , 2×2 , 3×3 , etc. Find a formula for the total number of squares in an $n \times n$ board.

Attempt the above activity before proceeding

So far we have seen methods for finding the closed formulas for arithmetic and geometric sequences. Since we know how to compute the sum of the first *n* terms of arithmetic and geometric sequences, we can compute the closed formulas for sequences which have an arithmetic (or geometric) sequence of differences between terms. But what if we consider a sequence which is the sum of the first *n* terms of a sequence which is itself the sum of an arithmetic sequence?

Before we get too carried away, let's consider an example: How many squares (of all sizes) are there on a chessboard? A chessboard consists of 64 squares, but we also want to consider squares of longer side length. Even though we are only considering an 8×8 board, there is already a lot to count. So instead, let us build a sequence: the first term will be the number of squares on a 1×1 board, the second term will be the number of squares on a 2×2 board, and so on. After a little thought, we arrive at the sequence

This sequence is not arithmetic (or geometric for that matter), but perhaps it's sequence of differences is. For differences we get

4,9,16,25,...

Not a huge surprise: one way to count the number of squares in a 4×4 chessboard is to notice that there are 16 squares with side length 1, 9 with side length 2, 4 with side length 3 and 1 with side length 4. So the original sequence is just the sum of squares. Now this sequence of differences is not arithmetic since it's sequence of differences (the differences of the differences of the original sequence) is not constant. In fact, this sequence of **second differences** is

5,7,9,...

which *is* an arithmetic sequence (with constant difference 2). Notice that our original sequence had **third differences** (that is, differences of differences of differences of the original) constant. We will call such a sequence Δ^3 -constant. The sequence 1, 4, 9, 16, . . . has second differences constant, so it will be a Δ^2 -constant sequence. In general, we will say a sequence is a Δ^k -constant sequence if the *k*th differences are constant.

Example 2.3.1

Which of the following sequences are Δ^k -constant for some value of k?

- 1. 2, 3, 7, 14, 24, 37,
- 2. 1, 8, 27, 64, 125, 216,
- 3. 1, 2, 4, 8, 16, 64, 128,

Solution.

- 1. This is the sequence from Example 2.2.6, in which we found a closed formula by recognizing the sequence as the sequence of partial sums of an arithmetic sequence. Indeed, the sequence of first differences is 1, 4, 7, 10, 13, . . ., which itself has differences 3, 3, 3, 3, Thus 2, 3, 7, 14, 24, 37, . . . is a Δ^2 -constant sequence.
- 2. These are the perfect cubes. The sequence of first differences is 7, 19, 37, 61, 91, ...; the sequence of second differences is 12, 18, 24, 30, ...; the sequence of third differences is constant: 6, 6, 6, Thus the perfect cubes are a Δ^3 -constant sequence.
- 3. If we take first differences we get 1, 2, 4, 8, 16, . . . Wait, what? That's the sequence we started with. So taking second differences will give us the same sequence again. No matter how many times we repeat this we will always have the same sequence, which in particular means no finite number of differences will be constant. Thus this sequence is not Δ^k -constant for any k.

The Δ^0 -constant sequences are themselves constant, so a closed formula for them is easy to compute (it's just the constant). The Δ^1 -constant sequences are arithmetic and we have a method for finding closed formulas for them as well. Every Δ^2 -constant sequence is the sum of an arithmetic sequence so we can find formulas for these as well. But notice that the format of the closed formula for a Δ^2 -constant sequence is always quadratic. For example, the square numbers are Δ^2 -constant with closed formula $a_n = n^2$. The triangular numbers (also Δ^2 -constant) have closed formula $a_n = \frac{n(n+1)}{2}$, which when multiplied out gives you an n^2 term as well. It appears that every time we increase the complexity of the sequence, that is, increase the number of differences before we get constants, we also increase the degree of the polynomial used for the closed formula. We go from constant to linear to quadratic. The sequence is growing at a constant rate, then the formula for the sequence will be linear. If the sequence is growing at a rate which itself is growing at a constant rate, then the formula is quadratic. You have seen this elsewhere: if a function has a constant second derivative (rate of change) then the function must be quadratic.

This works in general:

Finite Differences

The closed formula for a sequence will be a degree *k* polynomial if and only if the sequence is Δ^k -constant (i.e., the *k*th sequence of differences is constant).

This tells us that the sequence of numbers of squares on a chessboard, 1, 5, 14, 30, 55, . . ., which we saw to be Δ^3 -constant, will have a cubic (degree 3 polynomial) for its closed formula.

Now once we know what format the closed formula for a sequence will take, it is much easier to actually find the closed formula. In the case that the closed formula is a degree k polynomial, we just need k + 1 data points to "fit" the polynomial to the data.

Example 2.3.2

Find a formula for the sequence $3, 7, 14, 24, \ldots$ Assume $a_1 = 3$.

Solution. First, check to see if the formula has constant differences at some level. The sequence of first differences is 4, 7, 10, . . . which is arithmetic, so the sequence of second differences is constant. The sequence is Δ^2 -constant, so the formula for a_n will be a degree 2 polynomial. That is, we know that for some constants a, b, and c,

$$a_n = an^2 + bn + c$$

Now to find *a*, *b*, and *c*. First, it would be nice to know what a_0 is, since plugging in n = 0 simplifies the above formula greatly. In this case, $a_0 = 2$ (work backwards from the sequence of constant differences). Thus

$$a_0 = 2 = a \cdot 0^2 + b \cdot 0 + c,$$

so c = 2. Now plug in n = 1 and n = 2. We get

$$a_1 = 3 = a + b + 2$$

 $a_2 = 7 = a4 + b2 + 2.$

At this point we have two (linear) equations and two unknowns, so we can solve the system for *a* and *b* (using substitution or elimination or even matrices). We find $a = \frac{3}{2}$ and $b = \frac{-1}{2}$, so $a_n = \frac{3}{2}n^2 - \frac{1}{2}n + 2$.

Example 2.3.3

Find a closed formula for the number of squares on an $n \times n$ chessboard.

Solution. We have seen that the sequence 1, 5, 14, 30, 55, ... is Δ^3 -constant, so we are looking for a degree 3 polynomial. That is,

$$a_n = an^3 + bn^2 + cn + d.$$

We can find *d* if we know what a_0 is. Working backwards from the third differences, we find $a_0 = 0$ (unsurprisingly, since there are no squares on a 0×0 chessboard). Thus d = 0. Now plug in n = 1, n = 2, and n = 3:

$$1 = a + b + c$$

$$5 = 8a + 4b + 2c$$

$$14 = 27a + 9b + 3c$$

If we solve this system of equations we get $a = \frac{1}{3}$, $b = \frac{1}{2}$ and $c = \frac{1}{6}$. Therefore the number of squares on an $n \times n$ chessboard is $a_n = \frac{1}{3}n^3 + \frac{1}{2}n^2 + \frac{1}{6}n$.

Note: Since the squares-on-a-chessboard problem is really asking for the sum of squares, we now have a nice formula for $\sum_{k=1}^{n} k^{2}$.

Not all sequences will have polynomials as their closed formula. We can use the theory of finite differences to identify these.

Example 2.3.4

Determine whether the following sequences can be described by a polynomial, and if so, of what degree.

- 1. 1, 2, 4, 8, 16, ...
- 2. 0, 7, 50, 183, 484, 1055, ...

3. 1, 1, 2, 3, 5, 8, 13, ...

Solution.

- 1. As we saw in Example 2.3.1, this sequence is not Δ^k -constant for any k. Therefore the closed formula for the sequence is not a polynomial. In fact, we know the closed formula is $a_n = 2^n$, which grows faster than any polynomial (so is not a polynomial).
- 2. The sequence of first differences is 7, 43, 133, 301, 571, . . . The second differences are: 36, 90, 168, 270, . . . Third difference: 54, 78, 102, . . . Fourth differences: 24, 24, . . . As far as we can tell, this sequence of differences is constant so the sequence is Δ^4 -constant and as such the closed formula is a degree 4 polynomial.
- 3. This is the Fibonacci sequence. The sequence of first differences is 0,1,1,2,3,5,8,..., the second differences are 1,0,1,1,2,3,5.... We notice that after the first few terms, we get the original sequence back. So there will never be constant differences, so the closed formula for the Fibonacci sequence is not a polynomial.

Exercises

1. Use polynomial fitting to find the formula for the *n*th term of the sequences $(a_n)_{n\geq 0}$ below.

- (a) 2, 5, 11, 21, 36,...
- (b) 0, 2, 6, 12, 20,...
- (c) 1, 2, 4, 8, 15, 26 ...
- (d) 3, 6, 12, 22, 37, After finding a formula here, compare to part (a).

2. Make up a sequences that have

- (a) 3, 3, 3, 3, ... as its second differences.
- (b) 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, ... as its third differences.
- (c) 1, 2, 4, 8, 16, . . . as its 100th differences.

3. Consider the sequence 1, 3, 7, 13, 21, . . . Explain how you know the closed formula for the sequence will be quadratic. Then "guess" the correct formula by comparing this sequence to the squares 1, 4, 9, 16, . . . (do not use polynomial fitting).

4. Use a similar technique as in the previous exercise to find a closed formula for the sequence 2, 11, 34, 77, 146, 247,

5. In their down time, ghost pirates enjoy stacking cannonballs in triangular based pyramids (aka, tetrahedrons), like those pictured here:


Note, in the picture on the right, there are some cannonballs (actually just one) you cannot see. The next picture would have 4 cannonballs you cannot see. The stacks are *not* hollow.

The pirates wonder how many cannonballs would be required to build a pyramid 15 layers high (thus breaking the world cannonball stacking record). Can you help?

- (a) Let P(n) denote the number of cannonballs needed to create a pyramid *n* layers high. So P(1) = 1, P(2) = 4, and so on. Calculate P(3), P(4) and P(5).
- (b) Use polynomial fitting to find a closed formula for P(n). Show your work.
- (c) Answer the pirate's question: how many cannonballs do they need to make a pyramid 15 layers high?
- **6.** Suppose $a_n = n^2 + 3n + 4$. Find a closed formula for the sequence of differences by computing $a_n a_{n-1}$.

7. Repeat the above assuming this time $a_n = an^2 + bn + c$. That is, prove that every quadratic sequence has arithmetic differences.

8. Can you use polynomial fitting to find the formula for the *n*th term of the sequence 4, 7, 11, 18, 29, 47, ...? Explain why or why not.

- **9.** Will the *n*th sequence of differences of $2, 6, 18, 54, 162, \ldots$ ever be constant? Explain.
- **10.** Consider the sequences 2, 5, 12, 29, 70, 169, 408, . . . (with $a_0 = 2$).
- (a) Describe the rate of growth of this sequence.
- (b) Find a recursive definition for the sequence.
- (c) Find a closed formula for the sequence.
- (d) If you look at the sequence of differences between terms, and then the sequence of second differences, the sequence of third differences, and so on, will you ever get a constant sequence? Explain how you know.

2.4 Solving Recurrence Relations

Investigate!

Consider the recurrence relation

$$a_n = 5a_{n-1} - 6a_{n-2}$$
.

- 1. What sequence do you get if the initial conditions are $a_0 = 1$, $a_1 = 2$? Give a closed formula for this sequence.
- 2. What sequence do you get if the initial conditions are $a_0 = 1$, $a_1 = 3$? Give a closed formula.
- 3. What if $a_0 = 2$ and $a_1 = 5$? Find a closed formula.

Attempt the above activity before proceeding

We have seen that it is often easier to find recursive definitions than closed formulas. Lucky for us, there are a few techniques for converting recursive definitions to closed formulas. Doing so is called **solving a recurrence relation**. Recall that the recurrence relation is a recursive definition without the initial conditions. For example, the recurrence relation for the Fibonacci sequence is $F_n = F_{n-1} + F_{n-2}$. (This, together with the initial conditions $F_0 = 0$ and $F_1 = 1$ give the entire recursive *definition* for the sequence.)

Example 2.4.1

Find a recurrence relation and initial conditions for 1, 5, 17, 53, 161, 485....

Solution. Finding the recurrence relation would be easier if we had some context for the problem (like the Tower of Hanoi, for example). Alas, we have only the sequence. Remember, the recurrence relation tells you how to get from previous terms to future terms. What is going on here? We could

look at the differences between terms: 4, 12, 36, 108, . . . Notice that these are growing by a factor of 3. Is the original sequence as well? $1 \cdot 3 = 3$, $5 \cdot 3 = 15$, $17 \cdot 3 = 51$ and so on. It appears that we always end up with 2 less than the next term. Aha!

So $a_n = 3a_{n-1} + 2$ is our recurrence relation and the initial condition is $a_0 = 1$.

We are going to try to *solve* these recurrence relations. By this we mean something very similar to solving differential equations: we want to find a function of n (a closed formula) which satisfies the recurrence relation, as well as the initial condition.² Just like for differential equations, finding a solution might be tricky, but checking that the solution is correct is easy.

Example 2.4.2

Check that $a_n = 2^n + 1$ is a solution to the recurrence relation $a_n = 2a_{n-1} - 1$ with $a_1 = 3$.

Solution. First, it is easy to check the initial condition: a_1 should be $2^1 + 1$ according to our closed formula. Indeed, $2^1 + 1 = 3$, which is what we want. To check that our proposed solution satisfies the recurrence relation, try plugging it in.

$$2a_{n-1} - 1 = 2(2^{n-1} + 1) - 1$$

= 2ⁿ + 2 - 1
= 2ⁿ + 1
= a_n.

That's what our recurrence relation says! We have a solution.

Sometimes we can be clever and solve a recurrence relation by inspection. We generate the sequence using the recurrence relation and keep track of what we are doing so that we can see how to jump to finding just the a_n term. Here are two examples of how you might do that.

Telescoping refers to the phenomenon when many terms in a large sum cancel out - so the sum "telescopes." For example:

$$(2-1) + (3-2) + (4-3) + \dots + (100-99) + (101-100) = -1 + 101$$

because every third term looks like: 2 + -2 = 0, and then 3 + -3 = 0 and so on.

We can use this behavior to solve recurrence relations. Here is an example.

Example 2.4.3

Solve the recurrence relation $a_n = a_{n-1} + n$ with initial term $a_0 = 4$.

Solution. To get a feel for the recurrence relation, write out the first few terms of the sequence: 4, 5, 7, 10, 14, 19, Look at the difference between terms. $a_1 - a_0 = 1$ and $a_2 - a_1 = 2$ and so on. The key thing here is that the difference between terms is n. We can write this explicitly: $a_n - a_{n-1} = n$. Of course, we could have arrived at this conclusion directly from the recurrence relation by subtracting a_{n-1} from both sides.

Now use this equation over and over again, changing *n* each time:

$$a_1 - a_0 = 1$$

 $a_2 - a_1 = 2$
 $a_3 - a_2 = 3$
 \vdots \vdots
 $a_n - a_{n-1} = n$.

²Recurrence relations are sometimes called difference equations since they can describe the difference between terms and this highlights the relation to differential equations further.

Add all these equations together. On the right-hand side, we get the sum $1 + 2 + 3 + \cdots + n$. We already know this can be simplified to $\frac{n(n+1)}{2}$. What happens on the left-hand side? We get

 $(a_1 - a_0) + (a_2 - a_1) + (a_3 - a_2) + \cdots + (a_{n-1} - a_{n-2}) + (a_n - a_{n-1}).$

This sum telescopes. We are left with only the $-a_0$ from the first equation and the a_n from the last equation. Putting this all together we have $-a_0 + a_n = \frac{n(n+1)}{2}$ or $a_n = \frac{n(n+1)}{2} + a_0$. But we know that $a_0 = 4$. So the solution to the recurrence relation, subject to the initial condition is

$$a_n = \frac{n(n+1)}{2} + 4$$

(Now that we know that, we should notice that the sequence is the result of adding 4 to each of the triangular numbers.)

The above example shows a way to solve recurrence relations of the form $a_n = a_{n-1} + f(n)$ where $\sum_{k=1}^n f(k)$ has a known closed formula. If you rewrite the recurrence relation as $a_n - a_{n-1} = f(n)$, and then add up all the different equations with *n* ranging between 1 and *n*, the left-hand side will always give you $a_n - a_0$. The right-hand side will be $\sum_{k=1}^n f(k)$, which is why we need to know the closed formula for that sum.

However, telescoping will not help us with a recursion such as $a_n = 3a_{n-1} + 2$ since the left-hand side will not telescope. You will have $-3a_{n-1}$'s but only one a_{n-1} . However, we can still be clever if we use **iteration**.

We have already seen an example of iteration when we found the closed formula for arithmetic and geometric sequences. The idea is, we *iterate* the process of finding the next term, starting with the known initial condition, up until we have a_n . Then we simplify. In the arithmetic sequence example, we simplified by multiplying *d* by the number of times we add it to *a* when we get to a_n , to get from $a_n = a + d + d + d + \cdots + d$ to $a_n = a + dn$.

To see how this works, let's go through the same example we used for telescoping, but this time use iteration.

Example 2.4.4

Use iteration to solve the recurrence relation $a_n = a_{n-1} + n$ with $a_0 = 4$.

Solution. Again, start by writing down the recurrence relation when n = 1. This time, don't subtract the a_{n-1} terms to the other side:

$$a_1 = a_0 + 1.$$

Now $a_2 = a_1 + 2$, but we know what a_1 is. By substitution, we get

$$a_2 = (a_0 + 1) + 2.$$

Now go to $a_3 = a_2 + 3$, using our known value of a_2 :

$$a_3 = ((a_0 + 1) + 2) + 3.$$

We notice a pattern. Each time, we take the previous term and add the current index. So

$$a_n = ((((a_0 + 1) + 2) + 3) + \dots + n - 1) + n.$$

Regrouping terms, we notice that a_n is just a_0 plus the sum of the integers from 1 to n. So, since $a_0 = 4$,

$$a_n = 4 + \frac{n(n+1)}{2}$$

Of course in this case we still needed to know formula for the sum of 1, ..., n. Let's try iteration with a sequence for which telescoping doesn't work.

Example 2.4.5

Solve the recurrence relation $a_n = 3a_{n-1} + 2$ subject to $a_0 = 1$.

Solution. Again, we iterate the recurrence relation, building up to the index *n*.

$$a_{1} = 3a_{0} + 2$$

$$a_{2} = 3(a_{1}) + 2 = 3(3a_{0} + 2) + 2$$

$$a_{3} = 3[a_{2}] + 2 = 3[3(3a_{0} + 2) + 2] + 2$$

$$\vdots \qquad \vdots \qquad \vdots$$

$$a_{n} = 3(a_{n-1}) + 2 = 3(3(3(3 \cdots (3a_{0} + 2) + 2) + 2) \cdots + 2) + 2$$

It is difficult to see what is happening here because we have to distribute all those 3's. Let's try again, this time simplifying a bit as we go.

$$a_{1} = 3a_{0} + 2$$

$$a_{2} = 3(a_{1}) + 2 = 3(3a_{0} + 2) + 2 = 3^{2}a_{0} + 2 \cdot 3 + 2$$

$$a_{3} = 3[a_{2}] + 2 = 3[3^{2}a_{0} + 2 \cdot 3 + 2] + 2 = 3^{3}a_{0} + 2 \cdot 3^{2} + 2 \cdot 3 + 2$$

$$\vdots \qquad \vdots \qquad \vdots$$

$$a_{n} = 3(a_{n-1}) + 2 = 3(3^{n-1}a_{0} + 2 \cdot 3^{n-2} + \dots + 2) + 2$$

$$= 3^{n}a_{0} + 2 \cdot 3^{n-1} + 2 \cdot 3^{n-2} + \dots + 2 \cdot 3 + 2.$$

Now we simplify. $a_0 = 1$, so we have $3^n + \langle \text{stuff} \rangle$. Note that all the other terms have a 2 in them. In fact, we have a geometric sum with first term 2 and common ratio 3. We have seen how to simplify $2 + 2 \cdot 3 + 2 \cdot 3^2 + \cdots + 2 \cdot 3^{n-1}$. We get $\frac{2-2\cdot 3^n}{-2}$ which simplifies to $3^n - 1$. Putting this together with the first 3^n term gives our closed formula:

$$a_n = 2 \cdot 3^n - 1$$

Iteration can be messy, but when the recurrence relation only refers to one previous term (and maybe some function of *n*) it can work well. However, trying to iterate a recurrence relation such as $a_n = 2a_{n-1}+3a_{n-2}$ will be way too complicated. We would need to keep track of two sets of previous terms, each of which were expressed by two previous terms, and so on. The length of the formula would grow exponentially (double each time, in fact). Luckily there happens to be a method for solving recurrence relations which works very well on relations like this.

THE CHARACTERISTIC ROOT TECHNIQUE

Suppose we want to solve a recurrence relation expressed as a combination of the two previous terms, such as $a_n = a_{n-1} + 6a_{n-2}$. In other words, we want to find a function of *n* which satisfies $a_n - a_{n-1} - 6a_{n-2} = 0$. Now iteration is too complicated, but think just for a second what would happen if we *did* iterate. In each step, we would, among other things, multiply a previous iteration by 6. So our closed formula would include 6 multiplied some number of times. Thus it is reasonable to guess the solution will contain parts that look geometric. Perhaps the solution will take the form r^n for some constant *r*.

The nice thing is, we know how to check whether a formula is actually a solution to a recurrence relation: plug it in. What happens if we plug in r^n into the recursion above? We get

$$r^n - r^{n-1} - 6r^{n-2} = 0.$$

Now solve for *r*:

$$r^{n-2}(r^2 - r - 6) = 0,$$

so by factoring, r = -2 or r = 3 (or r = 0, although this does not help us). This tells us that $a_n = (-2)^n$ is a solution to the recurrence relation, as is $a_n = 3^n$. Which one is correct? They both are, unless we specify initial conditions. Notice we could also have $a_n = (-2)^n + 3^n$. Or $a_n = 7(-2)^n + 4 \cdot 3^n$. In fact, for any a and

b, $a_n = a(-2)^n + b3^n$ is a solution (try plugging this into the recurrence relation). To find the values of *a* and *b*, use the initial conditions.

This points us in the direction of a more general technique for solving recurrence relations. Notice we will always be able to factor out the r^{n-2} as we did above. So we really only care about the other part. We call this other part the **characteristic equation** for the recurrence relation. We are interested in finding the roots of the characteristic equation, which are called (surprise) the **characteristic roots**.

Characteristic Roots

Given a recurrence relation $a_n + \alpha a_{n-1} + \beta a_{n-2} = 0$, the **characteristic polynomial** is

 $x^2 + \alpha x + \beta$

giving the **characteristic equation**:

 $x^2 + \alpha x + \beta = 0.$

If r_1 and r_2 are two distinct roots of the characteristic polynomial (i.e, solutions to the characteristic equation), then the solution to the recurrence relation is

$$a_n = ar_1^n + br_2^n,$$

where *a* and *b* are constants determined by the initial conditions.

Example 2.4.6

Solve the recurrence relation $a_n = 7a_{n-1} - 10a_{n-2}$ with $a_0 = 2$ and $a_1 = 3$.

Solution. Rewrite the recurrence relation $a_n - 7a_{n-1} + 10a_{n-2} = 0$. Now form the characteristic equation: $x^2 - 7x + 10 = 0$

and solve for *x*:

$$(x-2)(x-5) = 0$$

so x = 2 and x = 5 are the characteristic roots. We therefore know that the solution to the recurrence relation will have the form

$$a_n = a2^n + b5^n$$

To find *a* and *b*, plug in n = 0 and n = 1 to get a system of two equations with two unknowns:

$$2 = a2^{0} + b5^{0} = a + b$$

$$3 = a2^{1} + b5^{1} = 2a + 5b$$

Solving this system gives $a = \frac{7}{3}$ and $b = -\frac{1}{3}$ so the solution to the recurrence relation is

$$a_n = \frac{7}{3}2^n - \frac{1}{3}3^n.$$

Perhaps the most famous recurrence relation is $F_n = F_{n-1}+F_{n-2}$, which together with the initial conditions $F_0 = 0$ and $F_1 = 1$ defines the Fibonacci sequence. But notice that this is precisely the type of recurrence relation on which we can use the characteristic root technique. When you do, the only thing that changes is that the characteristic equation does not factor, so you need to use the quadratic formula to find the characteristic roots. In fact, doing so gives the third most famous irrational number, φ , the **golden ratio**.

Before leaving the characteristic root technique, we should think about what might happen when you solve the characteristic equation. We have an example above in which the characteristic polynomial has two distinct roots. These roots can be integers, or perhaps irrational numbers (requiring the quadratic formula to find them). In these cases, we know what the solution to the recurrence relation looks like.

However, it is possible for the characteristic polynomial to only have one root. This can happen if the characteristic polynomial factors as $(x - r)^2$. It is still the case that r^n would be a solution to the recurrence

relation, but we won't be able to find solutions for all initial conditions using the general form $a_n = ar_1^n + br_2^n$, since we can't distinguish between r_1^n and r_2^n . We are in luck though:

Characteristic Root Technique for Repeated Roots

Suppose the recurrence relation $a_n = \alpha a_{n-1} + \beta a_{n-2}$ has a characteristic polynomial with only one root r. Then the solution to the recurrence relation is

 $a_n = ar^n + bnr^n$

where *a* and *b* are constants determined by the initial conditions.

Notice the extra n in bnr^n . This allows us to solve for the constants a and b from the initial conditions.

Example 2.4.7

Solve the recurrence relation $a_n = 6a_{n-1} - 9a_{n-2}$ with initial conditions $a_0 = 1$ and $a_1 = 4$.

Solution. The characteristic polynomial is $x^2 - 6x + 9$. We solve the characteristic equation

$$x^2 - 6x + 9 = 0$$

by factoring:

$$(x-3)^2 = 0$$

so x = 3 is the only characteristic root. Therefore we know that the solution to the recurrence relation has the form

$$a_n = a3^n + bn3^n$$

for some constants *a* and *b*. Now use the initial conditions:

$$a_0 = 1 = a3^0 + b \cdot 0 \cdot 3^0 = a$$

 $a_1 = 4 = a \cdot 3 + b \cdot 1 \cdot 3 = 3a + 3b.$

Since a = 1, we find that $b = \frac{1}{3}$. Therefore the solution to the recurrence relation is

$$a_n = 3^n + \frac{1}{3}n3^n.$$

Although we will not consider examples more complicated than these, this characteristic root technique can be applied to much more complicated recurrence relations. For example, $a_n = 2a_{n-1} + a_{n-2} - 3a_{n-3}$ has characteristic polynomial $x^3 - 2x^2 - x + 3$. Assuming you see how to factor such a degree 3 (or more) polynomial you can easily find the characteristic roots and as such solve the recurrence relation (the solution would look like $a_n = ar_1^n + br_2^n + cr_3^n$ if there were 3 distinct roots). It is also possible to solve recurrence relations of the form $a_n = \alpha a_{n-1} + \beta a_{n-2} + C$ for some constant *C*. It is also possible (and acceptable) for the characteristic roots to be complex numbers.

Exercises

1. Find the next two terms in $(a_n)_{n\geq 0}$ beginning 3, 5, 11, 21, 43, 85..... Then give a recursive definition for the sequence. Finally, use the characteristic root technique to find a closed formula for the sequence.

2. Solve the recurrence relation $a_n = a_{n-1} + 2^n$ with $a_0 = 5$.

3. Show that 4^n is a solution to the recurrence relation $a_n = 3a_{n-1} + 4a_{n-2}$.

- **4.** Find the solution to the recurrence relation $a_n = 3a_{n-1} + 4a_{n-2}$ with initial terms $a_0 = 2$ and $a_1 = 3$.
- 5. Find the solution to the recurrence relation $a_n = 3a_{n-1} + 4a_{n-2}$ with initial terms $a_0 = 5$ and $a_1 = 8$.

- **6.** Solve the recurrence relation $a_n = 2a_{n-1} a_{n-2}$.
- (a) What is the solution if the initial terms are $a_0 = 1$ and $a_1 = 2$?
- (b) What do the initial terms need to be in order for $a_9 = 30$?
- (c) For which *x* are there initial terms which make $a_9 = x$?

7. Solve the recurrence relation $a_n = 3a_{n-1} + 10a_{n-2}$ with initial terms $a_0 = 4$ and $a_1 = 1$.

8. Suppose that r^n and q^n are both solutions to a recurrence relation of the form $a_n = \alpha a_{n-1} + \beta a_{n-2}$. Prove that $c \cdot r^n + d \cdot q^n$ is also a solution to the recurrence relation, for any constants c, d.

9. Think back to the magical candy machine at your neighborhood grocery store. Suppose that the first time a quarter is put into the machine 1 Skittle comes out. The second time, 4 Skittles, the third time 16 Skittles, the fourth time 64 Skittles, etc.

- (a) Find both a recursive and closed formula for how many Skittles the *n*th customer gets.
- (b) Check your solution for the closed formula by solving the recurrence relation using the Characteristic Root technique.

10. You have access to 1×1 tiles which come in 2 different colors and 1×2 tiles which come in 3 different colors. We want to figure out how many different $1 \times n$ path designs we can make out of these tiles.

- (a) Find a recursive definition for the sequence a_n of paths of length n.
- (b) Solve the recurrence relation using the Characteristic Root technique.

11. Let a_n be the number of $1 \times n$ tile designs you can make using 1×1 squares available in 4 colors and 1×2 dominoes available in 5 colors.

- (a) First, find a recurrence relation to describe the problem. Explain why the recurrence relation is correct (in the context of the problem).
- (b) Write out the first 6 terms of the sequence a_1, a_2, \ldots
- (c) Solve the recurrence relation. That is, find a closed formula for a_n .

12. Consider the recurrence relation $a_n = 4a_{n-1} - 4a_{n-2}$.

- (a) Find the general solution to the recurrence relation (beware the repeated root).
- (b) Find the solution when $a_0 = 1$ and $a_1 = 2$.
- (c) Find the solution when $a_0 = 1$ and $a_1 = 8$.

2.5 INDUCTION

Mathematical induction is a proof technique, not unlike direct proof or proof by contradiction or combinatorial proof.³ In other words, induction is a style of argument we use to convince ourselves and others that a mathematical statement is always true. Many mathematical statements can be proved by simply explaining what they mean. Others are very difficult to prove—in fact, there are relatively simple mathematical statements which nobody yet knows how to prove. To facilitate the discovery of proofs, it is important to be familiar with some standard styles of arguments. Induction is one such style. Let's start with an example:

Stamps

Investigate!

³You might or might not be familiar with these yet. We will consider these in Chapter 3.

You need to mail a package, but don't yet know how much postage you will need. You have a large supply of 8-cent stamps and 5-cent stamps. Which amounts of postage can you make exactly using these stamps? Which amounts are impossible to make?



Attempt the above activity before proceeding

Perhaps in investigating the problem above you picked some amounts of postage, and then figured out whether you could make that amount using just 8-cent and 5-cent stamps. Perhaps you did this in order: can you make 1 cent of postage? Can you make 2 cents? 3 cents? And so on. If this is what you did, you were actually answering a *sequence* of questions. We have methods for dealing with sequences. Let's see if that helps.

Actually, we will not make a sequence of questions, but rather a sequence of statements. Let P(n) be the statement "you can make *n* cents of postage using just 8-cent and 5-cent stamps." Since for each value of *n*, P(n) is a statement, it is either true or false. So if we form the sequence of statements

$$P(1), P(2), P(3), P(4), \ldots$$

the sequence will consist of T's (for true) and F's (for false). In our particular case the sequence starts

because P(1), P(2), P(3), P(4) are all false (you cannot make 1, 2, 3, or 4 cents of postage) but P(5) is true (use one 5-cent stamp), and so on.

Let's think a bit about how we could find the value of P(n) for some specific n (the "value" will be either T or F). How did we find the value of the nth term of a sequence of numbers? How did we find a_n ? There were two ways we could do this: either there was a closed formula for a_n , so we could plug in n into the formula and get our output value, or we had a recursive definition for the sequence, so we could use the previous terms of the sequence to compute the nth term. When dealing with sequences of statements, we could use either of these techniques as well. Maybe there is a way to use n itself to determine whether we can make n cents of postage. That would be something like a closed formula. Or instead we could use the previous terms in the sequence (of statements) to determine whether we can make n cents of postage. That is, if we know the value of P(n - 1), can we get from that to the value of P(n)? That would be something like a recursive definition for sequences was often easier than finding closed formulas. The same is true here.

Suppose I told you that P(43) was true (it is). Can you determine from this fact the value of P(44) (whether it true or false)? Yes you can. Even if we don't know how exactly we made 43 cents out of the 5-cent and 8-cent stamps, we do know that there was some way to do it. What if that way used at least three 5-cent stamps (making 15 cents)? We could replace those three 5-cent stamps with two 8-cent stamps (making 16 cents). The total postage has gone up by 1, so we have a way to make 44 cents, so P(44) is true. Of course, we assumed that we had at least three 5-cent stamps. What if we didn't? Then we must have at least three 8-cent stamps (making 24 cents). If we replace those three 8-cent stamps with five 5-cent stamps (making 25 cents) then again we have bumped up our total by 1 cent so we can make 44 cents, so P(44) is true.

Notice that we have not said how to make 44 cents, just that we can, on the basis that we can make 43 cents. How do we know we can make 43 cents? Perhaps because we know we can make 42 cents, which we know we can do because we know we can make 41 cents, and so on. It's a recursion! As with a recursive definition of a numerical sequence, we must specify our initial value. In this case, the initial value is "P(1) is false." That's not good, since our recurrence relation just says that P(k + 1) is true *if* P(k) is also true. We need to start the process with a true P(k). So instead, we might want to use "P(31) is true" as the initial condition.

Putting this all together we arrive at the following fact: it is possible to (exactly) make any amount of postage greater than 27 cents using just 5-cent and 8-cent stamps.⁴ In other words, P(k) is true for any $k \ge 28$. To prove this, we could do the following:

1. Demonstrate that P(28) is true.

⁴This is not claiming that there are no amounts less than 27 cents which can also be made.

2. Prove that if P(k) is true, then P(k + 1) is true (for any $k \ge 28$).

Suppose we have done this. Then we know that the 28th term of the sequence above is a *T* (using step 1, the initial condition or **base case**), and that every term after the 28th is *T* also (using step 2, the recursive part or **inductive case**). Here is what the proof would actually look like.

Proof. Let P(n) be the statement "it is possible to make exactly *n* cents of postage using 5-cent and 8-cent stamps." We will show P(n) is true for all $n \ge 28$.

First, we show that P(28) is true: $28 = 4 \cdot 5 + 1 \cdot 8$, so we can make 28 cents using four 5-cent stamps and one 8-cent stamp.

Now suppose P(k) is true for some arbitrary $k \ge 28$. Then it is possible to make k cents using 5-cent and 8-cent stamps. Note that since $k \ge 28$, it cannot be that we use less than three 5-cent stamps *and* less than three 8-cent stamps: using two of each would give only 26 cents. Now if we have made k cents using at least three 5-cent stamps, replace three 5-cent stamps by two 8-cent stamps. This replaces 15 cents of postage with 16 cents, moving from a total of k cents to k + 1 cents. Thus P(k + 1) is true. On the other hand, if we have made k cents using at least three 8-cent stamps, then we can replace three 8-cent stamps with five 5-cent stamps, moving from 24 cents to 25 cents, giving a total of k + 1 cents of postage. So in this case as well P(k + 1) is true.

Therefore, by the principle of mathematical induction, P(n) is true for all $n \ge 28$. QED

FORMALIZING PROOFS

What we did in the stamp example above works for many types of problems. Proof by induction is useful when trying to prove statements about all natural numbers, or all natural numbers greater than some fixed first case (like 28 in the example above), and in some other situations too. In particular, induction should be used when there is some way to go from one case to the next – when you can see how to always "do one more."

This is a big idea. Thinking about a problem *inductively* can give new insight into the problem. For example, to really understand the stamp problem, you should think about how any amount of postage (greater than 28 cents) can be made (this is non-inductive reasoning) and also how the ways in which postage can be made *changes* as the amount increases (inductive reasoning). When you are asked to provide a proof by induction, you are being asked to think about the problem *dynamically*; how does increasing *n* change the problem?

But there is another side to proofs by induction as well. In mathematics, it is not enough to understand a problem, you must also be able to communicate the problem to others. Like any discipline, mathematics has standard language and style, allowing mathematicians to share their ideas efficiently. Proofs by induction have a certain formal style, and being able to write in this style is important. It allows us to keep our ideas organized and might even help us with formulating a proof.

Here is the general structure of a proof by mathematical induction:

Induction Proof Structure

Start by saying what the statement is that you want to prove: "Let P(n) be the statement..." To prove that P(n) is true for all $n \ge 0$, you must prove two facts:

- 1. Base case: Prove that P(0) is true. You do this directly. This is often easy.
- 2. Inductive case: Prove that $P(k) \rightarrow P(k + 1)$ for all $k \ge 0$. That is, prove that for any $k \ge 0$ if P(k) is true, then P(k + 1) is true as well. This is the proof of an if ... then ... statement, so you can assume P(k) is true (P(k) is called the *inductive hypothesis*). You must then explain why P(k + 1) is also true, given that assumption.

Assuming you are successful on both parts above, you can conclude, "Therefore by the principle of mathematical induction, the statement P(n) is true for all $n \ge 0$."

Sometimes the statement P(n) will only be true for values of $n \ge 4$, for example, or some other value. In such cases, replace all the 0's above with 4's (or the other value).

The other advantage of formalizing inductive proofs is it allows us to verify that the logic behind this style of argument is valid. Why does induction work? Think of a row of dominoes set up standing on their edges. We want to argue that in a minute, all the dominoes will have fallen down. For this to happen, you will need to push the first domino. That is the base case. It will also have to be that the dominoes are close enough together that when any particular domino falls, it will cause the next domino to fall. That is the inductive case. If both of these conditions are met, you push the first domino over and each domino will cause the next to fall, then all the dominoes will fall.

Induction is powerful! Think how much easier it is to knock over dominoes when you don't have to push over each domino yourself. You just start the chain reaction, and the rely on the relative nearness of the dominoes to take care of the rest.

Think about our study of sequences. It is easier to find recursive definitions for sequences than closed formulas. Going from one case to the next is easier than going directly to a particular case. That is what is so great about induction. Instead of going directly to the (arbitrary) case for n, we just need to say how to get from one case to the next.

When you are asked to prove a statement by mathematical induction, you should first think about *why* the statement is true, using inductive reasoning. Explain why induction is the right thing to do, and roughly why the inductive case will work. Then, sit down and write out a careful, formal proof using the structure above.

Examples

Here are some examples of proof by mathematical induction.

Example 2.5.1

Prove for each natural number $n \ge 1$ that $1 + 2 + 3 + \dots + n = \frac{n(n+1)}{2}$.

Solution. First, let's think inductively about this equation. In fact, we know this is true for other reasons (reverse and add comes to mind). But why might induction be applicable? The left-hand side adds up the numbers from 1 to n. If we know how to do that, adding just one more term (n + 1) would not be that hard. For example, if n = 100, suppose we know that the sum of the first 100 numbers is 5050 (so $1 + 2 + 3 + \cdots + 100 = 5050$, which is true). Now to find the sum of the first 101 numbers, it makes more sense to just add 101 to 5050, instead of computing the entire sum again. We would have $1 + 2 + 3 + \cdots + 100 + 101 = 5050 + 101 = 5151$. In fact, it would always be easy to add just one more term. This is why we should use induction.

Now the formal proof:

Proof. Let P(n) be the statement $1 + 2 + 3 + \cdots + n = \frac{n(n+2)}{2}$. We will show that P(n) is true for all natural numbers $n \ge 1$.

Base case: P(1) is the statement $1 = \frac{1(1+1)}{2}$ which is clearly true.

Inductive case: Let $k \ge 1$ be a natural number. Assume (for induction) that P(k) is true. That means $1 + 2 + 3 + \dots + k = \frac{k(k+1)}{2}$. We will prove that P(k+1) is true as well. That is, we must prove that $1 + 2 + 3 + \dots + k + (k+1) = \frac{(k+1)(k+2)}{2}$. To prove this equation, start by adding k + 1 to both sides of the inductive hypothesis:

$$1 + 2 + 3 + \dots + k + (k + 1) = \frac{k(k + 1)}{2} + (k + 1).$$

Now, simplifying the right side we get:

$$\frac{k(k+1)}{2} + k + 1 = \frac{k(k+1)}{2} + \frac{2(k+1)}{2}$$
$$= \frac{k(k+1) + 2(k+1)}{2}$$
$$= \frac{(k+2)(k+1)}{2}.$$

Thus P(k + 1) is true, so by the principle of mathematical induction P(n) is true for all natural numbers $n \ge 1$.

Note that in the part of the proof in which we proved P(k + 1) from P(k), we used the equation P(k). This was the inductive hypothesis. Seeing how to use the inductive hypotheses is usually straight forward when proving a fact about a sum like this. In other proofs, it can be less obvious where it fits in.

Example 2.5.2

Prove that for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $6^n - 1$ is a multiple of 5.

Solution. Again, start by understanding the dynamics of the problem. What does increasing n do? Let's try with a few examples. If n = 1, then yes, $6^1 - 1 = 5$ is a multiple of 5. What does incrementing n to 2 look like? We get $6^2 - 1 = 35$, which again is a multiple of 5. Next, n = 3: but instead of just finding $6^3 - 1$, what did the increase in n do? We will still subtract 1, but now we are multiplying by another 6 first. Viewed another way, we are multiplying a number which is one more than a multiple of 5 by 6 (because $6^2 - 1$ is a multiple of 5, so 6^2 is one more than a multiple of 5). What do numbers which are one more than a multiple of 5 look like? They must have last digit 1 or 6. What happens when you multiply such a number by 6? Depends on the number, but in any case, the last digit of the new number must be a 6. And then if you subtract 1, you get last digit 5, so a multiple of 5.

The point is, every time we multiply by just one more six, we still get a number with last digit 6, so subtracting 1 gives us a multiple of 5. Now the formal proof:

Proof. Let P(n) be the statement, " $6^n - 1$ is a multiple of 5." We will prove that P(n) is true for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Base case: P(0) is true: $6^0 - 1 = 0$ which is a multiple of 5.

Inductive case: Let *k* be an arbitrary natural number. Assume, for induction, that P(k) is true. That is, $6^k - 1$ is a multiple of 5. Then $6^k - 1 = 5j$ for some integer *j*. This means that $6^k = 5j + 1$. Multiply both sides by 6:

$$6^{k+1} = 6(5j+1) = 30j+6.$$

But we want to know about $6^{k+1} - 1$, so subtract 1 from both sides:

$$6^{k+1} - 1 = 30i + 5.$$

Of course 30j + 5 = 5(6j + 1), so is a multiple of 5.

Therefore $6^{k+1} - 1$ is a multiple of 5, or in other words, P(k+1) is true. Thus, by the principle of mathematical induction P(n) is true for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. QED

We had to be a little bit clever (i.e., use some algebra) to locate the $6^k - 1$ inside of $6^{k+1} - 1$ before we could apply the inductive hypothesis. This is what can make inductive proofs challenging.

In the two examples above, we started with n = 1 or n = 0. We can start later if we need to.

Example 2.5.3

Prove that $n^2 < 2^n$ for all integers $n \ge 5$.

Solution. First, the idea of the argument. What happens when we increase *n* by 1? On the lefthand side, we increase the base of the square and go to the next square number. On the right-hand side, we increase the power of 2. This means we double the number. So the question is, how does doubling a number relate to increasing to the next square? Think about what the difference of two consecutive squares looks like. We have $(n + 1)^2 - n^2$. This factors:

$$(n + 1)^2 - n^2 = (n + 1 - n)(n + 1 + n) = 2n + 1.$$

But doubling the right-hand side increases it by 2^n , since $2^{n+1} = 2^n + 2^n$. When *n* is large enough, $2^n > 2n + 1$.

What we are saying here is that each time *n* increases, the left-hand side grows by less than the right-hand side. So if the left-hand side starts smaller (as it does when n = 5), it will never catch up. Now the formal proof:

Proof. Let P(n) be the statement $n^2 < 2^n$. We will prove P(n) is true for all integers $n \ge 5$.

Base case: P(5) is the statement $5^2 < 2^5$. Since $5^2 = 25$ and $2^5 = 32$, we see that P(5) is indeed true.

Inductive case: Let $k \ge 5$ be an arbitrary integer. Assume, for induction, that P(k) is true. That is, assume $k^2 < 2^k$. We will prove that P(k + 1) is true, i.e., $(k + 1)^2 < 2^{k+1}$. To prove such an inequality, start with the left-hand side and work towards the right-hand side:

Following the equalities and inequalities through, we get $(k + 1)^2 < 2^{k+1}$, in other words, P(k + 1). Therefore by the principle of mathematical induction, P(n) is true for all $n \ge 5$. QED

The previous example might remind you of the *racetrack principle* from calculus, which says that if f(a) < g(a), and f'(x) < g'(x) for x > a, then f(x) < g(x) for x > a. Same idea: the larger function is increasing at a faster rate than the smaller function, so the larger function will stay larger. In discrete math, we don't have derivatives, so we look at differences. Thus induction is the way to go.

WARNING:

With great power, comes great responsibility. Induction isn't magic. It seems very powerful to be able to assume P(k) is true. After all, we are trying to prove P(n) is true and the only difference is in the variable: k vs. n. Are we assuming that what we want to prove is true? Not really. We assume P(k) is true only for the sake of proving that P(k + 1) is true.

Still you might start to believe that you can prove anything with induction. Consider this incorrect "proof" that every Canadian has the same eye color: Let P(n) be the statement that any n Canadians have the same eye color. P(1) is true, since everyone has the same eye color as themselves. Now assume P(k) is true. That is, assume that in any group of k Canadians, everyone has the same eye color. Now consider an arbitrary group of k + 1 Canadians. The first k of these must all have the same eye color, since P(k) is true. Also, the last k of these must have the same eye color, since P(k) is true. So in fact, everyone the group must have the same eye color. Thus P(k + 1) is true. So by the principle of mathematical induction, P(n) is true for all n.

Clearly something went wrong. The problem is that the proof that P(k) implies P(k + 1) assumes that $k \ge 2$. We have only shown P(1) is true. In fact, P(2) is false.

STRONG INDUCTION

Investigate!

Start with a square piece of paper. You want to cut this square into smaller squares, leaving no waste (every piece of paper you end up with must be a square). Obviously it is possible to cut the square into 4 squares. You can also cut it into 9 squares. It turns out you can cut the square into 7 squares (although not all the same size). What other numbers of squares could you end up with?

Attempt the above activity before proceeding

STOP

Sometimes, to prove that P(k + 1) is true, it would be helpful to know that P(k) and P(k - 1) and P(k - 2) are all true. Consider the following puzzle:

You have a rectangular chocolate bar, made up of *n* identical squares of chocolate. You can take such a bar and break it along any row or column. How many times will you have to break the bar to reduce it to *n* single chocolate squares?

At first, this question might seem impossible. Perhaps I meant to ask for the *smallest* number of breaks needed? Let's investigate.

Start with some small cases. If n = 2, you must have a 1×2 rectangle, which can be reduced to single pieces in one break. With n = 3, we must have a 1×3 bar, which requires two breaks: the first break creates a single square and a 1×2 bar, which we know takes one (more) break.

What about n = 4? Now we could have a 2 × 2 bar, or a 1 × 4 bar. In the first case, break the bar into two 2 × 2 bars, each which require one more break (that's a total of three breaks required). If we started with a 1 × 4 bar, we have choices for our first break. We could break the bar in half, creating two 1 × 2 bars, or we could break off a single square, leaving a 1 × 3 bar. But either way, we still need two more breaks, giving a total of three.

It is starting to look like no matter how we break the bar (and no matter how the *n* squares are arranged into a rectangle), we will always have the same number of breaks required. It also looks like that number is one less than *n*:

Conjecture 2.5.4. *Given a* n-square rectangular chocolate bar, it always takes n - 1 breaks to reduce the bar to single squares.

It makes sense to prove this by induction because after breaking the bar once, you are left with *smaller* chocolate bars. Reducing to smaller cases is what induction is all about. We can inductively assume we already know how to deal with these smaller bars. The problem is, if we are trying to prove the inductive case about a (k + 1)-square bar, we don't know that after the first break the remaining bar will have k squares. So we really need to assume that our conjecture is true for all cases less than k + 1.

Is it valid to make this stronger assumption? Remember, in induction we are attempting to prove that P(n) is true for all n. What if that were not the case? Then there would be some first n_0 for which $P(n_0)$ was false. Since n_0 is the *first* counterexample, we know that P(n) is true for all $n < n_0$. Now we proceed to prove that $P(n_0)$ is actually true, based on the assumption that P(n) is true for all smaller n.

This is quite an advantage: we now have a stronger inductive hypothesis. We can assume that P(1), P(2), P(3), ... P(k) is true, just to show that P(k + 1) is true. Previously, we just assumed P(k) for this purpose.

It is slightly easier if we change our variables for strong induction. Here is what the formal proof would look like:

Strong Induction Proof Structure

Again, start by saying what you want to prove: "Let P(n) be the statement..." Then establish two facts:

- 1. Base case: Prove that P(0) is true.
- 2. Inductive case: Assume P(k) is true for all k < n. Prove that P(n) is true.

Conclude, "therefore, by strong induction, P(n) is true for all n > 0."

Of course, it is acceptable to replace 0 with a larger base case if needed.⁵ Let's prove our conjecture about the chocolate bar puzzle:

Proof. Let P(n) be the statement, "it takes n - 1 breaks to reduce a n-square chocolate bar to single squares." Base case: Consider P(2). The squares must be arranged into a 1×2 rectangle, and we require 2 - 1 = 1 breaks to reduce this to single squares.

Inductive case: Fix an arbitrary $n \ge 2$ and assume P(k) is true for all k < n. Consider a *n*-square rectangular chocolate bar. Break the bar once along any row or column. This results in two chocolate bars,

⁵Technically, strong induction does not require you to prove a separate base case. This is because when proving the inductive case, you must show that P(0) is true, assuming P(k) is true for all k < 0. But this is not any help so you end up proving P(0) anyway. To be on the safe side, we will always include the base case separately.

say of sizes *a* and *b*. That is, we have an *a*-square rectangular chocolate bar, a *b*-square rectangular chocolate bar, and a + b = n.

We also know that a < n and b < n, so by our inductive hypothesis, P(a) and P(b) are true. To reduce the *a*-square bar to single squares takes a - 1 breaks; to reduce the *b*-square bar to single squares takes b - 1breaks. Doing this results in our original bar being reduced to single squares. All together it took the initial break, plus the a - 1 and b - 1 breaks, for a total of 1 + a - 1 + b - 1 = a + b - 1 = n - 1 breaks. Thus P(n) is true.

Therefore, by strong induction, P(n) is true for all $n \ge 2$.

Here is a more mathematically relevant example:

Example 2.5.5

Prove that any natural number greater than 1 is either prime or can be written as the product of primes.

Solution. First, the idea: if we take some number n, maybe it is prime. If so, we are done. If not, then it is composite, so it is the product of two smaller numbers. Each of these factors is smaller than n (but at least 2), so we can repeat the argument with these numbers. We have reduced to a smaller case.

Now the formal proof:

Proof. Let P(n) be the statement, "*n* is either prime or can be written as the product of primes." We will prove P(n) is true for all $n \ge 2$.

Base case: P(2) is true because 2 is indeed prime.

Inductive case: assume P(k) is true for all k < n. We want to show that P(n) is true. That is, we want to show that n is either prime or is the product of primes. If n is prime, we are done. If not, then n has more than 2 divisors, so we can write $n = m_1 \cdot m_2$, with m_1 and m_2 less than n (and greater than 1). By the inductive hypothesis, m_1 and m_2 are each either prime or can be written as the product of primes. In either case, we have that n is written as the product of primes.

Thus by the strong induction, P(n) is true for all $n \ge 2$.

QED

Whether you use regular induction or strong induction depends on the statement you want to prove. If you wanted to be safe, you could always use strong induction. It really is *stronger*, so can accomplish everything "weak" induction can. That said, using regular induction is often easier since there is only one place you can use the induction hypothesis. There is also something to be said for *elegance* in proofs. If you can prove a statement using simpler tools, it is nice to do so.

As a final contrast between the two forms of induction, consider once more the stamp problem. Regular induction worked by showing how to increase postage by one cent (either replacing three 5-cent stamps with two 8-cent stamps, or three 8-cent stamps with five 5-cent stamps). We could give a slightly different proof using strong induction. First, we could show *five* base cases: it is possible to make 28, 29, 30, 31, and 32 cents (we would actually say how each of these is made). Now assume that it is possible to make *k* cents of postage for all k < n as long as $k \ge 28$. As long as n > 32, this means in particular we can make k = n - 5 cents. Now add a 5-cent stamp to get make *n* cents.

Exercises

1. Use induction to prove for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ that $\sum_{k=0}^{n} 2^k = 2^{n+1} - 1$.

- **2.** Prove that $7^n 1$ is a multiple of 6 for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.
- **3.** Prove that $1 + 3 + 5 + \dots + (2n 1) = n^2$ for all $n \ge 1$.
- **4.** Prove that $F_0 + F_2 + F_4 + \cdots + F_{2n} = F_{2n+1} 1$ where F_n is the *n*th Fibonacci number.
- **5.** Prove that $2^n < n!$ for all $n \ge 4$. (Recall, $n! = 1 \cdot 2 \cdot 3 \cdots n$.)

QED

6. Prove, by mathematical induction, that $F_0 + F_1 + F_2 + \cdots + F_n = F_{n+2} - 1$, where F_n is the *n*th Fibonacci number ($F_0 = 0, F_1 = 1$ and $F_n = F_{n-1} + F_{n-2}$).

7. Zombie Euler and Zombie Cauchy, two famous zombie mathematicians, have just signed up for Twitter accounts. After one day, Zombie Cauchy has more followers than Zombie Euler. Each day after that, the number of new followers of Zombie Cauchy is exactly the same as the number of new followers of Zombie Euler (and neither lose any followers). Explain how a proof by mathematical induction can show that on every day after the first day, Zombie Cauchy will have more followers than Zombie Euler. That is, explain what the base case and inductive case are, and why they together prove that Zombie Cauchy will have more followers on the 4th day.

8. Find the largest number of points which a football team cannot get exactly using just 3-point field goals and 7-point touchdowns (ignore the possibilities of safeties, missed extra points, and two point conversions). Prove your answer is correct by mathematical induction.

9. Prove that the sum of *n* squares can be found as follows

$$1^2 + 2^2 + 3^2 + \dots + n^2 = \frac{n(n+1)(2n+1)}{6}$$

10. What is wrong with the following "proof" of the "fact" that n + 3 = n + 7 for all values of n (besides of course that the thing it is claiming to prove is false)?

Proof. Let P(n) be the statement that n + 3 = n + 7. We will prove that P(n) is true for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Assume, for induction that P(k) is true. That is, k + 3 = k + 7. We must show that P(k+1) is true. Now since k + 3 = k + 7, add 1 to both sides. This gives k + 3 + 1 = k + 7 + 1. Regrouping (k + 1) + 3 = (k + 1) + 7. But this is simply P(k + 1). Thus by the principle of mathematical induction P(n) is true for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. QED

11. The proof in the previous problem does not work. But if we modify the "fact," we can get a working proof. Prove that n+3 < n+7 for all values of $n \in \mathbb{N}$. You can do this proof with algebra (without induction), but the goal of this exercise is to write out a valid induction proof.

12. Find the flaw in the following "proof" of the "fact" that n < 100 for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Proof. Let P(n) be the statement n < 100. We will prove P(n) is true for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. First we establish the base case: when n = 0, P(n) is true, because 0 < 100. Now for the inductive step, assume P(k) is true. That is, k < 100. Now if k < 100, then k is some number, like 80. Of course 80 + 1 = 81 which is still less than 100. So k + 1 < 100 as well. But this is what P(k + 1) claims, so we have shown that $P(k) \rightarrow P(k + 1)$. Thus by the principle of mathematical induction, P(n) is true for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

13. While the above proof does not work (it better not since the statement it is trying to prove is false!) we can prove something similar. Prove that there is a strictly increasing sequence a_1, a_2, a_3, \ldots of numbers (not necessarily integers) such that $a_n < 100$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. (By **strictly increasing** we mean $a_n < a_{n+1}$ for all n. So each term must be larger than the last.)

14. What is wrong with the following "proof" of the "fact" that for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, the number $n^2 + n$ is odd?

Proof. Let P(n) be the statement " $n^2 + n$ is odd." We will prove that P(n) is true for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Suppose for induction that P(k) is true, that is, that $k^2 + k$ is odd. Now consider the statement P(k + 1). Now $(k + 1)^2 + (k + 1) = k^2 + 2k + 1 + k + 1 = k^2 + k + 2k + 2$. By the inductive hypothesis, $k^2 + k$ is odd, and of course 2k + 2 is even. An odd plus an even is always odd, so therefore $(k + 1)^2 + (k + 1)$ is odd. Therefore by the principle of mathematical induction, P(n) is true for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

15. Now give a valid proof (by induction, even though you might be able to do so without using induction) of the statement, "for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, the number $n^2 + n$ is even."

16. Prove that there is a sequence of positive real numbers $a_0, a_1, a_2, ...$ such that the partial sum $a_0 + a_1 + a_2 + \cdots + a_n$ is strictly less than 2 for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Hint: think about how you could define what a_{k+1} is to make the induction argument work.

17. Prove that every positive integer is either a power of 2, or can be written as the sum of distinct powers of 2.

19. Use induction to prove that if *n* people all shake hands with each other, that the total number of handshakes is $\frac{n(n-1)}{2}$.

20. Suppose that a particular real number *x* has the property that $x + \frac{1}{x}$ is an integer. Prove that $x^n + \frac{1}{x^n}$ is an integer for all natural numbers *n*.

21. Use induction to prove that $\sum_{k=0}^{n} {n \choose k} = 2^n$. That is, the sum of the *n*th row of Pascal's Triangle is 2^n .

22. Use induction to prove $\binom{4}{0} + \binom{5}{1} + \binom{6}{2} + \cdots + \binom{4+n}{n} = \binom{5+n}{n}$. (This is an example of the hockey stick theorem.)

23. Use the product rule for logarithms $(\log(ab) = \log(a) + \log(b))$ to prove, by induction on *n*, that $\log(a^n) = n \log(a)$, for all natural numbers $n \ge 2$.

24. Let f_1, f_2, \ldots, f_n be differentiable functions. Prove, using induction, that

$$(f_1 + f_2 + \dots + f_n)' = f_1' + f_2' + \dots + f_n'$$

You may assume (f + g)' = f' + g' for any differentiable functions *f* and *g*.

Hint. You are allowed to assume the base case. For the inductive case, group all but the last function together as one sum of functions, then apply the usual sum of derivatives rule, and then the inductive hypothesis.

25. Suppose f_1, f_2, \ldots, f_n are differentiable functions. Use mathematical induction to prove the generalized product rule:

$$(f_1 f_2 f_3 \cdots f_n)' = f_1' f_2 f_3 \cdots f_n + f_1 f_2' f_3 \cdots f_n + f_1 f_2 f_3' \cdots f_n + \dots + f_1 f_2 f_3 \cdots f_n'$$

You may assume the product rule for two functions is true.

Hint. For the inductive step, we know by the product rule for two functions that

 $(f_1 f_2 f_3 \cdots f_k f_{k+1})' = (f_1 f_2 f_3 \cdots f_k)' f_{k+1} + (f_1 f_2 f_3 \cdots f_k) f'_{k+1}$

Then use the inductive hypothesis on the first summand, and distribute.

2.6 CHAPTER SUMMARY

Investigate!

Each day your supply of magic chocolate covered espresso beans doubles (each one splits in half), but then you eat 5 of them. You have 10 at the start of day 0.

- 1. Write out the first few terms of the sequence. Then give a recursive definition for the sequence and explain how you know it is correct.
- 2. Prove, using induction, that the last digit of the number of beans you have on the *n*th day is always a 5 for all $n \ge 1$.
- 3. Find a closed formula for the *n*th term of the sequence and prove it is correct by induction.

Attempt the above activity before proceeding

In this chapter we explored sequences and mathematical induction. At first these might not seem entirely related, but there is a link: recursive reasoning. When we have many cases (maybe infinitely many), it is

often easier to describe a particular case by saying how it relates to other cases, instead of describing it absolutely. For sequences, we can describe the *n*th term in the sequence by saying how it is related to the *previous* term. When showing a statement involving the variable *n* is true for all values of *n*, we can describe why the case for n = k is true on the basis of why the case for n = k - 1 is true.

While thinking of problems recursively is often easer than thinking of them absolutely (at least after you get used to thinking in this way), our ultimate goal is to move beyond this recursive description. For sequences, we want to find *closed formulas* for the *n*th term of the sequence. For proofs, we want to know the statement is actually true for a particular n (not only under the assumption that the statement is true for the previous value of n). In this chapter we saw some methods for moving from recursive descriptions to absolute descriptions.

- If the terms of a sequence increase by a constant difference or constant ratio (these are both recursive descriptions), then the sequences are arithmetic or geometric, respectively, and we have closed formulas for each of these based on the initial terms and common difference or ratio.
- If the terms of a sequence increase at a polynomial rate (that is, if the differences between terms form a sequence with a polynomial closed formula), then the sequence is itself given by a polynomial closed formula (of degree one more than the sequence of differences).
- If the terms of a sequence increase at an exponential rate, then we expect the closed formula for the sequence to be exponential. These sequences often have relatively nice recursive formulas, and the *characteristic root technique* allows us to find the closed formula for these sequences.
- If we want to prove that a statement is true for all values of n (greater than some first small value), and we can describe why the statement being true for n = k implies the statement is true for n = k + 1, then the *principle of mathematical induction* gives us that the statement is true for all values of n (greater than the base case).

Throughout the chapter we tried to understand *why* these facts listed above are true. In part, that is what proofs, by induction or not, attempt to accomplish: they explain why mathematical truths are in fact truths. As we develop our ability to reason about mathematics, it is a good idea to make sure that the methods of our reasoning are sound. The branch of mathematics that deals with deciding whether reasoning is good or not is *mathematical logic*, the subject of the next chapter.

CHAPTER REVIEW

1. Find $3 + 7 + 11 + \dots + 427$.

- **2.** Consider the sequence 2, 6, 10, 14, ..., 4n + 6.
- (a) How many terms are there in the sequence?
- (b) What is the second-to-last term?
- (c) Find the sum of all the terms in the sequence.
- **3.** Consider the sequence given by $a_n = 2 \cdot 5^{n-1}$.
- (a) Find the first 4 terms of the sequence. What sort of sequence is this?
- (b) Find the *sum* of the first 25 terms. That is, compute $\sum_{k=1}^{25} a_k$.
- **4.** Consider the sequence 5, 11, 19, 29, 41, 55, Assume $a_1 = 5$.
- (a) Find a closed formula for a_n , the *n*th term of the sequence, by writing each term as a sum of a sequence. Hint: first find a_0 , but ignore it when collapsing the sum.
- (b) Find a closed formula again, this time using either polynomial fitting or the characteristic root technique (whichever is appropriate). Show your work.

- (c) Find a closed formula once again, this time by recognizing the sequence as a modification to some well known sequence(s). Explain.
- **5.** Use polynomial fitting to find a closed formula for the sequence $(a_n)_{n \ge 1}$:

4, 11, 20, 31, 44,

6. Suppose the closed formula for a particular sequence is a degree 3 polynomial. What can you say about the closed formula for:

- (a) The sequence of partial sums.
- (b) The sequence of second differences.

7. Consider the sequence given recursively by $a_1 = 4$, $a_2 = 6$ and $a_n = a_{n-1} + a_{n-2}$.

- (a) Write out the first 6 terms of the sequence.
- (b) Could the closed formula for a_n be a polynomial? Explain.

8. The sequence -1, 0, 2, 5, 9, 14... has closed formula $a_n = \frac{(n+1)(n-2)}{2}$. Use this fact to find a closed formula for the sequence 4, 10, 18, 28, 40,

9. The in song *The Twelve Days of Christmas*, my true love gave to me first 1 gift, then 2 gifts and 1 gift, then 3 gifts, 2 gifts and 1 gift, and so on. How many gifts did my true love give me all together during the twelve days?

10. Consider the recurrence relation $a_n = 3a_{n-1} + 10a_{n-2}$ with first two terms $a_0 = 1$ and $a_1 = 2$.

(a) Write out the first 5 terms of the sequence defined by this recurrence relation.

(b) Solve the recurrence relation. That is, find a closed formula for a_n .

11. Consider the recurrence relation $a_n = 2a_{n-1} + 8a_{n-2}$, with initial terms $a_0 = 1$ and $a_1 = 3$.

- (a) Find the next two terms of the sequence $(a_2 \text{ and } a_3)$.
- (b) Solve the recurrence relation. That is, find a closed formula for the *n*th term of the sequence.

12. Your magic chocolate bunnies reproduce like rabbits: every large bunny produces 2 new mini bunnies each day, and each day every mini bunny born the previous day grows into a large bunny. Assume you start with 2 mini bunnies and no bunny ever dies (or gets eaten).

- (a) Write out the first few terms of the sequence.
- (b) Give a recursive definition of the sequence and explain why it is correct.
- (c) Find a closed formula for the *n*th term of the sequence.

13. Prove the following statements by mathematical induction:

(a)
$$n! < n^n$$
 for $n \ge 2$

(b)
$$\frac{1}{1\cdot 2} + \frac{1}{2\cdot 3} + \frac{1}{3\cdot 4} + \dots + \frac{1}{n\cdot (n+1)} = \frac{n}{n+1}$$
 for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$.

- (c) $4^n 1$ is a multiple of 3 for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.
- (d) The greatest amount of postage you cannot make exactly using 4 and 9 cent stamps is 23 cents.
- (e) Every even number squared is divisible by 4.

14. Prove $1^3 + 2^3 + 3^3 + \dots + n^3 = \left(\frac{n(n+1)}{2}\right)^2$ holds for all $n \ge 1$, by mathematical induction.

15. Suppose $a_0 = 1$, $a_1 = 1$ and $a_n = 3a_{n-1} - 2a_{n-1}$. Prove, using strong induction, that $a_n = 1$ for all n.

16. Prove, using strong induction, that every positive integer can be written as the sum of distinct powers of 2. For example, $13 = 1 + 4 + 8 = 2^0 + 2^2 + 2^3$.

17. Prove using induction that every set containing *n* elements has 2^n different subsets for any $n \ge 1$.

Symbolic Logic and Proofs

Logic is the study of consequence. Given a few mathematical statements or facts, we would like to be able to draw some conclusions. For example, if I told you that a particular real-valued function was continuous on the interval [0, 1], and f(0) = -1 and f(1) = 5, can we conclude that there is some point between [0, 1] where the graph of the function crosses the *x*-axis? Yes, we can, thanks to the Intermediate Value Theorem from Calculus. Can we conclude that there is exactly one point? No. Whenever we find an "answer" in math, we really have a (perhaps hidden) argument. Mathematics is really about proving general statements (like the Intermediate Value Theorem), and this too is done via an argument, usually called a proof. We start with some given conditions, the *premises* of our argument, and from these we find a consequence of interest, our *conclusion*.

The problem is, as you no doubt know from arguing with friends, not all arguments are *good* arguments. A "bad" argument is one in which the conclusion does not follow from the premises, i.e., the conclusion is not a consequence of the premises. Logic is the study of what makes an argument good or bad. In other words, logic aims to determine in which cases a conclusion is, or is not, a consequence of a set of premises.

By the way, "argument" is actually a technical term in math (and philosophy, another discipline which studies logic):

Arguments

An **argument** is a set of statements, one of which is called the **conclusion** and the rest of which are called **premises**. An argument is said to be **valid** if the conclusion must be true whenever the premises are all true. An argument is **invalid** if it is not valid; it is possible for all the premises to be true and the conclusion to be false.

For example, consider the following two arguments:

	If Edith eats her vegetables, then she can have a cookie.					
	Edith eats her vegetables.					
•	Edith gets a cookie.					
	Florence must eat her vegetables in order to get a cookie.					
	Florence eats her vegetables.					

 \therefore Florence gets a cookie.

(The symbol "∴ " means "therefore")

Are these arguments valid? Hopefully you agree that the first one is but the second one is not. Logic tells us why by analyzing the structure of the statements in the argument. Notice the two arguments above look almost identical. Edith and Florence both eat their vegetables. In both cases there is a connection between the eating of vegetables and cookies. But we claim that it is valid to conclude that Edith gets a cookie, but not that Florence does. The difference must be in the connection between eating vegetables and getting cookies. We need to be skilled at reading and comprehending these sentences. Do the two sentences mean the same thing? Unfortunately, in everyday language we are often sloppy, and you might be tempted to say they are equivalent. But notice that just because Florence *must* eat her vegetables, we have not said that doing so would be *enough* (she might also need to clean her room, for example). In everyday (non-mathematical) practice, you might be tempted to say this "other direction" is implied. In mathematics, we never get that luxury.

Before proceeding, it might be a good idea to quickly review Section 0.2 where we first encountered statements and the various forms they can take. The goal now is to see what mathematical tools we can develop to better analyze these, and then to see how this helps read and write proofs.

3.1 **Propositional Logic**

Investigate!

You stumble upon two trolls playing Stratego®. They tell you:

Troll 1: If we are cousins, then we are both knaves.

Troll 2: We are cousins or we are both knaves.

Could both trolls be knights? Recall that all trolls are either always-truth-telling knights or alwayslying knaves.

Attempt the above activity before proceeding

A **proposition** is simply a statement. **Propositional logic** studies the ways statements can interact with each other. It is important to remember that propositional logic does not really care about the content of the statements. For example, in terms of propositional logic, the claims, "if the moon is made of cheese then basketballs are round," and "if spiders have eight legs then Sam walks with a limp" are exactly the same. They are both implications: statements of the form, $P \rightarrow Q$.

TRUTH TABLES

Here's a question about playing Monopoly:

If you get more doubles than any other player then you will lose, or if you lose then you must have bought the most properties.

True or false? We will answer this question, and won't need to know anything about Monopoly. Instead we will look at the logical *form* of the statement.

We need to decide when the statement $(P \rightarrow Q) \lor (Q \rightarrow R)$ is true. Using the definitions of the connectives in Section 0.2, we see that for this to be true, either $P \rightarrow Q$ must be true or $Q \rightarrow R$ must be true (or both). Those are true if either *P* is false or *Q* is true (in the first case) and *Q* is false or *R* is true (in the second case). So—yeah, it gets kind of messy. Luckily, we can make a chart to keep track of all the possibilities. Enter **truth tables**. The idea is this: on each row, we list a possible combination of T's and F's (for true and false) for each of the sentential variables, and then mark down whether the statement in question is true or false in that case. We do this for every possible combination of T's and F's. Then we can clearly see in which cases the statement is true or false. For complicated statements, we will first fill in values for each part of the statement, as a way of breaking up our task into smaller, more manageable pieces.

Since the truth value of a statement is completely determined by the truth values of its parts and how they are connected, all you really need to know is the truth tables for each of the logical connectives. Here they are:

P	Q	$P \wedge Q$	Р	Q	$P \lor Q$	P	Q	$P \rightarrow Q$	P	Q	$P \leftrightarrow Q$
Т	Т	Т	Т	Т	Т	Т	Т	Т	T	Т	Т
Т	F	F	Т	F	Т	T	F	F	Т	F	F
F	Т	F	F	T	Т	F	Т	Т	F	T	F
F	F	F	F	F	F	F	F	Т	F	F	Т

The truth table for negation looks like this:

$$\begin{array}{c|c} P & \neg P \\ \hline T & F \\ F & T \\ \end{array}$$

None of these truth tables should come as a surprise; they are all just restating the definitions of the connectives. Let's try another one.

Example 3.1.1

Make a truth table for the statement $\neg P \lor Q$.

Solution. Note that this statement is not $\neg(P \lor Q)$, the negation belongs to *P* alone. Here is the truth table:

P	Q	$\neg P$	$\neg P \lor Q$
Т	Т	F	Т
Т	F	F	F
F	Т	Т	Т
F	F	Т	Т

We added a column for $\neg P$ to make filling out the last column easier. The entries in the $\neg P$ column were determined by the entries in the *P* column. Then to fill in the final column, look only at the column for *Q* and the column for $\neg P$ and use the rule for \lor .

Now let's answer our question about monopoly:

Example 3.1.2

Analyze the statement, "if you get more doubles than any other player you will lose, or that if you lose you must have bought the most properties," using truth tables.

Solution. Represent the statement in symbols as $(P \rightarrow Q) \lor (Q \rightarrow R)$, where *P* is the statement "you get more doubles than any other player," *Q* is the statement "you will lose," and *R* is the statement "you must have bought the most properties." Now make a truth table.

The truth table needs to contain 8 rows in order to account for every possible combination of truth and falsity among the three statements. Here is the full truth table:

Р	Q	R	$P \rightarrow Q$	$Q \rightarrow R$	$(P \to Q) \lor (Q \to R)$
Т	Т	Т	Т	Т	Т
Т	Т	F	Т	F	Т
Т	F	Т	F	Т	Т
Т	F	F	F	Т	Т
F	Т	Т	Т	Т	Т
F	Т	F	Т	F	Т
F	F	Т	Т	Т	Т
F	F	F	Т	Т	Т

The first three columns are simply a systematic listing of all possible combinations of T and F for the three statements (do you see how you would list the 16 possible combinations for four statements?). The next two columns are determined by the values of P, Q, and R and the definition of implication. Then, the last column is determined by the values in the previous two columns and the definition of \lor . It is this final column we care about.

Notice that in each of the eight possible cases, the statement in question is true. So our statement about monopoly is true (regardless of how many properties you own, how many doubles you roll, or whether you win or lose).

The statement about monopoly is an example of a **tautology**, a statement which is true on the basis of its logical form alone. Tautologies are always true but they don't tell us much about the world. No knowledge about monopoly was required to determine that the statement was true. In fact, it is equally true that "If the moon is made of cheese, then Elvis is still alive, or if Elvis is still alive, then unicorns have 5 legs."

LOGICAL EQUIVALENCE

You might have noticed that the final column in the truth table from $\neg P \lor Q$ is identical to the final column in the truth table for $P \rightarrow Q$:

P	Q	$P \rightarrow Q$	$\neg P \lor Q$
Т	Т	Т	Т
Т	F	F	F
F	Т	Т	Т
F	F	Т	Т

This says that no matter what *P* and *Q* are, the statements $\neg P \lor Q$ and $P \rightarrow Q$ either both true or both false. We therefore say these statements are **logically equivalent**.

Logical Equivalence

Two (molecular) statements P and Q are **logically equivalent** provided P is true precisely when Q is true. That is, P and Q have the same truth value under any assignment of truth values to their atomic parts.

To verify that two statements are logically equivalent, you can make a truth table for each and check whether the columns for the two statements are identical.

Recognizing two statements as logically equivalent can be very helpful. Rephrasing a mathematical statement can often lends insight into what it is saying, or how to prove or refute it. Using truth tables we can systematically verify that two statements are indeed logically equivalent.

Example 3.1.3

Are the statements, "it will not rain or snow" and "it will not rain and it will not snow" logically equivalent?

Solution. We want to know whether $\neg(P \lor Q)$ is logically equivalent to $\neg P \land \neg Q$. Make a truth table which includes both statements:

Р	Q	$\neg (P \lor Q)$	$\neg P \land \neg Q$
Т	Т	F	F
Т	F	F	F
F	Т	F	F
F	F	Т	Т

Since in every row the truth values for the two statements are equal, the two statements are logically equivalent.

Notice that this example gives us a way to "distribute" a negation over a disjunction (an "or"). We have a similar rule for distributing over conjunctions ("and"s):

De Morgan's Laws

 $\neg (P \land Q)$ is logically equivalent to $\neg P \lor \neg Q$. $\neg (P \lor Q)$ is logically equivalent to $\neg P \land \neg Q$.

This suggests there might be a sort of "algebra" you could apply to statements (okay, there is: it is called *Boolean algebra*) to transform one statement into another. We can start collecting useful examples of logical equivalence, and apply them in succession to a statement, instead of writing out a complicated truth table. We will probably also want a way to deal with double negation:

Double Negation

 $\neg \neg P$ is logically equivalent to *P*.

Example: "It is not the case that *c* is not odd" means "*c* is odd."

Let's see how we can apply the equivalences we have encountered so far.

Example 3.1.4

Prove that the statements $\neg(P \rightarrow Q)$ and $P \land \neg Q$ are logically equivalent without using truth tables.

Solution. We want to start with one of the statements, and transform it into the other through a sequence of logically equivalent statements. Start with $\neg(P \rightarrow Q)$. We can rewrite the implication as a disjunction this is logically equivalent to

 $\neg(\neg P \lor Q).$

Now apply DeMorgan's law to get

 $\neg \neg P \land \neg Q.$

Finally, use double negation to arrive at $P \land \neg Q$

Notice that the above example illustrates that the negation of an implication is NOT an implication: it is a conjunction!

To verify that two statements are logically equivalent, you can use truth tables or a sequence of logically equivalent replacements. The truth table method, although cumbersome, has the advantage that it can verify that two statements are NOT logically equivalent.

Example 3.1.5

Are the statements $(P \lor Q) \rightarrow R$ and $(P \rightarrow R) \lor (Q \rightarrow R)$ logically equivalent?

Solution. Note that while we could start rewriting these statements with logically equivalent replacements in the hopes of transforming one into another, we will never be sure that our failure is due to their lack of logical equivalence rather than our lack of imagination. So instead, let's make a truth table:

Р	Q	R	$(P \lor Q) \to R$	$(P \to R) \lor (Q \to R)$
Т	Т	Т	Т	Т
Т	Т	F	F	F
Т	F	Т	Т	Т
Т	F	F	F	Т
F	Т	Т	Т	Т
F	Т	F	F	Т
F	F	Т	Т	Т
F	F	F	Т	Т

Look at the fourth (or sixth) row. In this case, $(P \rightarrow R) \lor (Q \rightarrow R)$ is true, but $(P \lor Q) \rightarrow R$ is false. Therefore the statements are not logically equivalent.

While we don't have logical equivalence, it is the case that whenever $(P \lor Q) \to R$ is true, so is $(P \to R) \lor (Q \to R)$. This tells us that we can *deduce* $(P \to R) \lor (Q \to R)$ from $(P \lor Q) \to R$, just not the reverse direction.

DEDUCTIONS

Investigate!

Holmes owns two suits: one black and one tweed. He always wears either a tweed suit or sandals. Whenever he wears his tweed suit and a purple shirt, he chooses to not wear a tie. He never wears the tweed suit unless he is also wearing either a purple shirt or sandals. Whenever he wears sandals, he also wears a purple shirt. Yesterday, Holmes wore a bow tie. What else did he wear?

Attempt the above activity before proceeding

Earlier we claimed that the following was a valid argument:

If Edith eats her vegetables, then she can have a cookie. Edith ate her vegetables. Therefore Edith gets a cookie.

How do we know this is valid? Let's look at the form of the statements. Let *P* denote "Edith eats her vegetables" and *Q* denote "Edith can have a cookie." The logical form of the argument is then:

$$\begin{array}{c} P \to Q \\ P \\ \hline \vdots \quad Q \end{array}$$

This is an example of a **deduction rule**, an argument form which is always valid. This one is a particularly famous rule called *modus ponens*. Are you convinced that it is a valid deduction rule? If not, consider the following truth table:

Р	Q	$P \rightarrow Q$
Т	Т	Т
Т	F	F
F	Т	Т
F	F	Т

This is just the truth table for $P \rightarrow Q$, but what matters here is that all the lines in the deduction rule have their own column in the truth table. Remember that an argument is valid provided the conclusion must be true given that the premises are true. The premises in this case are $P \rightarrow Q$ and P. Which *rows* of the truth table correspond to both of these being true? P is true in the first two rows, and of those, only the first row has $P \rightarrow Q$ true as well. And lo-and-behold, in this one case, Q is also true. So if $P \rightarrow Q$ and P are both true, we see that Q must be true as well.

Here are a few more examples.

Example 3.1.6

Show that

$$P \to Q$$
$$\neg P \to Q$$
$$\therefore \qquad Q$$

is a valid deduction rule.

Solution. We make a truth table which contains all the lines of the argument form:

Р	Q	$P \rightarrow Q$	$\neg P$	$\neg P \rightarrow Q$
Т	Т	Т	F	Т
Т	F	F	F	Т
F	Т	Т	Т	Т
F	F	Т	Т	F

(we include a column for $\neg P$ just as a step to help getting the column for $\neg P \rightarrow Q$).

Now look at all the rows for which both $P \rightarrow Q$ and $\neg P \rightarrow Q$ are true. This happens only in rows 1 and 3. Hey! In those rows Q is true as well, so the argument form is valid (it is a valid deduction rule).

Example 3.1.7

Decide whether

$$P \to R$$

$$Q \to R$$

$$R$$

$$R$$

is a valid deduction rule.

Solution. Let's make a truth table containing all four statements.

P	Q	R	$P \rightarrow R$	$Q \rightarrow R$	$P \lor Q$
Т	Т	Т	Т	Т	Т
Т	Т	F	F	F	Т
Т	F	Т	Т	Т	Т
Т	F	F	F	Т	Т
F	Т	Т	Т	Т	Т
F	Т	F	Т	F	Т
F	F	Т	Т	Т	F
F	F	F	Т	Т	F

Look at the second to last row. Here all three premises of the argument are true, but the conclusion is false. Thus this is not a valid deduction rule.

While we have the truth table in front of us, look at rows 1 and 5. These are the only rows in which all of the statements statements $P \rightarrow R$, $Q \rightarrow R$, and $P \lor Q$ are true. It also happens that *R* is true in these rows as well. Thus we have discovered a new deduction rule we know *is* valid:

$P \rightarrow R$
$Q \rightarrow R$
$P \lor Q$
 R

BEYOND PROPOSITIONS

As we saw in Section 0.2, not every statement can be analyzed using logical connectives alone. For example, we might want to work with the statement:

All primes greater than 2 are odd.

To write this statement symbolically, we must use quantifiers. We can translate as follows:

$$\forall x((P(x) \land x > 2) \to O(x)).$$

In this case, we are using P(x) to denote "x is prime" and O(x) to denote "x is odd." These are not propositions, since their truth value depends on the input x. Better to think of P and O as denoting *properties* of their input. The technical term for these is **predicates** and when we study them in logic, we need to use **predicate logic**.

It is important to stress that predicate logic *extends* propositional logic (much in the way quantum mechanics extends classical mechanics). You will notice that our statement above still used the (propositional) logical connectives. Everything that we learned about logical equivalence and deductions still applies. However, predicate logic allows us to analyze statements at a higher resolution, digging down into the individual propositions P, Q, etc.

A full treatment of predicate logic is beyond the scope of this text. One reason is that there is no systematic procedure for deciding whether two statements in predicate logic are logically equivalent (i.e., there is no analogue to truth tables here). Rather, we end with a couple of examples of logical equivalence and deduction, to pique your interest.

Example 3.1.8

Suppose we claim that there is no smallest number. We can translate this into symbols as

 $\neg \exists x \forall y (x \le y)$

(literally, "it is not true that there is a number x such that for all numbers y, x is less than or equal to y").

However, we know how negation interacts with quantifiers: we can pass a negation over a quantifier by switching the quantifier type (between universal and existential). So the statement above should be *logically equivalent* to

$$\forall x \exists y (y < x).$$

Notice that y < x is the negation of $x \le y$. This literally says, "for every number x there is a number y which is smaller than x." We see that this is another way to make our original claim.

Example 3.1.9

Can you switch the order of quantifiers? For example, consider the two statements:

 $\forall x \exists y P(x, y)$ and $\exists y \forall x P(x, y)$.

Are these logically equivalent?

Solution. These statements are NOT logically equivalent. To see this, we should provide an interpretation of the predicate P(x, y) which makes one of the statements true and the other false.

Let P(x, y) be the predicate x < y. It is true, in the natural numbers, that for all x there is some y greater than it (since there are infinitely many numbers). However, there is not a natural number y which is greater than every number x. Thus it is possible for $\forall x \exists y P(x, y)$ to be true while $\exists y \forall x P(x, y)$ is false.

We cannot do the reverse of this though. If there is some y for which every x satisfies P(x, y), then certainly for every x there is some y which satisfies P(x, y). The first is saying we can find one y that works for every x. The second allows different y's to work for different x's, but there is nothing preventing us from using the same y that work for every x. In other words, while we don't have logical equivalence between the two statements, we do have a valid deduction rule:

$$\exists y \forall x P(x, y)$$

$$\therefore \quad \forall x \exists y P(x, y)$$

Put yet another way, this says that the single statement

$$\exists y \forall x P(x, y) \rightarrow \forall x \exists y P(x, y)$$

is always true. This is sort of like a tautology, although we reserve that term for necessary truths in propositional logic. A statement in predicate logic that is necessarily true gets the more prestigious designation of a **law of logic** (or sometimes **logically valid**, but that is less fun).

Exercises

- 1. Consider the statement about a party, "If it's your birthday or there will be cake, then there will be cake."
- (a) Translate the above statement into symbols. Clearly state which statement is *P* and which is *Q*.
- (b) Make a truth table for the statement.
- (c) Assuming the statement is true, what (if anything) can you conclude if there will be cake?
- (d) Assuming the statement is true, what (if anything) can you conclude if there will not be cake?
- (e) Suppose you found out that the statement was a lie. What can you conclude?

2. Make a truth table for the statement $(P \lor Q) \rightarrow (P \land Q)$.

3. Make a truth table for the statement $\neg P \land (Q \rightarrow P)$. What can you conclude about *P* and *Q* if you know the statement is true?

4. Make a truth table for the statement $\neg P \rightarrow (Q \land R)$.

Hint. Like above, only now you will need 8 rows instead of just 4.

5. Determine whether the following two statements are logically equivalent: $\neg(P \rightarrow Q)$ and $P \land \neg Q$. Explain how you know you are correct.

6. Are the statements $P \rightarrow (Q \lor R)$ and $(P \rightarrow Q) \lor (P \rightarrow R)$ logically equivalent?

7. Simplify the following statements (so that negation only appears right before variables).

(a)
$$\neg (P \rightarrow \neg Q)$$
.

- (b) $(\neg P \lor \neg Q) \rightarrow \neg (\neg Q \land R).$
- (c) $\neg ((P \rightarrow \neg Q) \lor \neg (R \land \neg R)).$
- (d) It is false that if Sam is not a man then Chris is a woman, and that Chris is not a woman.

8. Use De Morgan's Laws, and any other logical equivalence facts you know to simplify the following statements. Show all your steps. Your final statements should have negations only appear directly next to the sentence variables or predicates (P, Q, E(x), etc.), and no double negations. It would be a good idea to use only conjunctions, disjunctions, and negations.

(a)
$$\neg ((\neg P \land Q) \lor \neg (R \lor \neg S)).$$

(b) $\neg((\neg P \rightarrow \neg Q) \land (\neg Q \rightarrow R))$ (careful with the implications).

9. Tommy Flanagan was telling you what he ate yesterday afternoon. He tells you, "I had either popcorn or raisins. Also, if I had cucumber sandwiches, then I had soda. But I didn't drink soda or tea." Of course you know that Tommy is the worlds worst liar, and everything he says is false. What did Tommy eat? Justify your answer by writing all of Tommy's statements using sentence variables (*P*, *Q*, *R*, *S*, *T*), taking their negations, and using these to deduce what Tommy actually ate.

10. Determine if the following deduction rule is valid:

$$P \lor Q$$
$$\neg P$$
$$\therefore \qquad Q$$

11. Determine if the following is a valid deduction rule:

$$P \to (Q \lor R)$$
$$\neg (P \to Q)$$
$$\therefore \qquad R$$

12. Determine if the following is a valid deduction rule:

$$(P \land Q) \to R$$
$$\neg P \lor \neg Q$$
$$\therefore \qquad \neg R$$

13. Can you chain implications together? That is, if $P \rightarrow Q$ and $Q \rightarrow R$, does that means the $P \rightarrow R$? Can you chain more implications together? Let's find out:

(a) Prove that the following is a valid deduction rule:

$$\begin{array}{c} P \to Q \\ Q \to R \\ \hline \vdots \quad P \to R \end{array}$$

(b) Prove that the following is a valid deduction rule for any $n \ge 2$:

$$P_1 \rightarrow P_2$$

$$P_2 \rightarrow P_3$$

$$\vdots$$

$$P_{n-1} \rightarrow P_n$$

$$\therefore P_1 \rightarrow P_n.$$

I suggest you don't go through the trouble of writing out a 2^n row truth table. Instead, you should use part (a) and mathematical induction.

14. We can also simplify statements in predicate logic using our rules for passing negations over quantifiers, and then applying propositional logical equivalence to the "inside" propositional part. Simplify the statements below (so negation appears only directly next to predicates).

- (a) $\neg \exists x \forall y (\neg O(x) \lor E(y)).$
- (b) $\neg \forall x \neg \forall y \neg (x < y \land \exists z (x < z \lor y < z)).$
- (c) There is a number *n* for which no other number is either less *n* than or equal to *n*.
- (d) It is false that for every number n there are two other numbers which n is between.

15. Suppose *P* and *Q* are (possibly molecular) propositional statements. Prove that *P* and *Q* are logically equivalent if any only if $P \leftrightarrow Q$ is a tautology.

Hint. What do these concepts mean in terms of truth tables?

16. Suppose P_1, P_2, \ldots, P_n and Q are (possibly molecular) propositional statements. Suppose further that

$$\begin{array}{c}
P_1 \\
P_2 \\
\vdots \\
\hline
P_n \\
\hline
Q
\end{array}$$

is a valid deduction rule. Prove that the statement

$$(P_1 \wedge P_2 \wedge \cdots \wedge P_n) \to Q$$

is a tautology.

3.2 Proofs

• Investigate!

Decide which of the following are valid proofs of the following statement:

If *ab* is an even number, then *a* or *b* is even.

1. Suppose *a* and *b* are odd. That is, a = 2k + 1 and b = 2m + 1 for some integers *k* and *m*. Then

$$ab = (2k + 1)(2m + 1)$$

= 4km + 2k + 2m + 1
= 2(2km + k + m) + 1.

Therefore *ab* is odd.

2. Assume that *a* or *b* is even - say it is *a* (the case where *b* is even will be identical). That is, a = 2k for some integer *k*. Then

$$ab = (2k)b$$
$$= 2(kb).$$

Thus *ab* is even.

3. Suppose that *ab* is even but *a* and *b* are both odd. Namely, ab = 2n, a = 2k + 1 and b = 2j + 1 for some integers *n*, *k*, and *j*. Then

$$2n = (2k + 1)(2j + 1)$$

$$2n = 4kj + 2k + 2j + 1$$

$$n = 2kj + k + j + \frac{1}{2}.$$

But since 2kj + k + j is an integer, this says that the integer *n* is equal to a non-integer, which is impossible.

4. Let *ab* be an even number, say ab = 2n, and *a* be an odd number, say a = 2k + 1.

$$ab = (2k + 1)b$$
$$2n = 2kb + b$$
$$2n - 2kb = b$$
$$2(n - kb) = b.$$

Therefore *b* must be even.

STOP

Attempt the above activity before proceeding

Anyone who doesn't believe there is creativity in mathematics clearly has not tried to write proofs. Finding a way to convince the world that a particular statement is necessarily true is a mighty undertaking

and can often be quite challenging. There is not a guaranteed path to success in the search for proofs. For example, in the summer of 1742, a German mathematician by the name of Christian Goldbach wondered whether every even integer greater than 2 could be written as the sum of two primes. Centuries later, we still don't have a proof of this apparent fact (computers have checked that "Goldbach's Conjecture" holds for all numbers less than 4×10^{18} , which leaves only infinitely many more numbers to check).

Writing proofs is a bit of an art. Like any art, to be truly great at it, you need some sort of inspiration, as well as some foundational technique. Just as musicians can learn proper fingering, and painters can learn the proper way to hold a brush, we can look at the proper way to construct arguments. A good place to start might be to study a classic.

Theorem 3.2.1. *There are infinitely many primes.*

Proof. Suppose this were not the case. That is, suppose there are only finitely many primes. Then there must be a last, largest prime, call it *p*. Consider the number

$$N = p! + 1 = (p \cdot (p - 1) \cdots 3 \cdot 2 \cdot 1) + 1.$$

Now *N* is certainly larger than *p*. Also, *N* is not divisible by any number less than or equal to *p*, since every number less than or equal to *p* divides *p*!. Thus the prime factorization of *N* contains prime numbers (possibly just *N* itself) all greater than *p*. So *p* is not the largest prime, a contradiction. Therefore there are infinitely many primes. QED

This proof is an example of a *proof by contradiction*, one of the standard styles of mathematical proof. First and foremost, the proof is an argument. It contains sequence of statements, the last being the *conclusion* which follows from the previous statements. The argument is valid so the conclusion must be true if the premises are true. Let's go through the proof line by line.

- 1. Suppose there are only finitely many primes. [this is a premise. Note the use of "suppose."]
- 2. There must be a largest prime, call it *p*. [follows from line 1, by the definition of "finitely many."]
- 3. Let N = p! + 1. [basically just notation, although this is the inspired part of the proof; looking at p! + 1 is the key insight.]
- 4. *N* is larger than *p*. [by the definition of *p*!]
- 5. *N* is not divisible by any number less than or equal to *p*. [by definition, *p*! is divisible by each number less than or equal to *p*, so *p*! + 1 is not.]
- 6. The prime factorization of *N* contains prime numbers greater than *p*. [since *N* is divisible by each prime number in the prime factorization of *N*, and by line 5.]
- 7. Therefore *p* is not the largest prime. [by line 6, N is divisible by a prime larger than p.]
- 8. This is a contradiction. [from line 2 and line 7: the largest prime is p and there is a prime larger than p.]
- 9. Therefore there are infinitely many primes. [from line 1 and line 8: our only premise lead to a contradiction, so the premise is false.]

We should say a bit more about the last line. Up through line 8, we have a valid argument with the premise "there are only finitely many primes" and the conclusion "there is a prime larger than the largest prime." This is a valid argument as each line follows from previous lines. So if the premises are true, then the conclusion *must* be true. However, the conclusion is NOT true. The only way out: the premise must be false.

The sort of line-by-line analysis we did above is a great way to really understand what is going on. Whenever you come across a proof in a textbook, you really should make sure you understand what each line is saying and why it is true. Additionally, it is equally important to understand the overall structure of the proof. This is where using tools from logic is helpful. Luckily there are a relatively small number of standard proof styles that keep showing up again and again. Being familiar with these can help understand proof, as well as give ideas of how to write your own.

Direct Proof

The simplest (from a logic perspective) style of proof is a **direct proof**. Often all that is required to prove something is a systematic explanation of what everything means. Direct proofs are especially useful when proving implications. The general format to prove $P \rightarrow Q$ is this:

Assume *P*. Explain, explain, . . . , explain. Therefore *Q*.

Often we want to prove universal statements, perhaps of the form $\forall x(P(x) \rightarrow Q(x))$. Again, we will want to assume P(x) is true and deduce Q(x). But what about the x? We want this to work for *all* x. We accomplish this by fixing x to be an arbitrary element (of the sort we are interested in).

Here are a few examples. First, we will set up the proof structure for a direct proof, then fill in the details.

Example 3.2.2

Prove: For all integers *n*, if *n* is even, then n^2 is even.

Solution. The format of the proof with be this: Let *n* be an arbitrary integer. Assume that *n* is even. Explain explain explain. Therefore n^2 is even.

To fill in the details, we will basically just explain what it means for n to be even, and then see what that means for n^2 . Here is a complete proof.

Proof. Let *n* be an arbitrary integer. Suppose *n* is even. Then n = 2k for some integer *k*. Now $n^2 = (2k)^2 = 4k^2 = 2(2k^2)$. Since $2k^2$ is an integer, n^2 is even. QED

Example 3.2.3

Prove: For all integers *a*, *b*, and *c*, if a|b and b|c then a|c. Here x|y, read "*x* divides *y*" means that *y* is a multiple of *x* (so *x* will divide into *y* without remainder).

Solution. Even before we know what the divides symbol means, we can set up a direct proof for this statement. It will go something like this: Let *a*, *b*, and *c* be arbitrary integers. Assume that a|b and b|c. Dot dot dot. Therefore a|c.

How do we connect the dots? We say what our hypothesis (a|b and b|c) really means and why this gives us what the conclusion (a|c) really means. Another way to say that a|b is to say that b = ka for some integer k (that is, that b is a multiple of a). What are we going for? That c = la, for some integer l (because we want c to be a multiple of a). Here is the complete proof.

Proof. Let *a*, *b*, and *c* be integers. Assume that a|b and b|c. In other words, *b* is a multiple of *a* and *c* is a multiple of *b*. So there are integers *k* and *j* such that b = ka and c = jb. Combining these (through substitution) we get that c = jka. But *jk* is an integer, so this says that *c* is a multiple of *a*. Therefore a|c.

PROOF BY CONTRAPOSITIVE

Recall that an implication $P \rightarrow Q$ is logically equivalent to its contrapositive $\neg Q \rightarrow \neg P$. There are plenty of examples of statements which are hard to prove directly, but whose contrapositive can easily be proved directly. This is all that **proof by contrapositive** does. It gives a direct proof of the contrapositive of the implication. This is enough because the contrapositive is logically equivalent to the original implication.

The skeleton of the proof of $P \rightarrow Q$ by contrapositive will always look roughly like this:

Assume $\neg Q$. Explain, explain, ... explain. Therefore $\neg P$.

As before, if there are variables and quantifiers, we set them to be arbitrary elements of our domain. Here are a couple examples:

Example 3.2.4 Is the statement "for all integers n, if n^2 is even, then n is even" true?

Solution. This is the converse of the statement we proved above using a direct proof. From trying a few examples, this statement definitely appears this is true. So let's prove it.

A direct proof of this statement would require fixing an arbitrary *n* and assuming that n^2 is even. But it is not at all clear how this would allow us to conclude anything about *n*. Just because $n^2 = 2k$ does not in itself suggest how we could write *n* as a multiple of 2.

Try something else: write the contrapositive of the statement. We get, for all integers n, if n is odd then n^2 is odd. This looks much more promising. Our proof will look something like this:

Let *n* be an arbitrary integer. Suppose that *n* is not even. This means that In other words But this is the same as saying Therefore n^2 is not even.

Now we fill in the details:

Proof. We will prove the contrapositive. Let *n* be an arbitrary integer. Suppose that *n* is not even, and thus odd. Then n = 2k + 1 for some integer *k*. Now $n^2 = (2k + 1)^2 = 4k^2 + 4k + 1 = 2(2k^2 + 2k) + 1$. Since $2k^2 + 2k$ is an integer, we see that n^2 is odd and therefore not even. QED

Example 3.2.5

Prove: for all integers a and b, if a + b is odd, then a is odd or b is odd.

Solution. The problem with trying a direct proof is that it will be hard to separate *a* and *b* from knowing something about a + b. On the other hand, if we know something about *a* and *b* separately, then combining them might give us information about a + b. The contrapositive of the statement we are trying to prove is: for all integers *a* and *b*, if *a* and *b* are even, then a + b is even. Thus our proof will have the following format:

Let *a* and *b* be integers. Assume that *a* and *b* are both even. la la la. Therefore a + b is even. Here is a complete proof:

Proof. Let *a* and *b* be integers. Assume that *a* and *b* are even. Then a = 2k and b = 2l for some integers *k* and *l*. Now a + b = 2k + 2l = 2(k + 1). Since k + l is an integer, we see that a + b is even, completing the proof. QED

Note that our assumption that *a* and *b* are even is really the negation of *a* or *b* is odd. We used De Morgan's law here.

We have seen how to prove some statements in the form of implications: either directly or by contrapositive. Some statements are not written as implications to begin with.

Example 3.2.6

Consider the statement, for every prime number p, either p = 2 or p is odd. We can rephrase this: for every prime number p, if $p \neq 2$, then p is odd. Now try to prove it.

Proof. Let *p* be an arbitrary prime number. Assume *p* is not odd. So *p* is divisible by 2. Since *p* is prime, it must have exactly two divisors, and it has 2 as a divisor, so *p* must be divisible by only 1 and 2. Therefore p = 2. This completes the proof (by contrapositive). QED

PROOF BY CONTRADICTION

There might be statements which really cannot be rephrased as implications. For example, " $\sqrt{2}$ is irrational." In this case, it is hard to know where to start. What can we assume? Well, say we want to prove the statement *P*. What if we could prove that $\neg P \rightarrow Q$ where *Q* was false? If this implication is true, and *Q* is false, what can we say about $\neg P$? It must be false as well, which makes *P* true!

This is why **proof by contradiction** works. If we can prove that $\neg P$ leads to a contradiction, then the only conclusion is that $\neg P$ is false, so *P* is true. That's what we wanted to prove. In other words, if it is impossible for *P* to be false, *P* must be true.

Here are a couple examples of proofs by contradiction:

Example 3.2.7

Prove that $\sqrt{2}$ is irrational.

Proof. Suppose not. Then $\sqrt{2}$ is equal to a fraction $\frac{a}{b}$. Without loss of generality, assume $\frac{a}{b}$ is in lowest terms (otherwise reduce the fraction). So,

$$2 = \frac{a^2}{b^2}$$
$$2b^2 = a^2$$

Thus a^2 is even, and as such *a* is even. So a = 2k for some integer *k*, and $a^2 = 4k^2$. We then have,

$$2b^2 = 4k^2$$
$$b^2 = 2k^2$$

Thus b^2 is even, and as such *b* is even. Since *a* is also even, we see that $\frac{a}{b}$ is not in lowest terms, a contradiction. Thus $\sqrt{2}$ is irrational. QED

Example 3.2.8

Prove: There are no integers *x* and *y* such that $x^2 = 4y + 2$.

Proof. We proceed by contradiction. So suppose there *are* integers *x* and *y* such that $x^2 = 4y + 2 = 2(2y + 1)$. So x^2 is even. We have seen that this implies that *x* is even. So x = 2k for some integer *k*. Then $x^2 = 4k^2$. This in turn gives $2k^2 = (2y + 1)$. But $2k^2$ is even, and 2y + 1 is odd, so these cannot be equal. Thus we have a contradiction, so there must not be any integers *x* and *y* such that $x^2 = 4y + 2$.

Example 3.2.9

The Pigeonhole Principle: If more than *n* pigeons fly into *n* pigeon holes, then at least one pigeon hole will contain at least two pigeons. Prove this!

Proof. Suppose, contrary to stipulation, that each of the pigeon holes contain at most one pigeon. Then at most, there will be *n* pigeons. But we assumed that there are more than *n* pigeons, so this is impossible. Thus there must be a pigeonhole with more than one pigeon. QED

While we phrased this proof as a proof by contradiction, we could have also used a proof by contrapositive since our contradiction was simply the negation of the hypothesis. Sometimes this will happen, in which case you can use either style of proof. There are examples however where the contradiction occurs "far away" from the original statement.

PROOF BY (COUNTER) EXAMPLE

It is almost NEVER okay to prove a statement with just an example. Certainly none of the statements proved above can be proved through an example. This is because in each of those cases we are trying to prove that something holds of all integers. We claim that n^2 being even implies that n is even, *no matter what integer n* we pick. Showing that this works for n = 4 is not even close to enough.

This cannot be stressed enough. If you are trying to prove a statement of the form $\forall x P(x)$, you absolutely CANNOT prove this with an example.¹

However, existential statements can be proven this way. If we want to prove that there is an integer n such that $n^2 - n + 41$ is not prime, all we need to do is find one. This might seem like a silly thing to want to prove until you try a few values for n.

¹This is not to say that looking at examples is a waste of time. Doing so will often give you an idea of how to write a proof. But the examples do not belong in the proof.

So far we have gotten only primes. You might be tempted to conjecture, "For all positive integers n, the number $n^2 - n + 41$ is prime." If you wanted to prove this, you would need to use a direct proof, a proof by contrapositive, or another style of proof, but certainly it is not enough to give even 7 examples. In fact, we can prove this conjecture is *false* by proving its negation: "There is a positive integer n such that $n^2 - n + 41$ is not prime." Since this is an existential statement, it suffices to show that there does indeed exist such a number.

In fact, we can quickly see that n = 41 will give 41^2 which is certainly not prime. You might say that this is a counterexample to the conjecture that $n^2 - n + 41$ is always prime. Since so many statements in mathematics are universal, making their negations existential, we can often prove that a statement is false (if it is) by providing a counterexample.

Example 3.2.10

Above we proved, "for all integers *a* and *b*, if a + b is odd, then *a* is odd or *b* is odd." Is the converse true?

Solution. The converse is the statement, "for all integers *a* and *b*, if *a* is odd or *b* is odd, then a + b is odd." This is false! How do we prove it is false? We need to prove the negation of the converse. Let's look at the symbols. The converse is

$$\forall a \forall b ((O(a) \lor O(b)) \to O(a+b)).$$

We want to prove the negation:

 $\neg \forall a \forall b ((O(a) \lor O(b)) \to O(a+b)).$

Simplify using the rules from the previous sections:

$$\exists a \exists b ((O(a) \lor O(b)) \land \neg O(a+b)).$$

As the negation passed by the quantifiers, they changed from \forall to \exists . We then needed to take the negation of an implication, which is equivalent to asserting the if part and not the then part.

Now we know what to do. To prove that the converse is false we need to find two integers *a* and *b* so that *a* is odd or *b* is odd, but a + b is not odd (so even). That's easy: 1 and 3. (remember, "or" means one or the other or both). Both of these are odd, but 1 + 3 = 4 is not odd.

PROOF BY CASES

We could go on and on about different proof styles (we haven't even mentioned induction or combinatorial proofs here), but instead we will end with one final useful technique: proof by cases. The idea is to prove that *P* is true by proving that $Q \rightarrow P$ and $\neg Q \rightarrow P$ for some statement *Q*. So no matter what, whether or not *Q* is true, we know that *P* is true. In fact, we could generalize this. Suppose we want to prove *P*. We know that at least one of the statements Q_1, Q_2, \ldots, Q_n are true. If we can show that $Q_1 \rightarrow P$ and $Q_2 \rightarrow P$ and so on all the way to $Q_n \rightarrow P$, then we can conclude *P*. The key thing is that we want to be sure that one of our cases (the Q_i 's) must be true no matter what.

If that last paragraph was confusing, perhaps an example will make things better.

Example 3.2.11

Prove: For any integer *n*, the number $(n^3 - n)$ is even.

Solution. It is hard to know where to start this, because we don't know much of anything about n. We might be able to prove that $n^3 - n$ is even if we knew that n was even. In fact, we could probably prove that $n^3 - n$ was even if n was odd. But since n must either be even or odd, this will be enough. Here's the proof.

Proof. We consider two cases: if n is even or if n is odd. Case 1: n is even. Then n = 2k for some integer k. This give

$$n^{3} - n = 8k^{3} - 2k$$
$$= 2(4k^{2} - k)$$

and since $4k^2 - k$ is an integer, this says that $n^3 - n$ is even.

Case 2: *n* is odd. Then n = 2k + 1 for some integer *k*. This gives

$$n^{3} - n = (2k + 1)^{3} - (2k + 1)$$

= $8k^{3} + 6k^{2} + 6k + 1 - 2k - 1$
= $2(4k^{3} + 3k^{2} + 2k)$,

and since $4k^3 + 3k^2 + 2k$ is an integer, we see that $n^3 - n$ is even again.

Since $n^3 - n$ is even in both exhaustive cases, we see that $n^3 - n$ is indeed always even. QED

Exercises

- **1.** Consider the statement "for all integers *a* and *b*, if a + b is even, then *a* and *b* are even"
- (a) Write the contrapositive of the statement.
- (b) Write the converse of the statement.
- (c) Write the negation of the statement.
- (d) Is the original statement true or false? Prove your answer.
- (e) Is the contrapositive of the original statement true or false? Prove your answer.
- (f) Is the converse of the original statement true or false? Prove your answer.
- (g) Is the negation of the original statement true or false? Prove your answer.

2. Consider the statement: for all integers *n*, if *n* is even then 8*n* is even.

- (a) Prove the statement. What sort of proof are you using?
- (b) Is the converse true? Prove or disprove.

3. Your "friend" has shown you a "proof" he wrote to show that 1 = 3. Here is the proof:

Proof. I claim that 1 = 3. Of course we can do anything to one side of an equation as long as we also do it to the other side. So subtract 2 from both sides. This gives -1 = 1. Now square both sides, to get 1 = 1. And we all agree this is true.

What is going on here? Is your friend's argument valid? Is the argument a proof of the claim 1 = 3? Carefully explain using what we know about logic. Hint: What implication follows from the given proof?

4. Suppose you have a collection of 5-cent stamps and 8-cent stamps. We saw earlier that it is possible to make any amount of postage greater than 27 cents using combinations of both these types of stamps. But, let's ask some other questions:

- (a) What amounts of postage can you make if you only use an even number of both types of stamps? Prove your answer.
- (b) Suppose you made an even amount of postage. Prove that you used an even number of at least one of the types of stamps.
- (c) Suppose you made exactly 72 cents of postage. Prove that you used at least 6 of one type of stamp.

5. Suppose that you would like to prove the following implication:

For all numbers *n*, if *n* is prime then *n* is solitary.

Write out the beginning and end of the argument if you were to prove the statement,

- (a) Directly
- (b) By contrapositive
- (c) By contradiction

You do not need to provide details for the proofs (since you do not know what solitary means). However, make sure that you provide the first few and last few lines of the proofs so that we can see that logical structure you would follow.

6. Prove that $\sqrt{3}$ is irrational.

7. Consider the statement: for all integers *a* and *b*, if *a* is even and *b* is a multiple of 3, then *ab* is a multiple of 6.

- (a) Prove the statement. What sort of proof are you using?
- (b) State the converse. Is it true? Prove or disprove.

8. Prove the statement: For all integers *n*, if 5*n* is odd, then *n* is odd. Clearly state the style of proof you are using.

9. Prove the statement: For all integers *a*, *b*, and *c*, if $a^2 + b^2 = c^2$, then *a* or *b* is even.

10. Prove: x = y if and only if $xy = \frac{(x + y)^2}{4}$. Note, you will need to prove two "directions" here: the "if" and the "only if" part.

11. The game TENZI comes with 40 six-sided dice (each numbered 1 to 6). Suppose you roll all 40 dice.

- (a) Prove that there will be at least seven dice that land on the same number.
- (b) How many dice would you have to roll before you were guaranteed that some four of them would all match or all be different? Prove your answer.
- **12.** Prove that log(7) is irrational.
- **13.** Prove that there are no integer solutions to the equation $x^2 = 4y + 3$.
- 14. Prove that every prime number greater than 3 is either one more or one less than a multiple of 6.

Hint. Prove the contrapositive by cases.

15. For each of the statements below, say what method of proof you should use to prove them. Then say how the proof starts and how it ends. Bonus points for filling in the middle.

- (a) There are no integers x and y such that x is a prime greater than 5 and x = 6y + 3.
- (b) For all integers *n*, if *n* is a multiple of 3, then *n* can be written as the sum of consecutive integers.
- (c) For all integers *a* and *b*, if $a^2 + b^2$ is odd, then *a* or *b* is odd.

16. A standard deck of 52 cards consists of 4 suites (hearts, diamonds, spades and clubs) each containing 13 different values (Ace, 2, 3, . . . , 10, J, Q, K). If you draw some number of cards at random you might or might not have a pair (two cards with the same value) or three cards all of the same suit. However, if you draw enough cards, you will be guaranteed to have these. For each of the following, find the smallest number of cards you would need to draw to be guaranteed having the specified cards. Prove your answers.

- (a) Three of a kind (for example, three 7's).
- (b) A flush of five cards (for example, five hearts).
- (c) Three cards that are either all the same suit or all different suits.

17. Suppose you are at a party with 19 of your closest friends (so including you, there are 20 people there). Explain why there must be least two people at the party who are friends with the same number of people at the party. Assume friendship is always reciprocated.
18. Your friend has given you his list of 115 best Doctor Who episodes (in order of greatness). It turns out that you have seen 60 of them. Prove that there are at least two episodes you have seen that are exactly four episodes apart.

19. Suppose you have an $n \times n$ chessboard but your dog has eaten one of the corner squares. Can you still cover the remaining squares with dominoes? What needs to be true about *n*? Give necessary and sufficient conditions (that is, say exactly which values of *n* work and which do not work). Prove your answers.

I				
I				
I				
I				
I				
I				
I				
l				

20. What if your $n \times n$ chessboard is missing two opposite corners? Prove that no matter what *n* is, you will not be able to cover the remaining squares with dominoes.

ſ				
I				
I				
I				
I				
I				
l				
E				

3.3 CHAPTER SUMMARY

We have considered logic both as its own sub-discipline of mathematics, and as a means to help us better understand and write proofs. In either view, we noticed that mathematical statements have a particular logical form, and analyzing that form can help make sense of the statement.

At the most basic level, a statement might combine simpler statements using *logical connectives*. We often make use of variables, and *quantify* over those variables. How to resolve the truth or falsity of a statement based on these connectives and quantifiers is what logic is all about. From this, we can decide whether two statements are logically equivalent or if one or more statements (logically) imply another.

When writing proofs (in any area of mathematics) our goal is to explain why a mathematical statement is true. Thus it is vital that our argument implies the truth of the statement. To be sure of this, we first must know what it means for the statement to be true, as well as ensure that the statements that make up the proof correctly imply the conclusion. A firm understanding of logic is required to check whether a proof is correct.

There is, however, another reason that understanding logic can be helpful. Understanding the logical structure of a statement often gives clues as how to write a proof of the statement.

This is not to say that writing proofs is always straight forward. Consider again the *Goldbach conjecture*:

Every even number greater than 2 can be written as the sum of two primes.

We are not going to try to prove the statement here, but we can at least say what a proof might look like, based on the logical form of the statement. Perhaps we should write the statement in an equivalent way which better highlights the quantifiers and connectives:

For all integers *n*, if *n* is even and greater than 2, then there exists integers *p* and *q* such that *p* and *q* are prime and n = p + q.

What would a direct proof look like? Since the statement starts with a universal quantifier, we would start by, "Let *n* be an arbitrary integer." The rest of the statement is an implication. In a direct proof we assume the "if" part, so the next line would be, "Assume *n* is greater than 2 and is even." I have no idea what comes next, but eventually, we would need to find two prime numbers *p* and *q* (depending on *n*) and explain how we know that n = p + q.

Or maybe we try a proof by contradiction. To do this, we first assume the negation of the statement we want to prove. What is the negation? From what we have studied we should be able to see that it is,

There is an integer *n* such that *n* is even and greater than 2, but for all integers *p* and *q*, either *p* or *q* is not prime or $n \neq p + q$.

Could this statement be true? A proof by contradiction would start by assuming it was and eventually conclude with a contradiction, proving that our assumption of truth was incorrect. And if you can find such a contradiction, you will have proved the most famous open problem in mathematics. Good luck.

CHAPTER REVIEW

1. Complete a truth table for the statement $\neg P \rightarrow (Q \land R)$.

2. Suppose you know that the statement "if Peter is not tall, then Quincy is fat and Robert is skinny" is false. What, if anything, can you conclude about Peter and Robert if you know that Quincy is indeed fat? Explain (you may reference problem 3.3.1).

3. Are the statements $P \rightarrow (Q \lor R)$ and $(P \rightarrow Q) \lor (P \rightarrow R)$ logically equivalent? Explain your answer.

4. Is the following a valid deduction rule? Explain.

$$\begin{array}{c} P \to Q \\ P \to R \\ \hline \vdots \quad P \to (Q \land R). \end{array}$$

- 5. Write the negation, converse and contrapositive for each of the statements below.
- (a) If the power goes off, then the food will spoil.
- (b) If the door is closed, then the light is off.
- (c) $\forall x(x < 1 \rightarrow x^2 < 1)$.
- (d) For all natural numbers *n*, if *n* is prime, then *n* is solitary.
- (e) For all functions *f*, if *f* is differentiable, then *f* is continuous.
- (f) For all integers *a* and *b*, if $a \cdot b$ is even, then *a* and *b* are even.
- (g) For every integer x and every integer y there is an integer n such that if x > 0 then nx > y.
- (h) For all real numbers x and y, if xy = 0 then x = 0 or y = 0.
- (i) For every student in Math 228, if they do not understand implications, then they will fail the exam.

6. Consider the statement: for all integers *n*, if *n* is even and $n \le 7$ then *n* is negative or $n \in \{0, 2, 4, 6\}$.

- (a) Is the statement true? Explain why.
- (b) Write the negation of the statement. Is it true? Explain.
- (c) State the contrapositive of the statement. Is it true? Explain.
- (d) State the converse of the statement. Is it true? Explain.

7. Consider the statement: $\forall x (\forall y (x + y = y) \rightarrow \forall z (x \cdot z = 0)).$

- (a) Explain what the statement says in words. Is this statement true? Be sure to state what you are taking the universe of discourse to be.
- (b) Write the converse of the statement, both in words and in symbols. Is the converse true?
- (c) Write the contrapositive of the statement, both in words and in symbols. Is the contrapositive true?
- (d) Write the negation of the statement, both in words and in symbols. Is the negation true?

8. Write each of the following statements in the form, "if ..., then" Careful, some of the statements might be false (which is alright for the purposes of this question).

- (a) To lose weight, you must exercise.
- (b) To lose weight, all you need to do is exercise.
- (c) Every American is patriotic.
- (d) You are patriotic only if you are American.
- (e) The set of rational numbers is a subset of the real numbers.
- (f) A number is prime if it is not even.
- (g) Either the Broncos will win the Super Bowl, or they won't play in the Super Bowl.

9. Simplify the following.

- (a) $\neg(\neg(P \land \neg Q) \rightarrow \neg(\neg R \lor \neg(P \rightarrow R))).$
- (b) $\neg \exists x \neg \forall y \neg \exists z (z = x + y \rightarrow \exists w (x y = w)).$

10. Consider the statement: for all integers *n*, if *n* is odd, then 7*n* is odd.

- (a) Prove the statement. What sort of proof are you using?
- (b) Prove the converse. What sort of proof are you using?

11. Suppose you break your piggy bank and scoop up a handful of 22 coins (pennies, nickels, dimes and quarters).

- (a) Prove that you must have at least 6 coins of a single denomination.
- (b) Suppose you have an odd number of pennies. Prove that you must have an odd number of at least one of the other types of coins.
- (c) How many coins would you need to scoop up to be sure that you either had 4 coins that were all the same or 4 coins that were all different? Prove your answer.
- 12. You come across four trolls playing bridge. They declare:
 - Troll 1: All trolls here see at least one knave.
 - Troll 2: I see at least one troll that sees only knaves.
 - Troll 3: Some trolls are scared of goats.
 - Troll 4: All trolls are scared of goats.

Are there any trolls that are not scared of goats? Recall, of course, that all trolls are either knights (who always tell the truth) or knaves (who always lie).

Graph Theory

Investigate!

In the time of Euler, in the town of Königsberg in Prussia, there was a river containing two islands. The islands were connected to the banks of the river by seven bridges (as seen below). The bridges were very beautiful, and on their days off, townspeople would spend time walking over the bridges. As time passed, a question arose: was it possible to plan a walk so that you cross each bridge once and only once? Euler was able to answer this question. Are you?



Graph Theory is a relatively new area of mathematics, first studied by the super famous mathematician Leonhard Euler in 1735. Since then it has blossomed in to a powerful tool used in nearly every branch of science and is currently an active area of mathematics research.

The problem above, known as the *Seven Bridges of Königsberg*, is the problem that originally inspired graph theory. Consider a "different" problem: Below is a drawing of four dots connected by some lines. Is it possible to trace over each line once and only once (without lifting up your pencil, starting and ending on a dot)?



There is an obvious connection between these two problems. Any path in the dot and line drawing corresponds exactly to a path over the bridges of Königsberg.

Pictures like the dot and line drawing are called **graphs**. Graphs are made up of a collection of dots called **vertices** and lines connecting those dots called **edges**. When two vertices are connected by an edge, we say they are **adjacent**. The nice thing about looking at graphs instead of pictures of rivers, islands and bridges is that we now have a mathematical object to study. We have distilled the "important" parts of the bridge picture for the purposes of the problem. It does not matter how big the islands are, what the bridges are made out of, if the river contains alligators, etc. All that matters is which land masses are connected to which other land masses, and how many times. This was the great insight that Euler had.

We will return to the question of finding paths through graphs later. But first, here are a few other situations you can represent with graphs:

Example 4.0.1

Al, Bob, Cam, Dan, and Euclid are all members of the social networking website *Facebook*. The site allows members to be "friends" with each other. It turns out that Al and Cam are friends, as are Bob and Dan. Euclid is friends with everyone. Represent this situation with a graph.

Solution. Each person will be represented by a vertex and each friendship will be represented by an edge. That is, two vertices will be adjacent (there will be an edge between them) if and only if the people represented by those vertices are friends. We get the following graph:



Example 4.0.2

Each of three houses must be connected to each of three utilities. Is it possible to do this without any of the utility lines crossing?

Solution. We will answer this question later. For now, notice how we would ask this question in the context of graph theory. We are really asking whether it is possible to redraw the graph below without any edges crossing (except at vertices). Think of the top row as the houses, bottom row as the utilities.



4.1 **Definitions**





Actually, all the graphs we have seen above are just *drawings* of graphs. A graph is really an abstract mathematical object consisting of two sets V and E where E is a set of 2-element subsets of V. Are the graphs below the same or different?

Graph 1: $V = \{a, b, c, d, e\},\$ $E = \{\{a, b\}, \{a, c\}, \{a, d\}, \{a, e\}, \{b, c\}, \{d, e\}\}.$ Graph 2: $V = \{v_1, v_2, v_3, v_4, v_5\},\$ $E = \{\{v_1, v_3\}, \{v_1, v_5\}, \{v_2, v_4\}, \{v_2, v_5\}, \{v_3, v_5\}, \{v_4, v_5\}\}.$

Attempt the above activity before proceeding

Before we start studying graphs, we need to agree upon what a graph is. While we almost always think of graphs as pictures (dots connected by lines) this is fairly ambiguous. Do the lines need to be straight? Does it matter how long the lines are or how large the dots are? Can there be two lines connecting the same pair of dots? Can one line connect three dots?

The way we avoid ambiguities in mathematics is to provide concrete and rigorous *definitions*. Crafting good definitions is not easy, but it is incredibly important. The definition is the agreed upon starting point from which all truths in mathematics proceed. Is there a graph with no edges? We have to look at the definition to see if this is possible.

We want our definition to be precise and unambiguous, but it also must agree with our intuition for the objects we are studying. It needs to be useful: we *could* define a graph to be a six legged mammal, but that would not let us solve any problems about bridges. Instead, here is the (now) standard definition of a graph.

Graph Definition

A **graph** is an ordered pair G = (V, E) consisting of a nonempty set *V* (called the **vertices**) and a set *E* (called the **edges**) of two-element subsets of *V*.

Strange. Nowhere in the definition is there talk of dots or lines. From the definition, a graph could be

$$(\{a, b, c, d\}, \{\{a, b\}, \{a, c\}, \{b, c\}, \{b, d\}, \{c, d\}\}).$$

Here we have a graph with four vertices (the letters a, b, c, d) and four edges (the pairs $\{a, b\}, \{a, c\}, \{b, c\}, \{b, d\}, \{c, d\}$)).

Looking at sets and sets of 2-element sets is difficult to process. That is why we often draw a representation of these sets. We put a dot down for each vertex, and connect two dots with a line precisely when those two vertices are one of the 2-element subsets in our set of edges. Thus one way to draw the graph described above is this:



However we could also have drawn the graph differently. For example either of these:



We should be careful about what it means for two graphs to be "the same." Actually, given our definition, this is easy: Are the vertex sets equal? Are the edge sets equal? We know what it means for sets to be equal, and graphs are nothing but a pair of two special sorts of sets.

Example 4.1.1

Are the graphs below equal?

$$G_1 = (\{a, b, c\}, \{\{a, b\}, \{b, c\}\});$$
 $G_2 = (\{a, b, c\}, \{\{a, c\}, \{c, b\}\})$

equal?

Solution. No. Here the vertex sets of each graph are equal, which is a good start. Also, both graphs have two edges. In the first graph, we have edges $\{a, b\}$ and $\{b, c\}$, while in the second graph we have edges $\{a, c\}$ and $\{c, b\}$. Now we do have $\{b, c\} = \{c, b\}$, so that is not the problem. The issue is that $\{a, b\} \neq \{a, c\}$. Since the edge sets of the two graphs are not equal (as sets), the graphs are not equal (as graphs).

Even if two graphs are not *equal*, they might be *basically* the same. The graphs in the previous example could be drawn like this:



Graphs that are basically the same (but perhaps not equal) are called **isomorphic**. We will give a precise definition of this term after a quick example:

Example 4.1.2

Consider the graphs:

 $G_1 = \{V_1, E_1\}$ where $V_1 = \{a, b, c\}$ and $E_1 = \{\{a, b\}, \{a, c\}, \{b, c\}\};$ $G_2 = \{V_2, E_2\}$ where $V_2 = \{u, v, w\}$ and $E_2 = \{\{u, v\}, \{u, w\}, \{v, w\}\}.$

Are these graphs the same?

Solution. The two graphs are NOT equal. It is enough to notice that $V_1 \neq V_2$ since $a \in V_1$ but $a \notin V_2$. However, both of these graphs consist of three vertices with edges connecting every pair of vertices. We can draw them as follows:



Clearly we want to say these graphs are basically the same, so while they are not equal, they will be *isomorphic*. The reason is we can rename the vertices of one graph and get the second graph as the result.

Intuitively, graphs are **isomorphic** if they are basically the same, or better yet, if they are the same except for the names of the vertices. To make the concept of renaming vertices precise, we give the following definitions:

Isomorphic Graphs

An **isomorphism** between two graphs G_1 and G_2 is a bijection $f : V_1 \rightarrow V_2$ between the vertices of the graphs such that if $\{a, b\}$ is an edge in G_1 then $\{f(a), f(b)\}$ is an edge in G_2 .

Two graphs are **isomorphic** if there is an isomorphism between them. In this case we write $G_1 \cong G_2$.

An isomorphism is simply a function which renames the vertices. It must be a bijection so every vertex gets a new name. These newly named vertices must be connected by edges precisely if they were connected by edges with their old names.

Example 4.1.3

Decide whether the graphs $G_1 = \{V_1, E_1\}$ and $G_2 = \{V_2, E_2\}$ are equal or isomorphic. $V_1 = \{a, b, c, d\}, E_1 = \{\{a, b\}, \{a, c\}, \{a, d\}, \{c, d\}\}$ $V_2 = \{a, b, c, d\}, E_2 = \{\{a, b\}, \{a, c\}, \{b, c\}, \{c, d\}\}$

Solution. The graphs are NOT equal, since $\{a, d\} \in E_1$ but $\{a, d\} \notin E_2$. However, since both graphs contain the same number of vertices and same number of edges, they *might* be isomorphic (this is not enough in most cases, but it is a good start).

We can try to build an isomorphism. How about we say f(a) = b, f(b) = c, f(c) = d and f(d) = a. This is definitely a bijection, but to make sure that the function is an isomorphism, we must make sure it *respects the edge relation*. In G_1 , vertices a and b are connected by an edge. In G_2 , f(a) = b and f(b) = c are connected by an edge. So far, so good, but we must check the other three edges. The edge $\{a, c\}$ in G_1 corresponds to $\{f(a), f(c)\} = \{b, d\}$, but here we have a problem. There is no edge between b and d in G_2 . Thus f is NOT an isomorphism.

Not all hope is lost, however. Just because f is not an isomorphism does not mean that there is no isomorphism at all. We can try again. At this point it might be helpful to draw the graphs to see how they should match up.



Alternatively, notice that in G_1 , the vertex *a* is adjacent to every other vertex. In G_2 , there is also a vertex with this property: *c*. So build the bijection $g : V_1 \rightarrow V_2$ by defining g(a) = c to start with. Next, where should we send *b*? In G_1 , the vertex *b* is only adjacent to vertex *a*. There is exactly one vertex like this in G_2 , namely *d*. So let g(b) = d. As for the last two, in this example, we have a free choice: let g(c) = b and g(d) = a (switching these would be fine as well).

We should check that this really is an isomorphism. It is definitely a bijection. We must make sure that the edges are respected. The four edges in G_1 are

$$\{a,b\},\{a,c\},\{a,d\},\{c,d\}$$

Under the proposed isomorphism these become

$$\{g(a), g(b)\}, \{g(a), g(c)\}, \{g(a), g(d)\}, \{g(c), g(d)\}$$

$$\{c,d\},\{c,b\},\{c,a\},\{b,a\}$$

which are precisely the edges in G_2 . Thus *g* is an isomorphism, so $G_1 \cong G_2$

Sometimes we will talk about a graph with a special name (like K_n or the *Peterson graph*) or perhaps draw a graph without any labels. In this case we are really referring to *all* graphs isomorphic to any copy of that particular graph. A collection of isomorphic graphs is often called an **isomorphism class**.¹

There are other relationships between graphs that we care about, other than equality and being isomorphic. For example, compare the following pair of graphs:

¹This is not unlike geometry, where we might have more than one copy of a particular triangle. There instead of *isomorphic* we say *congruent*.



These are definitely not isomorphic, but notice that the graph on the right looks like it might be part of the graph on the left, especially if we draw it like this:



We would like to say that the smaller graph is a *subgraph* of the larger.

We should give a careful definition of this. In fact, there are two reasonable notions for what a subgroup should mean.

Subgraphs

We say that $G_1 = (V_1, E_1)$ is a **subgraph** of $G_2 = (V_2, E_2)$ provided $V_1 \subseteq V_2$ and $E_1 \subseteq E_2$. We say that $G_1 = (V_1, E_1)$ is an **induced subgraph** of $G_2 = (V_2, E_2)$ provided $V_1 \subseteq V_2$ and E_1 contains all edges of E_2 which are subsets of V_1 .

Notice that every induced subgraph is also an ordinary subgraph, but not conversely. Think of a subgraph as the result of deleting some vertices and edges from the larger graph. For the subgraph to be an induced subgraph, we can still delete vertices, but now we only delete those edges that included the deleted vertices.





Here both G_2 and G_3 are subgraphs of G_1 . But only G_2 is an *induced* subgraph. Every edge in G_1 that connects vertices in G_2 is also an edge in G_2 . In G_3 , the edge $\{a, b\}$ is in E_1 but not E_3 , even though vertices a and b are in V_3 .

The graph G_4 is NOT a subgraph of G_1 , even though it looks like all we did is remove vertex e. The reason is that in E_4 we have the edge $\{c, f\}$ but this is not an element of E_1 , so we don't have the required $E_4 \subseteq E_1$.

Back to some basic graph theory definitions. Notice that all the graphs we have drawn above have the property that no pair of vertices is connected more than once, and no vertex is connected to itself. Graphs like these are sometimes called **simple**, although we will just call them *graphs*. This is because our definition for a graph says that the edges form a set of 2-element subsets of the vertices. Remember that it doesn't make sense to say a set contains an element more than once. So no pair of vertices can be connected by an

edge more than once. Also, since each edge must be a set containing two vertices, we cannot have a single vertex connected to itself by an edge.

That said, there are times we want to consider double (or more) edges and single edge loops. For example, the "graph" we drew for the Bridges of Königsberg problem had double edges because there really are two bridges connecting a particular island to the near shore. We will call these objects **multigraphs**. This is a good name: a *multiset* is a set in which we are allowed to include a single element multiple times.

The graphs above are also **connected**: you can get from any vertex to any other vertex by following some path of edges. A graph that is not connected can be thought of as two separate graphs drawn close together. For example, the following graph is NOT connected because there is no path from *a* to *b*:



Most of the time, it makes sense to treat non-connected graphs as separate graphs (think of the above graph as two squares), so unless otherwise stated, we will assume all our graphs are connected.

Vertices in a graph do not always have edges between them. If we add all possible edges, then the resulting graph is called **complete**. That is, a graph is complete if every pair of vertices is connected by an edge. Since a graph is determined completely by which vertices are adjacent to which other vertices, there is only one complete graph with a given number of vertices. We give these a special name: K_n is the complete graph on *n* vertices.

Each vertex in K_n is adjacent to n - 1 other vertices. We call the number of edges emanating from a given vertex the **degree** of that vertex. So every vertex in K_n has degree n - 1. How many edges does K_n have? One might think the answer should be n(n - 1), since we count n - 1 edges n times (once for each vertex). However, each edge is incident to 2 vertices, so we counted every edge exactly twice. Thus there are n(n - 1)/2 edges in K_n . Alternatively, we can say there are $\binom{n}{2}$ edges, since to draw an edge we must choose 2 of the n vertices.

In general, if we know the degrees of all the vertices in a graph, we can find the number of edges. The sum of the degrees of all vertices will always be *twice* the number of edges, since each edge adds to the degree of two vertices. Notice this means that the sum of the degrees of all vertices in any graph must be even!

Example 4.1.5

At a recent math seminar, 9 mathematicians greeted each other by shaking hands. Is it possible that each mathematician shook hands with exactly 7 people at the seminar?

Solution. It seems like this should be possible. Each mathematician chooses one person to not shake hands with. But this cannot happen. We are asking whether a graph with 9 vertices can have each vertex have degree 7. If such a graph existed, the sum of the degrees of the vertices would be $9 \cdot 7 = 63$. This would be twice the number of edges (handshakes) resulting in a graph with 31.5 edges. That is impossible. Thus at least one (in fact an odd number) of the mathematicians must have shaken hands with an *even* number of people at the seminar.

One final definition: we say a graph is **bipartite** if the vertices can be divided into two sets, *A* and *B*, with no two vertices in *A* adjacent and no two vertices in *B* adjacent. The vertices in *A* can be adjacent to some or all of the vertices in *B*. If each vertex in *A* is adjacent to all the vertices in *B*, then the graph is a **complete bipartite graph**, and gets a special name: $K_{m,n}$, where |A| = m and |B| = n. The graph in the houses and utilities puzzle is $K_{3,3}$.

Named Graphs

Some graphs are used more than others, and get special names.

 K_n The complete graph on *n* vertices.



There are a lot of definitions to keep track of in graph theory. Here is a glossary of the terms we have already used and will soon encounter.

Graph Theory Definitions

Graph

A collection of **vertices**, some of which are connected by **edges**. More precisely, a pair of sets *V* and *E* where *V* is a set of vertices and *E* is a set of 2-element subsets of *V*.

Adjacent

Two vertices are **adjacent** if they are connected by an edge. Two edges are **adjacent** if they share a vertex.

Bipartite graph

A graph for which it is possible to divide the vertices into two disjoint sets such that there are no edges between any two vertices in the same set.

Complete bipartite graph

A bipartite graph for which every vertex in the first set is adjacent to every vertex in the second set.

Complete graph

A graph in which every pair of vertices is adjacent.

Connected

A graph is **connected** if there is a path from any vertex to any other vertex.

Chromatic number

The minimum number of colors required in a proper vertex coloring of the graph.

Cycle

A path (see below) that starts and stops at the same vertex, but contains no other repeated vertices.

Degree of a vertex

The number of edges incident to a vertex.

Euler path

A walk which uses each edge exactly once.

Euler circuit

An Euler path which starts and stops at the same vertex.

Multigraph

A **multigraph** is just like a graph but can contain multiple edges between two vertices as well as single edge loops (that is an edge from a vertex to itself).

Planar

A graph which can be drawn (in the plane) without any edges crossing.

Subgraph

We say that H is a **subgraph** of G if every vertex and edge of H is also a vertex or edge of G. We say H is an **induced** subgraph of G if every vertex of H is a vertex of G and each pair of vertices in H are adjacent in H if and only if they are adjacent in G.

Tree A (connected) graph with no cycles. (A non-connected graph with no cycles is called a **forest**.) The vertices in a tree with degree 1 are called **leaves**.

Vertex coloring

An assignment of colors to each of the vertices of a graph. A vertex coloring is **proper** if adjacent vertices are always colored differently.

Walk A sequence of vertices such that consecutive vertices (in the sequence) are adjacent (in the graph). A walk in which no vertex is repeated is called **simple**.

Exercises

1. If 10 people each shake hands with each other, how many handshakes took place? What does this question have to do with graph theory?

2. Among a group of 5 people, is it possible for everyone to be friends with exactly 2 of the people in the group? What about 3 of the people in the group?

3. Is it possible for two *different* (non-isomorphic) graphs to have the same number of vertices and the same number of edges? What if the degrees of the vertices in the two graphs are the same (so both graphs have vertices with degrees 1, 2, 2, 3, and 4, for example)? Draw two such graphs or explain why not.

4. Are the two graphs below equal? Are they isomorphic? If they are isomorphic, give the isomorphism. If not, explain.

Graph 1: $V = \{a, b, c, d, e\}, E = \{\{a, b\}, \{a, c\}, \{a, e\}, \{b, d\}, \{b, e\}, \{c, d\}\}.$

$$e$$
 d b d c

Graph 2:

5. Consider the following two graphs:

- $\begin{aligned} G_1 & V_1 = \{a, b, c, d, e, f, g\} \\ E_1 = \{\{a, b\}, \{a, d\}, \{b, c\}, \{b, d\}, \{b, e\}, \{b, f\}, \{c, g\}, \{d, e\}, \\ \{e, f\}, \{f, g\}\}. \end{aligned}$
- $G_2 \qquad V_2 = \{v_1, v_2, v_3, v_4, v_5, v_6, v_7\}, \\ E_2 = \{\{v_1, v_4\}, \{v_1, v_5\}, \{v_1, v_7\}, \{v_2, v_3\}, \{v_2, v_6\}, \\ \{v_3, v_5\}, \{v_3, v_7\}, \{v_4, v_5\}, \{v_5, v_6\}, \{v_5, v_7\}\} \end{cases}$
- (a) Let $f : G_1 \to G_2$ be a function that takes the vertices of Graph 1 to vertices of Graph 2. The function is given by the following table:

Does *f* define an isomorphism between Graph 1 and Graph 2? Explain.

- (b) Define a new function g (with $g \neq f$) that defines an isomorphism between Graph 1 and Graph 2.
- (c) Is the graph pictured below isomorphic to Graph 1 and Graph 2? Explain.



6. Which of the graphs below are bipartite? Justify your answers.



7. For which $n \ge 3$ is the graph C_n bipartite?

8. For each of the following, try to give two different unlabeled graphs with the given properties, or explain why doing so is impossible.

- (a) Two different trees with the same number of vertices and the same number of edges. A tree is a connected graph with no cycles.
- (b) Two different graphs with 8 vertices all of degree 2.
- (c) Two different graphs with 5 vertices all of degree 4.
- (d) Two different graphs with 5 vertices all of degree 3.

4.2 PLANAR GRAPHS

• Investigate!

When a connected graph can be drawn without any edges crossing, it is called **planar**. When a planar graph is drawn in this way, it divides the plane into regions called **faces**.

- 1. Draw, if possible, two different planar graphs with the same number of vertices, edges, and faces.
- 2. Draw, if possible, two different planar graphs with the same number of vertices and edges, but a different number of faces.



Attempt the above activity before proceeding

When is it possible to draw a graph so that none of the edges cross? If this *is* possible, we say the graph is **planar** (since you can draw it on the *plane*).

Notice that the definition of planar includes the phrase "it is possible to." This means that even if a graph does not look like it is planar, it still might be. Perhaps you can redraw it in a way in which no edges cross. For example, this is a planar graph:



That is because we can redraw it like this:



The graphs are the same, so if one is planar, the other must be too. However, the original drawing of the graph was not a **planar representation** of the graph.

When a planar graph is drawn without edges crossing, the edges and vertices of the graph divide the plane into regions. We will call each region a **face**. The graph above has 3 faces (yes, we do include the "outside" region as a face). The number of faces does not change no matter how you draw the graph (as long as you do so without the edges crossing), so it makes sense to ascribe the number of faces as a property of the planar graph.

A warning: you can only count faces when the graph is drawn in a planar way. For example, consider these two representations of the same graph:



If you try to count faces using the graph on the left, you might say there are 5 faces (including the outside). But drawing the graph with a planar representation shows that in fact there are only 4 faces.

There is a connection between the number of vertices (v), the number of edges (e) and the number of faces (f) in any connected planar graph. This relationship is called Euler's formula.

Euler's Formula for Planar Graphs

For any (connected) planar graph with v vertices, e edges and f faces, we have

$$v - e + f = 2$$

Why is Euler's formula true? One way to convince yourself of its validity is to draw a planar graph step by step. Start with the graph P_2 :



Any connected graph (besides just a single isolated vertex) must contain this subgraph. Now build up to your graph by adding edges and vertices. Each step will consist of either adding a new vertex connected

by a new edge to part of your graph (so creating a new "spike") or by connecting two vertices already in the graph with a new edge (completing a circuit).



What do these "moves" do? When adding the spike, the number of edges increases by 1, the number of vertices increases by one, and the number of faces remains the same. But this means that v - e + f does not change. Completing a circuit adds one edge, adds one face, and keeps the number of vertices the same. So again, v - e + f does not change.

Since we can build any graph using a combination of these two moves, and doing so never changes the quantity v - e + f, that quantity will be the same for all graphs. But notice that our starting graph P_2 has v = 2, e = 1 and f = 1, so v - e + f = 2. This argument is essentially a proof by induction. A good exercise would be to rewrite it as a formal induction proof.

Non-planar Graphs

- Investigate!

For the complete graphs K_n , we would like to be able to say something about the number of vertices, edges, and (if the graph is planar) faces. Let's first consider K_3 :

- 1. How many vertices does K_3 have? How many edges?
- 2. If K_3 is planar, how many faces should it have?

Repeat parts (1) and (2) for K_4 , K_5 , and K_{23} .

What about complete bipartite graphs? How many vertices, edges, and faces (if it were planar) does $K_{7,4}$ have? For which values of *m* and *n* are K_n and $K_{m,n}$ planar?

Attempt the above activity before proceeding

Not all graphs are planar. If there are too many edges and too few vertices, then some of the edges will need to intersect. The first time this happens is in K_5 .



If you try to redraw this without edges crossing, you quickly get into trouble. There seems to be one edge too many. In fact, we can prove that no matter how you draw it, *K*₅ will always have edges crossing.

Theorem 4.2.1. K₅ is not planar.

Proof. The proof is by contradiction. So assume that K_5 is planar. Then the graph must satisfy Euler's formula for planar graphs. K_5 has 5 vertices and 10 edges, so we get

$$5 - 10 + f = 2$$

which says that if the graph is drawn without any edges crossing, there would be f = 7 faces.

Now consider how many edges surround each face. Each face must be surrounded by at least 3 edges. Let *B* be the total number of *boundaries* around all the faces in the graph. Thus we have that $B \ge 3f$. But also B = 2e, since each edge is used as a boundary exactly twice. Putting this together we get

$$3f \leq 2e$$

But this is impossible, since we have already determined that f = 7 and e = 10, and $21 \nleq 20$. This is a contradiction so in fact K_5 is not planar. QED

The other simplest graph which is not planar is $K_{3,3}$



Proving that $K_{3,3}$ is not planar answers the houses and utilities puzzle: it is not possible to connect each of three houses to each of three utilities without the lines crossing.

Theorem 4.2.2. *K*_{3,3} *is not planar.*

Proof. Again, we proceed by contradiction. Suppose $K_{3,3}$ were planar. Then by Euler's formula there will be 5 faces, since v = 6, e = 9, and 6 - 9 + f = 2.

How many boundaries surround these 5 faces? Let *B* be this number. Since each edge is used as a boundary twice, we have B = 2e. Also, $B \ge 4f$ since each face is surrounded by 4 or more boundaries. We know this is true because $K_{3,3}$ is bipartite, so does not contain any 3-edge cycles. Thus

$$4f \leq 2e$$
.

But this would say that $20 \le 18$, which is clearly false. Thus $K_{3,3}$ is not planar. QED

Note the similarities and differences in these proofs. Both are proofs by contradiction, and both start with using Euler's formula to derive the (supposed) number of faces in the graph. Then we find a relationship between the number of faces and the number of edges based on how many edges surround each face. This is the only difference. In the proof for K_5 , we got $3f \le 2e$ and for $K_{3,3}$ we go $4f \le 2e$. The coefficient of f is the key. It is the smallest number of edges which could surround any face. If some number of edges surround a face, then these edges form a cycle. So that number is the size of the smallest cycle in the graph.

In general, if we let *g* be the size of the smallest cycle in a graph (*g* stands for *girth*, which is the technical term for this) then for any planar graph we have $gf \le 2e$. When this disagrees with Euler's formula, we know for sure that the graph cannot be planar.

Polyhedra

Investigate!

A cube is an example of a convex polyhedron. It contains 6 identical squares for its faces, 8 vertices, and 12 edges. The cube is a **regular polyhedron** (also known as a **Platonic solid**) because each face is an identical regular polygon and each vertex joins an equal number of faces.

There are exactly four other regular polyhedra: the tetrahedron, octahedron, dodecahedron, and icosahedron with 4, 8, 12 and 20 faces respectively. How many vertices and edges do each of these have?

Attempt the above activity before proceeding

Another area of mathematics where you might have heard the terms "vertex," "edge," and "face" is geometry. A **polyhedron** is a geometric solid made up of flat polygonal faces joined at edges and vertices. We are especially interested in **convex** polyhedra, which means that any line segment connecting two points on the interior of the polyhedron must be entirely contained inside the polyhedron.²

Notice that since 8 - 12 + 6 = 2, the vertices, edges and faces of a cube satisfy Euler's formula for planar graphs. This is not a coincidence. We can represent a cube as a planar graph by projecting the vertices and edges onto the plane. One such projection looks like this:



In fact, *every* convex polyhedron can be projected onto the plane without edges crossing. Think of placing the polyhedron inside a sphere, with a light at the center of the sphere. The edges and vertices of the polyhedron cast a shadow onto the interior of the sphere. You can then cut a hole in the sphere in the middle of one of the projected faces and "stretch" the sphere to lay down flat on the plane. The face that was punctured becomes the "outside" face of the planar graph.

The point is, we can apply what we know about graphs (in particular planar graphs) to convex polyhedra. Since every convex polyhedron can be represented as a planar graph, we see that Euler's formula for planar graphs holds for all convex polyhedra as well. We also can apply the same sort of reasoning we use for graphs in other contexts to convex polyhedra. For example, we know that there is no convex polyhedron with 11 vertices all of degree 3, as this would make 33/2 edges.

Example 4.2.3

Is there a convex polyhedron consisting of three triangles and six pentagons? What about three triangles, six pentagons and five heptagons (7-sided polygons)?

Solution. How many edges would such polyhedra have? For the first proposed polyhedron, the triangles would contribute a total of 9 edges, and the pentagons would contribute 30. However, this counts each edge twice (as each edge borders exactly two faces), giving 39/2 edges, an impossibility. There is no such polyhedron.

The second polyhedron does not have this obstacle. The extra 35 edges contributed by the heptagons give a total of 74/2 = 37 edges. So far so good. Now how many vertices does this supposed polyhedron have? We can use Euler's formula. There are 14 faces, so we have v - 37 + 14 = 2 or equivalently v = 25. But now use the vertices to count the edges again. Each vertex must have degree *at least* three (that is, each vertex joins at least three faces since the interior angle of all the polygons must be less that 180°), so the sum of the degrees of vertices is at least 75. Since the sum of the degrees must be exactly twice the number of edges, this says that there are strictly more than 37 edges. Again, there is no such polyhedron.

To conclude this application of planar graphs, consider the regular polyhedra. Above we claimed there are only five. How do we know this is true? We can prove it using graph theory.

Theorem 4.2.4. *There are exactly five regular polyhedra.*

Proof. Recall that a regular polyhedron has all of its faces identical regular polygons, and that each vertex has the same degree. Consider the cases, broken up by what the regular polygon might be.

Case 1: Each face is a triangle. Let *f* be the number of faces. There are then 3f/2 edges. Using Euler's formula we have v - 3f/2 + f = 2 so v = 2 + f/2. Now each vertex has the same degree, say *k*. So the number of edges is also kv/2. Putting this together gives

$$e = \frac{3f}{2} = \frac{k(2+f/2)}{2}$$

²An alternative definition for convex is that the internal angle formed by any two faces must be less than 180 deg.

which says

$$k = \frac{6f}{4+f}$$

We need *k* and *f* to both be positive integers. Note that $\frac{6f}{4+f}$ is an increasing function for positive *f*, and has a horizontal asymptote at 6. Thus the only possible values for *k* are 3, 4, and 5. Each of these are possible. To get *k* = 3, we need *f* = 4 (this is the tetrahedron). For *k* = 4 we take *f* = 8 (the octahedron). For *k* = 5 take *f* = 20 (the icosahedron). Thus there are exactly three regular polyhedra with triangles for faces.

Case 2: Each face is a square. Now we have e = 4f/2 = 2f. Using Euler's formula we get v = 2 + f, and counting edges using the degree k of each vertex gives us

$$e = 2f = \frac{k(2+f)}{2}$$

Solving for *k* gives

$$k = \frac{4f}{2+f} = \frac{8f}{4+2f}$$

This is again an increasing function, but this time the horizontal asymptote is at k = 4, so the only possible value that k could take is 3. This produces 6 faces, and we have a cube. There is only one regular polyhedron with square faces.

Case 3: Each face is a pentagon. We perform the same calculation as above, this time getting e = 5f/2 so v = 2 + 3f/2. Then

$$e = \frac{5f}{2} = \frac{k(2+3f/2)}{2}$$
$$k = \frac{10f}{4+3f}$$

so

Now the horizontal asymptote is at $\frac{10}{3}$. This is less than 4, so we can only hope of making k = 3. We can do so by using 12 pentagons, getting the dodecahedron. This is the only regular polyhedron with pentagons as faces.

Case 4: Each face is an *n*-gon with $n \ge 6$. Following the same procedure as above, we deduce that

$$k = \frac{2nf}{4 + (n-2)f}$$

which will be increasing to a horizontal asymptote of $\frac{2n}{n-2}$. When n = 6, this asymptote is at k = 3. Any larger value of n will give an even smaller asymptote. Therefore no regular polyhedra exist with faces larger than pentagons.³ QED

Exercises

1. Is it possible for a planar graph to have 6 vertices, 10 edges and 5 faces? Explain.

2. The graph *G* has 6 vertices with degrees 2, 2, 3, 4, 4, 5. How many edges does *G* have? Could *G* be planar? If so, how many faces would it have. If not, explain.

3. I'm thinking of a polyhedron containing 12 faces. Seven are triangles and four are quadralaterals. The polyhedron has 11 vertices including those around the mystery face. How many sides does the last face have?

4. Consider some classic polyhedrons.

(a) An *octahedron* is a regular polyhedron made up of 8 equilateral triangles (it sort of looks like two pyramids with their bases glued together). Draw a planar graph representation of an octahedron. How many vertices, edges and faces does an octahedron (and your graph) have?

³Notice that you can tile the plane with hexagons. This is an infinite planar graph; each vertex has degree 3. These infinitely many hexagons correspond to the limit as $f \rightarrow \infty$ to make k = 3.

- (b) The traditional design of a soccer ball is in fact a (spherical projection of a) truncated icosahedron. This consists of 12 regular pentagons and 20 regular hexagons. No two pentagons are adjacent (so the edges of each pentagon are shared only by hexagons). How many vertices, edges, and faces does a truncated icosahedron have? Explain how you arrived at your answers. Bonus: draw the planar graph representation of the truncated icosahedron.
- (c) Your "friend" claims that he has constructed a convex polyhedron out of 2 triangles, 2 squares, 6 pentagons and 5 octagons. Prove that your friend is lying. Hint: each vertex of a convex polyhedron must border at least three faces.
- 5. Prove Euler's formula using induction on the number of edges in the graph.
- 6. Prove Euler's formula using induction on the number of *vertices* in the graph.

7. Euler's formula (v - e + f = 2) holds for all *connected* planar graphs. What if a graph is not connected? Suppose a planar graph has two components. What is the value of v - e + f now? What if it has k components?

8. Prove that the *Petersen graph* (below) is not planar.



Hint. What is the length of the shortest cycle? (This quantity is usually called the girth of the graph.)

9. Prove that any planar graph with *v* vertices and *e* edges satisfies $e \le 3v - 6$.

10. Prove that any planar graph must have a vertex of degree 5 or less.

4.3 Coloring

Investigate!

Mapmakers in the fictional land of Euleria have drawn the borders of the various dukedoms of the land. To make the map pretty, they wish to color each region. Adjacent regions must be colored differently, but it is perfectly fine to color two distant regions with the same color. What is the fewest colors the mapmakers can use and still accomplish this task?



Perhaps the most famous graph theory problem is how to color maps.

Given any map of countries, states, counties, etc., how many colors are needed to color each region on the map so that neighboring regions are colored differently?

Actual map makers usually use around seven colors. For one thing, they require watery regions to be a specific color, and with a lot of colors it is easier to find a permissible coloring. We want to know whether there is a smaller palette that will work for any map.

How is this related to graph theory? Well, if we place a vertex in the center of each region (say in the capital of each state) and then connect two vertices if their states share a border, we get a graph. Coloring regions on the map corresponds to coloring the vertices of the graph. Since neighboring regions cannot be colored the same, our graph cannot have vertices colored the same when those vertices are adjacent.

In general, given any graph *G*, a coloring of the vertices is called (not surprisingly) a *vertex coloring*. If the vertex coloring has the property that adjacent vertices are colored differently, then the coloring is called *proper*. Every graph has a proper vertex coloring. For example, you could color every vertex with a different color. But often you can do better. The smallest number of colors needed to get a proper vertex coloring is called the *chromatic number* of the graph, written $\chi(G)$.

Example 4.3.1

Find the chromatic number of the graphs below.



Solution. The graph on the left is K_6 . The only way to properly color the graph is to give every vertex a different color (since every vertex is adjacent to every other vertex). Thus the chromatic number is 6.

The middle graph can be properly colored with just 3 colors (Red, Blue, and Green). For example:



There is no way to color it with just two colors, since there are three vertices mutually adjacent (i.e., a triangle). Thus the chromatic number is 3.

The graph on the right is just $K_{2,3}$. As with all bipartite graphs, this graph has chromatic number 2: color the vertices on the top row red and the vertices on the bottom row blue.

It appears that there is no limit to how large chromatic numbers can get. It should not come as a surprise that K_n has chromatic number n. So how could there possibly be an answer to the original map coloring question? If the chromatic number of graph can be arbitrarily large, then it seems like there would be no upper bound to the number of colors needed for any map. But there is.

The key observation is that while it is true that for any number *n*, there is a graph with chromatic number *n*, only some graphs arrive as representations of maps. If you convert a map to a graph, the edges between vertices correspond to borders between the countries. So you should be able to connect vertices in such a way where the edges do not cross. In other words, the graphs representing maps are all *planar*!

So the question is, what is the largest chromatic number of any planar graph? The answer is the best known theorem of graph theory:

Theorem 4.3.2 (The Four Color Theorem). *If G is a planar graph, then the chromatic number of G is less than or equal to 4. Thus any map can be properly colored with 4 or fewer colors.*

We will not prove this theorem. Really. Even though the theorem is easy to state and understand, the proof is not. In fact, there is currently no "easy" known proof of the theorem. The current best proof still requires powerful computers to check an *unavoidable set* of 633 *reducible configurations*. The idea is that every graph must contain one of these reducible configurations (this fact also needs to be checked by a computer) and that reducible configurations can, in fact, be colored in 4 or fewer colors.

COLORING IN GENERAL

Investigate!

The math department plans to offer 10 classes next semester. Some classes cannot run at the same time (perhaps they are taught by the same professor, or are required for seniors).

Class:	Conflicts with:				
А	DI				
В	DIJ				
С	EFI				
D	ABF				
Е	ΗI				
F	Ι				
G	J				
Η	ΕIJ				
Ι	ABCEFH				
J	BGH				

How many different time slots are needed to teach these classes (and which should be taught at the same time)? More importantly, how could we use graph coloring to answer this question?

STOP

Attempt the above activity before proceeding



Cartography is certainly not the only application of graph coloring. There are plenty of situations in which you might wish partition the objects in question so that related objects are not in the same set. For example, you might wish to store chemicals safely. To avoid explosions, certain pairs of chemicals should not be stored in the same room. By coloring a graph (with vertices representing chemicals and edges representing potential negative interactions), you can determine the smallest number of rooms needed to store the chemicals.

Here is a further example:

Example 4.3.3

Radio stations broadcast their signal at certain frequencies. However, there are a limited number of frequencies to choose from, so nationwide many stations use the same frequency. This works because the stations are far enough apart that their signals will not interfere; no one radio could pick them up at the same time.

Suppose 10 new radio stations are to be set up in a currently unpopulated (by radio stations) region. The radio stations that are close enough to each other to cause interference are recorded in the table below. What is the fewest number of frequencies the stations could use.

	KQEA	KQEB	KQEC	KQED	KQEE	KQEF	KQEG	KQEH	KQEI	KQEJ
KQEA			x			x	x			x
KQEB			X	X						
KQEC	x					x	x			x
KQED		x			x	x		x		
KQEE				x					х	
KQEF	х		x	x			x			x
KQEG	x		x			x				x
KQEH				x					х	
KQEI					X			x		X
KQEJ	x		x			x	x		x	

Solution. Represent the problem as a graph with vertices as the stations and edges when two stations are close enough to cause interference. We are looking for the chromatic number of the graph. Vertices that are colored identically represent stations that can have the same frequency.

This graph has chromatic number 5. A proper 5-coloring is shown on the right. Notice that the graph contains a copy of the complete graph K_5 so no fewer than 5 colors can be used.



In the example above, the chromatic number was 5, but this is not a counterexample to the Four Color Theorem, since the graph representing the radio stations is not planar. It would be nice to have some quick way to find the chromatic number of a (possibly non-planar) graph. It turns out nobody knows whether an efficient algorithm for computing chromatic numbers exists.

While we might not be able to find the exact chromatic number of graph easily, we can often give a reasonable range for the chromatic number. In other words, we can give upper and lower bounds for chromatic number.

This is actually not very difficult: for every graph *G*, the chromatic number of *G* is at least 1 and at most the number of vertices of *G*.

What? You want *better* bounds on the chromatic number? Well you are in luck.

A **clique** in a graph is a set of vertices all of which are pairwise adjacent. In other words, a clique of size n is just a copy of the complete graph K_n . We define the **clique number** of a graph to be the largest n for which the graph contains a clique of size n. Any clique of size n cannot be colored with fewer than n colors, so we have a nice lower bound:

Theorem 4.3.4. *The chromatic number of a graph G is at least the clique number of G.*

There are times when the chromatic number of G is *equal* to the clique number. These graphs have a special name; they are called **perfect**. If you know that a graph is perfect, then finding the chromatic number is simply a matter of searching for the largest clique.⁴ However, not all graphs are perfect.

For an upper bound, we can improve on "the number of vertices" by looking to the degrees of vertices. Let $\Delta(G)$ be the largest degree of any vertex in the graph *G*. One reasonable guess for an upper bound on the chromatic number is $\chi(G) \leq \Delta(G) + 1$. Why is this reasonable? Starting with any vertex, it together with all of its neighbors can always be colored in $\Delta(G) + 1$ colors, since at most we are talking about $\Delta(G) + 1$ vertices in this set. Now fan out! At any point, if you consider an already colored vertex, some of its neighbors might be colored, some might not. But no matter what, that vertex and its neighbors could all be colored distinctly, since there are at most $\Delta(G)$ neighbors, plus the one vertex being considered.

In fact, there are examples of graphs for which $\chi(G) = \Delta(G) + 1$. For any *n*, the complete graph K_n has chromatic number *n*, but $\Delta(K_n) = n - 1$ (since every vertex is adjacent to every *other* vertex). Additionally, any *odd* cycle will have chromatic number 3, but the degree of every vertex in a cycle is 2. It turns out that these are the only two types of examples where we get equality, a result known as Brooks' Theorem.

Theorem 4.3.5 (Brooks' Theorem). Any graph G satisfies $\chi(G) \leq \Delta(G)$, unless G is a complete graph or an odd cycle, in which case $\chi(G) = \Delta(G) + 1$.

The proof of this theorem is *just* complicated enough that we will not present it here (although you are asked to prove a special case in the exercises). The adventurous reader is encouraged to find a book on graph theory for suggestions on how to prove the theorem.

COLORING EDGES

The chromatic number of a graph tells us about coloring vertices, but we could also ask about coloring edges. Just like with vertex coloring, we might insist that edges that are adjacent must be colored differently. Here,

⁴There are special classes of graphs which can be proved to be perfect. One such class is the set of **chordal** graphs, which have the property that every cycle in the graph contains a **chord**—an edge between two vertices in of the cycle which are not adjacent in the cycle.

we are thinking of two edges as being adjacent if they are incident to the same vertex. The least number of colors required to properly color the edges of a graph *G* is called the **chromatic index** of *G*, written $\chi'(G)$

Example 4.3.6

Six friends decide to spend the afternoon playing chess. Everyone will play everyone else once. They have plenty of chess sets but nobody wants to play more than one game at a time. Games will last an hour (thanks to their handy chess clocks). How many hours will the tournament last?

Solution. Represent each player with a vertex and put an edge between two players if they will play each other. In this case, we get the graph K_6 :



We must color the edges; each color represents a different hour. Since different edges incident to the same vertex will be colored differently, no player will be playing two different games (edges) at the same time. Thus we need to know the chromatic index of K_6 .

Notice that for sure $\chi'(K_6) \ge 5$, since there is a vertex of degree 5. It turns out 5 colors is enough (go find such a coloring). Therefore the friends will play for 5 hours.

Interestingly, if one of the friends in the above example left, the remaining 5 chess-letes would still need 5 hours: the chromatic index of K_5 is also 5.

In general, what can we say about chromatic index? Certainly $\chi'(G) \ge \Delta(G)$. But how much higher could it be? Only a little higher.

Theorem 4.3.7 (Vizing's Theorem). For any graph G, the chromatic index $\chi'(G)$ is either $\Delta(G)$ or $\Delta(G) + 1$.

At first this theorem makes it seem like chromatic index might not be very interesting. However, deciding which case a graph is in is not always easy. Graphs for which $\chi'(G) = \Delta(G)$ are called *class 1*, while the others are called *class 2*. Bipartite graphs always satisfy $\chi'(G) = \Delta(G)$, so are class 1 (this was proved by König in 1916, decades before Vizing proved his theorem in 1964). In 1965 Vizing proved that all planar graphs with $\Delta(G) \ge 8$ are of class 1, but this does not hold for all planar graphs with $2 \le \Delta(G) \le 5$. Vizing conjectured that all planar graphs with $\Delta(G) = 6$ or $\Delta(G) = 7$ are class 1; the $\Delta(G) = 7$ case was proved in 2001 by Sanders and Zhao; the $\Delta(G) = 6$ case is still open.

There is another interesting way we might consider coloring edges, quite different from what we have discussed so far. What if we colored every edge of a graph either red or blue. Can we do so without, say, creating a *monochromatic* triangle (i.e., an all red or all blue triangle)? Certainly for some graphs the answer is yes. Try doing so for K_4 . What about K_5 ? K_6 ? How far can we go?

The answer to the above problem is known and is a fun problem to do as an exercise. We could extend the question in a variety of ways. What if we had three colors? What if we were trying to avoid other graphs. The surprising fact is that very little is known about these questions. For example, we know that you need to go up to K_{17} in order to force a monochromatic triangle using three colors, but nobody knows how big you need to go with more colors. Similarly, we know that using two colors K_{18} is the smallest graph that forces a monochromatic copy of K_4 , but the best we have to force a monochromatic K_5 is a range, somewhere from K_{43} to K_{49} . If you are interested in these sorts of questions, this area of graph theory is called Ramsey theory. Check it out.

Exercises

1. What is the smallest number of colors you need to properly color the vertices of $K_{4,5}$? That is, find the chromatic number of the graph.

2. Draw a graph with chromatic number 6 (i.e., which requires 6 colors to properly color the vertices). Could your graph be planar? Explain.

3. Find the chromatic number of each of the following graphs.



4. A group of 10 friends decides to head up to a cabin in the woods (where nothing could possibly go wrong). Unfortunately, a number of these friends have dated each other in the past, and things are still a little awkward. To get the cabin, they need to divide up into some number of cars, and no two people who dated should be in the same car.

- (a) What is the smallest number of cars you need if all the relationships were strictly heterosexual? Represent an example of such a situation with a graph. What kind of graph do you get?
- (b) Because a number of these friends dated there are also conflicts between friends of the same gender, listed below. Now what is the smallest number of conflict-free cars they could take to the cabin?

(c) What do these questions have to do with coloring?

5. What is the smallest number of colors that can be used to color the vertices of a cube so that no two adjacent vertices are colored identically?

6. Prove the chromatic number of any tree is two. Recall, a tree is a connected graph with no cycles.

(a) Describe a procedure to color the tree below.



- (b) The chromatic number of C_n is two when *n* is even. What goes wrong when *n* is odd?
- (c) Prove that your procedure from part (a) always works for any tree.

(d) Now, prove using induction that every tree has chromatic number 2.

7. Prove the 6-color theorem: every planar graph has chromatic number 6 or less. Do not assume the 4-color theorem (whose proof is MUCH harder), but you may assume the fact that every planar graph contains a vertex of degree at most 5.

8. Not all graphs are perfect. Give an example of a graph with chromatic number 4 that does not contain a copy of *K*₄. That is, there should be no 4 vertices all pairwise adjacent.

9. Prove by induction on vertices that any graph *G* which contains at least one vertex of degree less than $\Delta(G)$ (the maximal degree of all vertices in *G*) has chromatic number at most $\Delta(G)$.

10. You have a set of magnetic alphabet letters (one of each of the 26 letters in the alphabet) that you need to put into boxes. For obvious reasons, you don't want to put two consecutive letters in the same box. What is the fewest number of boxes you need (assuming the boxes are able to hold as many letters as they need to)?

11. Prove that if you color every edge of K_6 either red or blue, you are guaranteed a monochromatic triangle (that is, an all red or an all blue triangle).

4.4 Euler Paths and Circuits

Investigate!

An **Euler path**, in a graph or multigraph, is a walk through the graph which uses every edge exactly once. An **Euler circuit** is an Euler path which starts and stops at the same vertex. Our goal is to find a quick way to check whether a graph (or multigraph) has an Euler path or circuit.

1. Which of the graphs below have Euler paths? Which have Euler circuits?



- 2. List the degrees of each vertex of the graphs above. Is there a connection between degrees and the existence of Euler paths and circuits?
- 3. Is it possible for a graph with a degree 1 vertex to have an Euler circuit? If so, draw one. If not, explain why not. What about an Euler path?
- 4. What if every vertex of the graph has degree 2. Is there an Euler path? An Euler circuit? Draw some graphs.
- 5. Below is *part* of a graph. Even though you can only see some of the vertices, can you deduce whether the graph will have an Euler path or circuit?



Attempt the above activity before proceeding

If we start at a vertex and trace along edges to get to other vertices, we create a *walk* through the graph. More precisely, a **walk** in a graph is a sequence of vertices such that every vertex in the sequence is adjacent to the vertices before and after it in the sequence. If the walk travels along every edge exactly once, then the walk is called an **Euler path** (or **Euler walk**). If, in addition, the starting and ending vertices are the same (so you trace along every edge exactly once and end up where you started), then the walk is called an **Euler tour**). Of course if a graph is not connected, there is no hope of finding such a path or circuit. For the rest of this section, assume all the graphs discussed are connected.

The bridges of Königsberg problem is really a question about the existence of Euler paths. There will be a route that crosses every bridge exactly once if and only if the graph below has an Euler path:



This graph is small enough that we could actually check every possible walk that does not reuse edges, and in doing so convince ourselves that there is no Euler path (let alone an Euler circuit). On small graphs which do have an Euler path, it is usually not difficult to find one. Our goal is to find a quick way to check whether a graph has an Euler path or circuit, even if the graph is quite large.

One way to guarantee that a graph does *not* have an Euler circuit is to include a "spike," a vertex of degree 1.



The vertex *a* has degree 1, and if you try to make an Euler circuit, you see that you will get stuck at the vertex. It is a dead end. That is, unless you start there. But then there is no way to return, so there is no hope of finding an Euler circuit. There is however an Euler path. It starts at the vertex *a*, then loops around the triangle. You will end at the vertex of degree 3.

You run into a similar problem whenever you have a vertex of any odd degree. If you start at such a vertex, you will not be able to end there (after traversing every edge exactly once). After using one edge to leave the starting vertex, you will be left with an even number of edges emanating from the vertex. Half of these could be used for returning to the vertex, the other half for leaving. So you return, then leave. Return, then leave. The only way to use up all the edges is to use the last one by leaving the vertex. On the other hand, if you have a vertex with odd degree that you do not start a path at, then you will eventually get stuck at that vertex. The path will use pairs of edges incident to the vertex to arrive and leave again. Eventually all but one of these edges will be used up, leaving only an edge to arrive by, and none to leave again.

What all this says is that if a graph has an Euler path and two vertices with odd degree, then the Euler path must start at one of the odd degree vertices and end at the other. In such a situation, every other vertex *must* have an even degree since we need an equal number of edges to get to those vertices as to leave them. How could we have an Euler circuit? The graph could not have any odd degree vertex as an Euler path would have to start there or end there, but not both. Thus for a graph to have an Euler circuit, all vertices must have even degree.

The converse is also true: if all the vertices of a graph have even degree, then the graph has an Euler circuit, and if there are exactly two vertices with odd degree, the graph has an Euler path. To prove this is a little tricky, but the basic idea is that you will never get stuck because there is an "outbound" edge for every "inbound" edge at every vertex. If you try to make an Euler path and miss some edges, you will always be able to "splice in" a circuit using the edges you previously missed.

Euler Paths and Circuits

- A graph has an Euler circuit if and only if the degree of every vertex is even.
- A graph has an Euler path if and only if there are at most two vertices with odd degree.

Since the bridges of Königsberg graph has all four vertices with odd degree, there is no Euler path through the graph. Thus there is no way for the townspeople to cross every bridge exactly once.

HAMILTON PATHS

Suppose you wanted to tour Königsberg in such a way where you visit each land mass (the two islands and both banks) exactly once. This can be done. In graph theory terms, we are asking whether there is a path which visits every vertex exactly once. Such a path is called a **Hamilton path** (or **Hamiltonian path**). We could also consider **Hamilton cycles**, which are Hamilton paths which start and stop at the same vertex.

Example 4.4.1

Determine whether the graphs below have a Hamilton path.





Solution. The graph on the left has a Hamilton path (many different ones, actually), as shown here:



The graph on the right does not have a Hamilton path. You would need to visit each of the "outside" vertices, but as soon as you visit one, you get stuck. Note that this graph does not have an Euler path, although there are graphs with Euler paths but no Hamilton paths.

It appears that finding Hamilton paths would be easier because graphs often have more edges than vertices, so there are fewer requirements to be met. However, nobody knows whether this is true. There is no known simple test for whether a graph has a Hamilton path. For small graphs this is not a problem, but as the size of the graph grows, it gets harder and harder to check wither there is a Hamilton path. In fact, this is an example of a question which as far as we know is too difficult for computers to solve; it is an example of a problem which is NP-complete.

Exercises

1. You and your friends want to tour the southwest by car. You will visit the nine states below, with the following rather odd rule: you must cross each border between neighboring states exactly once (so, for example, you must cross the Colorado-Utah border exactly once). Can you do it? If so, does it matter where you start your road trip? What fact about graph theory solves this problem?



2. Which of the following graphs contain an Euler path? Which contain an Euler circuit?

- (a) *K*₄
- (b) *K*₅.
- (c) K_{5,7}
- (d) $K_{2,7}$
- (e) *C*₇
- (f) *P*₇

3. Edward A. Mouse has just finished his brand new house. The floor plan is shown below:



- (a) Edward wants to give a tour of his new pad to a lady-mouse-friend. Is it possible for them to walk through every doorway exactly once? If so, in which rooms must they begin and end the tour? Explain.
- (b) Is it possible to tour the house visiting each room exactly once (not necessarily using every doorway)? Explain.
- (c) After a few mouse-years, Edward decides to remodel. He would like to add some new doors between the rooms he has. Of course, he cannot add any doors to the exterior of the house. Is it possible for each room to have an odd number of doors? Explain.
- **4.** For which *n* does the graph K_n contain an Euler circuit? Explain.
- **5.** For which *m* and *n* does the graph $K_{m,n}$ contain an Euler path? An Euler circuit? Explain.
- **6.** For which *n* does K_n contain a Hamilton path? A Hamilton cycle? Explain.
- **7.** For which *m* and *n* does the graph $K_{m,n}$ contain a Hamilton path? A Hamilton cycle? Explain.

8. A bridge builder has come to Königsberg and would like to add bridges so that it *is* possible to travel over every bridge exactly once. How many bridges must be built?

9. Below is a graph representing friendships between a group of students (each vertex is a student and each edge is a friendship). Is it possible for the students to sit around a round table in such a way that every student sits between two friends? What does this question have to do with paths?



10.

- (a) Suppose a graph has a Hamilton path. What is the maximum number of vertices of degree one the graph can have? Explain why your answer is correct.
- (b) Find a graph which does not have a Hamilton path even though no vertex has degree one. Explain why your example works.
- **11.** Consider the following graph:



- (a) Find a Hamilton path. Can your path be extended to a Hamilton cycle?
- (b) Is the graph bipartite? If so, how many vertices are in each "part"?
- (c) Use your answer to part (b) to prove that the graph has no Hamilton cycle.
- (d) Suppose you have a bipartite graph *G* in which one part has at least two more vertices than the other. Prove that *G* does not have a Hamilton path.

4.5 MATCHING IN BIPARTITE GRAPHS

Investigate!

Given a bipartite graph, a **matching** is a subset of the edges for which every vertex belongs to exactly one of the edges. Our goal in this activity is to discover some criterion for when a bipartite graph has a matching.

Does the graph below contain a matching? If so, find one.



Not all bipartite graphs have matchings. Draw as many fundamentally different examples of bipartite graphs which do NOT have matchings. Your goal is to find all the possible obstructions to a graph having a perfect matching. Write down the *necessary* conditions for a graph to have a

matching (that is, fill in the blank: If a graph has a matching, then _____). Then ask yourself whether these conditions are sufficient (is it true that if _____, then the graph has a matching?).

Attempt the above activity before proceeding

We conclude with one more example of a graph theory problem to illustrate the variety and vastness of the subject.

Suppose you have a bipartite graph *G*. This will consist of two sets of vertices *A* and *B* with some edges connecting some vertices of *A* to some vertices in *B* (but of course, no edges between two vertices both in *A* or both in *B*). A **matching of** *A* is a subset of the edges for which each vertex of *A* belongs to exactly one edge of the subset, and no vertex in *B* belongs to more than one edge in the subset. In practice we will assume that |A| = |B| (the two sets have the same number of vertices) so this says that every vertex in the graph belongs to exactly one edge in the matching.⁵

Some context might make this easier to understand. Think of the vertices in *A* as representing students in a class, and the vertices in *B* as representing presentation topics. We put an edge from a vertex $a \in A$ to a vertex $b \in B$ if student *a* would like to present on topic *b*. Of course, some students would want to present on more than one topic, so their vertex would have degree greater than 1. As the teacher, you want to assign each student their own unique topic. Thus you want to find a matching of *A*: you pick some subset of the edges so that each student gets matched up with exactly one topic, and no topic gets matched to two students.⁶

The question is: when does a bipartite graph contain a matching of *A*? To begin to answer this question, consider what could prevent the graph from containing a matching. This will not necessarily tell us a condition when the graph *does* have a matching, but at least it is a start.

One way *G* could not have a matching is if there is a vertex in *A* not adjacent to any vertex in *B* (so having degree 0). What else? What if two students both like the same one topic, and no others? Then after assigning that one topic to the first student, there is nothing left for the second student to like, so it is very much as if the second student has degree 0. Or what if three students like only two topics between them. Again, after assigning one student a topic, we reduce this down to the previous case of two students liking only one topic. We can continue this way with more and more students.

It should be clear at this point that if there is every a group of n students who as a group like n - 1 or fewer topics, then no matching is possible. This is true for any value of n, and any group of n students.

To make this more graph-theoretic, say you have a set $S \subseteq A$ of vertices. Define N(S) to be the set of all the **neighbors** of vertices in *S*. That is, N(S) contains all the vertices (in *B*) which are adjacent to at least one of the vertices in *S*. (In the student/topic graph, N(S) is the set of topics liked by the students of *S*.) Our discussion above can be summarized as follows:

Matching Condition

If a bipartite graph $G = \{A, B\}$ has a matching of A, then

 $|N(S)| \ge |S|$

for all $S \subseteq A$.

Is the converse true? Suppose *G* satisfies the matching condition $|N(S)| \ge |S|$ for all $S \subseteq A$ (every set of vertices has at least as many neighbors than vertices in the set). Does that mean that there is a matching? Surprisingly, yes. The obvious necessary condition is also sufficient.⁷ This is a theorem first proved by Philip Hall in 1935.⁸

⁵Note: what we are calling a *matching* is sometimes called a *perfect matching* or *complete matching*. This is because in it interesting to look at non-perfect matchings as well. We will call those *partial* matchings.

⁶The standard example for matchings used to be the *marriage problem* in which *A* consisted of the men in the town, *B* the women, and an edge represented a marriage that was agreeable to both parties. A matching then represented a way for the town elders to marry off everyone in the town, no polygamy allowed. We have chosen a more progressive context for the sake of political correctness. ⁷This happens often in graph theory. If you can avoid the obvious counterexamples, you often get what you want.

⁸There is also an infinite version of the theorem which was proved by Marshal Hall, Jr. The name is a coincidence though as the two Halls are not related.

Theorem 4.5.1 (Hall's Marriage Theorem). *Let G be a bipartite graph with sets A and B. Then G has a matching of A if and only if*

$$|N(S)| \ge |S|$$

for all $S \subseteq A$.

There are quite a few different proofs of this theorem – a quick internet search will get you started. In addition to its application to marriage and student presentation topics, matchings have applications all over the place. We conclude with one such example.

Example 4.5.2

Suppose you deal 52 regular playing cards into 13 piles of 4 cards each. Prove that you can always select one card from each pile to get one of each of the 13 card values Ace, 2, 3, ..., 10, Jack, Queen, and King.

Solution. Doing this directly would be difficult, but we can use the matching condition to help. Construct a graph *G* with 13 vertices in the set *A*, each representing one of the 13 card values, and 13 vertices in the set *B*, each representing one of the 13 piles. Draw an edge between a vertex $a \in A$ to a vertex $b \in B$ if a card with value *a* is in the pile *b*. Notice that we are just looking for a matching of *A*; each value needs to be found in the piles exactly once.

We will have a matching if the matching condition holds. Given any set of card values (a set $S \subseteq A$) we must show that $|N(S)| \ge |S|$. That is, the number of piles that contain those values is at least the number of different values. But what if it wasn't? Say |S| = k. If |N(S)| < k, then we would have fewer than 4k different cards in those piles (since each pile contains 4 cards). But there are 4k cards with the k different values, so at least one of these cards must be in another pile, a contradiction. Thus the matching condition holds, so there is a matching, as required.

Exercises

1. Find a matching of the bipartite graphs below or explain why no matching exists.



2. A bipartite graph that doesn't have a matching might still have a **partial matching**. By this we mean a set of *edges* for which no vertex belongs to more than one edge (but possibly belongs to none). Every bipartite graph (with at least one edge) has a partial matching, so we can look for the largest partial matching in a graph.

Your "friend" claims that she has found the largest partial matching for the graph below (her matching is in bold). She explains that no other edge can be added, because all the edges not used in her partial matching are connected to matched vertices. Is she correct?



3. One way you might check to see whether a partial matching is maximal is to construct an **alternating path**. This is a sequence of adjacent edges, which alternate between edges in the matching and edges not in the matching (no edge can be used more than once). If an alternating path starts and stops with an edge *not* in the matching, then it is called an **augmenting path**.

(a) Find the largest possible alternating path for the partial matching of your friend's graph. Is it an augmenting path? How would this help you find a larger matching?



(b) Find the largest possible alternating path for the partial matching below. Are there any augmenting paths? Is the partial matching the largest one that exists in the graph?



4. The two richest families in Westeros have decided to enter into an alliance by marriage. The first family has 10 sons, the second has 10 girls. The ages of the kids in the two families match up. To avoid impropriety, the families insist that each child must marry someone either their own age, or someone one position younger or older. In fact, the graph representing agreeable marriages looks like this:



The question: how many different acceptable marriage arrangements which marry off all 20 children are possible?

- (a) How many marriage arrangements are possible if we insist that there are exactly 6 boys marry girls not their own age?
- (b) Could you generalize the previous answer to arrive at the total number of marriage arrangements?
- (c) How do you know you are correct? Try counting in a different way. Look at smaller family sizes and get a sequence.
- (d) Can you give a recurrence relation that fits the problem?

5. We say that a set of vertices $A \subseteq V$ is a **vertex cover** if every edge of the graph is incident to a vertex in the cover (so a vertex cover covers the *edges*). Since *V* itself is a vertex cover, every graph has a vertex cover. The interesting question is about finding a **minimal** vertex cover, one that uses the fewest possible number of vertices.

- (a) Suppose you had a matching of a graph. How can you use that to get a minimal vertex cover? Will your method always work?
- (b) Suppose you had a minimal vertex cover for a graph. How can you use that to get a partial matching? Will your method always work?
- (c) What is the relationship between the size of the minimal vertex cover and the size of the maximal partial matching in a graph?

6. For many applications of matchings, it makes sense to use bipartite graphs. You might wonder, however, whether there is a way to find matchings in graphs in general.

- (a) For which n does the complete graph K_n have a matching?
- (b) Prove that if a graph has a matching, then |V| is even.
- (c) Is the converse true? That is, do all graphs with |V| even have a matching?
- (d) What if we also require the matching condition? Prove or disprove: If a graph with an even number of vertices satisfies $|N(S)| \ge |S|$ for all $S \subseteq V$, then the graph has a matching.

4.6 CHAPTER SUMMARY

Hopefully this chapter has given you some sense for the wide variety of graph theory topics as well as why these studies are interesting. There are many more interesting areas to consider and the list is increasing all the time; graph theory is an active area of mathematical research.

One reason graph theory is such a rich area of study is that it deals with such a fundamental concept: any pair of objects can either be related or not related. What the objects are and what "related" means varies on context, and this leads to many applications of graph theory to science and other areas of math. The objects can be countries, and two countries can be related if they share a border. The objects could be land masses which are related if there is a bridge between them. The objects could be websites which are related if there is a link from one to the other. Or we can be completely abstract: the objects are vertices which are related if their is an edge between them.

What question we ask about the graph depends on the application, but often leads to deeper, general and abstract questions worth studying in their own right. Here is a short summary of the types of questions we have considered:

- Can the graph be drawn in the plane without edges crossing? If so, how many regions does this drawing divide the plane into?
- Is it possible to color the vertices of the graph so that related vertices have different colors using a small number of colors? How many colors are needed?
- Is it possible to trace over every edge of a graph exactly once without lifting up your pencil? What other sorts of "paths" might a graph posses?
- Can you find subgraphs with certain properties? For example, when does a (bipartite) graph contain a subgraph in which all vertices are only related to one other vertex?

Not surprisingly, these questions are often related to each other. For example, the chromatic number of a graph cannot be greater than 4 when the graph is planar. Whether the graph has an Euler path depends on how many vertices each vertex is adjacent to (and whether those numbers are always even or not). Even the existence of matchings in bipartite graphs can be proved using paths.

CHAPTER REVIEW

1. Which (if any) of the graphs below are the same? Which are different? Explain.



2. Which of the graphs in the previous question contain Euler paths or circuits? Which of the graphs are planar?

3. Draw a graph which has an Euler circuit but is not planar.
4. Draw a graph which does not have an Euler path and is also not planar.

5. If a graph has 10 vertices and 10 edges and contains an Euler circuit, must it be planar? How many faces would it have?

6. Suppose *G* is a graph with *n* vertices, each having degree 5.

- (a) For which values of *n* does this make sense?
- (b) For which values of *n* does the graph have an Euler path?
- (c) What is the smallest value of *n* for which the graph might be planar? (tricky)

7. At a school dance, 6 girls and 4 boys take turns dancing (as couples) with each other.

- (a) How many couples danced if every girl dances with every boy?
- (b) How many couples danced if everyone danced with everyone else (regardless of gender)?
- (c) Explain what graphs can be used to represent these situations.

8. Among a group of *n* people, is it possible for everyone to be friends with an odd number of people in the group? If so, what can you say about *n*?

9. Your friend has challenged you to create a convex polyhedron containing 9 triangles and 6 pentagons.

- (a) Is it possible to build such a polyhedron using *only* these shapes? Explain.
- (b) You decide to also include one heptagon (seven-sided polygon). How many vertices does your new convex polyhedron contain?
- (c) Assuming you are successful in building your new 16-faced polyhedron, could every vertex be the joining of the same number of faces? Could each vertex join either 3 or 4 faces? If so, how many of each type of vertex would there be?

10. Is there a convex polyhedron which requires 5 colors to properly color the vertices of the polyhedron? Explain.

11. How many edges does the graph $K_{n,n}$ have? For which values of *n* does the graph contain an Euler circuit? For which values of *n* is the graph planar?

12. The graph *G* has 6 vertices with degrees 1, 2, 2, 3, 3, 5. How many edges does *G* have? If *G* was planar how many faces would it have? Does *G* have an Euler path?

13. What is the smallest number of colors you need to properly color the vertices of K_7 . Can you say whether K_7 is planar based on your answer?

14. What is the smallest number of colors you need to properly color the vertices of $K_{3,4}$? Can you say whether $K_{3,4}$ is planar based on your answer?

15. A dodecahedron is a regular convex polyhedron made up of 12 regular pentagons.

- (a) Suppose you color each pentagon with one of three colors. Prove that there must be two adjacent pentagons colored identically.
- (b) What if you use four colors?
- (c) What if instead of a dodecahedron you colored the faces of a cube?

16. If a planar graph *G* with 7 vertices divides the plane into 8 regions, how many edges must *G* have?

17. Consider the graph below:



- (a) Does the graph have an Euler path or circuit? Explain.
- (b) Is the graph planar? Explain.
- (c) Is the graph bipartite? Complete? Complete bipartite?
- (d) What is the chromatic number of the graph.

18. For each part below, say whether the statement is true or false. Explain why the true statements are true, and give counterexamples for the false statements.

- (a) Every bipartite graph is planar.
- (b) Every bipartite graph has chromatic number 2.
- (c) Every bipartite graph has an Euler path.
- (d) Every vertex of a bipartite graph has even degree.
- (e) A graph is bipartite if and only if the sum of the degrees of all the vertices is even.

19. Consider the statement "If a graph is planar, then it has an Euler path."

- (a) Write the converse of the statement.
- (b) Write the contrapositive of the statement.
- (c) Write the negation of the statement.
- (d) Is it possible for the contrapositive to be false? If it was, what would that tell you?
- (e) Is the original statement true or false? Prove your answer.
- (f) Is the converse of the statement true or false? Prove your answer.

20. Remember that a tree is a connected graph with no cycles.

- (a) Conjecture a relationship between a tree graph's vertices and edges. (For instance, can you have a tree with 5 vertices and 7 edges?)
- (b) Explain why every tree with at least 3 vertices has a leaf (i.e., a vertex of degree 1).
- (c) Prove your conjecture from part (a) by induction on the number of vertices. Hint: For the inductive step, you will assume that your conjecture is true for all trees with k vertices, and show it is also true for an arbitrary tree with k + 1 vertices. Consider what happens when you cut off a leaf and then let it regrow.

Additional Topics

5.1 **GENERATING FUNCTIONS**

There is an extremely powerful tool in discrete mathematics used to manipulate sequences called the generating function. The idea is this: instead of an infinite sequence (for example: 2, 3, 5, 8, 12, ...) we look at a single function which encodes the sequence. But not a function which gives the *n*th term as output. Instead, a function whose power series (like from calculus) "displays" the terms of the sequence. So for example, we would look at the power series $2 + 3x + 5x^2 + 8x^3 + 12x^4 + \cdots$ which displays the sequence $2, 3, 5, 8, 12, \ldots$ as coefficients.

An infinite power series is simply an infinite sum of terms of the form $c_n x^n$ were c_n is some constant. So we might write a power series like this:

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} c_k x^k.$$

or expanded like this

$$c_0 + c_1 x + c_2 x^2 + c_3 x^3 + c_4 x^4 + c_5 x^5 + \cdots$$

When viewed in the context of generating functions, we call such a power series a *generating series*. The generating series generates the sequence

$$c_0, c_1, c_2, c_3, c_4, c_5, \ldots$$

In other words, the sequence generated by a generating series is simply the sequence of *coefficients* of the infinite polynomial.

Example 5.1.1

What sequence is represented by the generating series $3 + 8x^2 + x^3 + \frac{x^5}{7} + 100x^6 + \cdots$?

Solution. We just read off the coefficients of each x^n term. So $a_0 = 3$ since the coefficient of x^0 is 3 ($x^0 = 1$ so this is the constant term). What is a_1 ? It is NOT 8, since 8 is the coefficient of x^2 , so 8 is the term a_2 of the sequence. To find a_1 we need to look for the coefficient of x^1 which in this case is 0. So $a_1 = 0$. Continuing, we have $a_2 = 8$, $a_3 = 1$, $a_4 = 0$, and $a_5 = \frac{1}{7}$. So we have the sequence

$$3, 0, 8, 1, \frac{1}{7}, 100, \ldots$$

Note that when discussing generating functions, we always start our sequence with a_0 .

Now you might very naturally ask why we would do such a thing. One reason is that encoding a sequence with a power series helps us keep track of which term is which in the sequence. For example, if we write the sequence $1, 3, 4, 6, 9, \ldots, 24, 41, \ldots$ it is impossible to determine which term 24 is (even if we agreed that the first term was supposed to be a_0). However, if we wrote the generating series instead, we would have $1 + 3x + 4x^2 + 6x^3 + 9x^4 + \cdots + 24x^{17} + 41x^{18} + \cdots$. Now it is clear that 24 is the 17th term of the sequence (that is, $a_{17} = 24$). Of course to get this benefit we could have displayed our sequence in any number of ways, perhaps $1 \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}_1 \begin{bmatrix} 4 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}_2 \begin{bmatrix} 6 \\ 3 \end{bmatrix}_3 \begin{bmatrix} 9 \\ 4 \end{bmatrix}_4 \cdots \begin{bmatrix} 24 \\ 17 \end{bmatrix}_{17} \begin{bmatrix} 41 \\ 18 \end{bmatrix}_{18} \cdots$, but we do not do this. The reason is that the generating series looks like an ordinary power series (although we are interpreting it differently) so we can do things with it that we ordinarily do with power series such as write down what it converges to.

For example, from calculus we know that the power series $1 + x + \frac{x^2}{2} + \frac{x^3}{6} + \frac{x^4}{24} + \dots + \frac{x^n}{n!} + \dots$ converges to the function e^x . So we can use e^x as a way of talking about the sequence of coefficients of the power series

for e^x . When we write down a nice compact function which has an infinite power series that we view as a generating series, then we call that function a *generating function*. In this example, we would say

$$1, 1, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{6}, \frac{1}{24}, \dots, \frac{1}{n!}, \dots$$
 has generating function e^x

BUILDING GENERATING FUNCTIONS

The e^x example is very specific. We have a rather odd sequence, and the only reason we know its generating function is because we happen to know the Taylor series for e^x . Our goal now is to gather some tools to build the generating function of a particular given sequence.

Let's see what the generating functions are for some very simple sequences. The simplest of all: 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, What does the *generating series* look like? It is simply $1 + x + x^2 + x^3 + x^4 + \cdots$. Now, can we find a closed formula for this power series? Yes! This particular series is really just a geometric series with common ratio *x*. So if we use our "multiply, shift and subtract" technique from Section 2.2, we have

$$S = 1 + x + x^{2} + x^{3} + \cdots$$
$$\underline{-xS} = x + x^{2} + x^{3} + x^{4} + \cdots$$
$$(1 - x)S = 1$$

Therefore we see that

$$1 + x + x^2 + x^3 \dots = \frac{1}{1 - x}$$

You might remember from calculus that this is only true on the interval of convergence for the power series, in this case when |x| < 1. That is true for us, but we don't care. We are never going to plug anything in for x, so as long as there is some value of x for which the generating function and generating series agree, we are happy. And in this case we are happy.

1,1,1,...

The generating function for 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, $\frac{1}{1-x}$

~

Let's use this basic generating function to find generating functions for more sequences. What if we replace x by -x. We get

$$\frac{1}{1+x} = 1 - x + x^2 - x^3 + \cdots$$
 which generates 1, -1, 1, -1, ...

If we replace x by 3x we get

$$\frac{1}{1-3x} = 1 + 3x + 9x^2 + 27x^3 + \cdots$$
 which generates 1, 3, 9, 27, ...

By replacing the *x* in $\frac{1}{1-x}$ we can get generating functions for a variety of sequences, but not all. For example, you cannot plug in anything for *x* to get the generating function for 2, 2, 2, 2, However, we are not lost yet. Notice that each term of 2, 2, 2, 2, ... is the result of multiplying the terms of 1, 1, 1, 1, ... by the constant 2. So multiply the generating function by 2 as well.

$$\frac{2}{1-x} = 2 + 2x + 2x^2 + 2x^3 + \dots$$
 which generates 2, 2, 2, 2, .

Similarly, to find the generating function for the sequence 3, 9, 27, 81, . . ., we note that this sequence is the result of multiplying each term of 1, 3, 9, 27, . . . by 3. Since we have the generating function for 1, 3, 9, 27, . . . we can say

$$\frac{3}{1-3x} = 3 \cdot 1 + 3 \cdot 3x + 3 \cdot 9x^2 + 3 \cdot 27x^3 + \dots$$
 which generates 3, 9, 27, 81, ...

What about the sequence 2, 4, 10, 28, 82, ...? Here the terms are always 1 more than powers of 3. That is, we have added the sequences 1, 1, 1, 1, ... and 1, 3, 9, 27, ... term by term. Therefore we can get a generating function by adding the respective generating functions:

$$2 + 4x + 10x^{2} + 28x^{3} + \dots = (1+1) + (1+3)x + (1+9)x^{2} + (1+27)x^{3} + \dots$$
$$= 1 + x + x^{2} + x^{3} + \dots + 1 + 3x + 9x^{2} + 27x^{3} + \dots$$
$$= \frac{1}{1-x} + \frac{1}{1-3x}$$

The fun does not stop there: if we replace x in our original generating function by x^2 we get

$$\frac{1}{1-x^2} = 1 + x^2 + x^4 + x^6 \cdots$$
 which generates 1, 0, 1, 0, 1, 0,

How could we get 0, 1, 0, 1, 0, 1, ...? Start with the previous sequence and *shift* it over by 1. But how do you do this? To see how shifting works, let's first try to get the generating function for the sequence 0, 1, 3, 9, 27, ... We know that $\frac{1}{1-3x} = 1 + 3x + 9x^2 + 27x^3 + \cdots$. To get the zero out front, we need the generating series to look like $x + 3x^2 + 9x^3 + 27x^4 + \cdots$ (so there is no constant term). Multiplying by *x* has this effect. So the generating function for 0, 1, 3, 9, 27, ... is $\frac{x}{1-3x}$. This will also work to get the generating function for $0, 1, 0, 1, 0, 1, \ldots$:

$$\frac{x}{1-x^2} = x + x^3 + x^5 + \cdots$$
 which generates 0, 1, 0, 1, 0, 1...

What if we add the sequences 1, 0, 1, 0, 1, 0, ... and 0, 1, 0, 1, 0, 1, ... term by term? We should get 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, ... What happens when we add the generating functions? It works (try it)!

$$\frac{1}{1-x^2} + \frac{x}{1-x^2} = \frac{1}{1-x}.$$

Here's a sneaky one: what happens if you take the *derivative* of $\frac{1}{1-x}$? We get $\frac{1}{(1-x)^2}$. On the other hand, if we differentiate term by term in the power series, we get $(1 + x + x^2 + x^3 + \cdots)' = 1 + 2x + 3x^2 + 4x^3 + \cdots$ which is the generating series for 1, 2, 3, 4, . . . This says

1, 2, 3, . . .

The generating function for 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, ... is $\frac{1}{(1-x)^2}$.

Take a second derivative: $\frac{2}{(1-x)^3} = 2 + 6x + 12x^2 + 20x^3 + \cdots$ So $\frac{1}{(1-x)^3} = 1 + 3x + 6x^2 + 10x^3 + \cdots$ is a generating function for the triangular numbers, 1, 3, 6, 10... (although here we have $a_0 = 1$ while $T_0 = 0$ usually).

DIFFERENCING

We have seen how to find generating functions from $\frac{1}{1-x}$ using multiplication (by a constant or by *x*), substitution, addition, and differentiation. To use each of these, you must notice a way to transform the sequence 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, ... into your desired sequence. This is not always easy. It is also not really the way we have analyzed sequences. One thing we have considered often is the sequence of differences between terms of a sequence. This will turn out to be helpful in finding generating functions as well. The sequence of differences is often simpler than the original sequence. So if we know a generating function for the differences, we would like to use this to find a generating function for the original sequence.

For example, consider the sequence 2, 4, 10, 28, 82, How could we move to the sequence of first differences: 2, 6, 18, 54, ...? We want to subtract 2 from the 4, 4 from the 10, 10 from the 28, and so on. So if we subtract (term by term) the sequence 0, 2, 4, 10, 28, ... from 2, 4, 10, 28 ..., we will be set. We can get the generating function for 0, 2, 4, 10, 28, ... from the generating function for 2, 4, 10, 28, ... by multiplying by x. Use A to represent the generating function for 2, 4, 10, 28, ... Then:

$$A = 2 + 4x + 10x^2 + 28x^3 + 82x^4 + \cdots$$

$$\frac{-xA = 0 + 2x + 4x^2 + 10x^3 + 28x^4 + 82x^5 + \cdots}{1 - xA = 2 + 2x + 6x^2 + 18x^3 + 54x^4 + \cdots}$$

While we don't get exactly the sequence of differences, we do get something close. In this particular case, we already know the generating function A (we found it in the previous section) but most of the time we will use this differencing technique to *find* A: if we have the generating function for the sequence of differences, we can then solve for A.

Example 5.1.2

Find a generating function for 1, 3, 5, 7, 9,

(

Solution. Notice that the sequence of differences is constant. We know how to find the generating function for any constant sequence. So denote the generating function for 1, 3, 5, 7, 9, ... by *A*. We have

$$A = 1 + 3x + 5x^{2} + 7x^{3} + 9x^{4} + \cdots$$
$$-xA = 0 + x + 3x^{2} + 5x^{3} + 7x^{4} + 9x^{5} + \cdots$$
$$(1 - x)A = 1 + 2x + 2x^{2} + 2x^{3} + 2x^{4} + \cdots$$

We know that $2x + 2x^2 + 2x^3 + 2x^4 + \dots = \frac{2x}{1-x}$. Thus

$$(1-x)A = 1 + \frac{2x}{1-x}.$$

Now solve for *A*:

$$A = \frac{1}{1-x} + \frac{2x}{(1-x)^2} = \frac{1+x}{(1-x)^2}$$

Does this makes sense? Before we simplified the two fractions into one, we were adding the generating function for the sequence 1, 1, 1, 1, ... to the generating function for the sequence 0, 2, 4, 6, 8, 10, ... (remember $\frac{1}{(1-x)^2}$ generates 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, ..., multiplying by 2x shifts it over, putting the zero out front, and doubles each term). If we add these term by term, we get the correct sequence 1, 3, 5, 7, 9, ...

Now that we have a generating function for the odd numbers, we can use that to find the generating function for the squares:

Example 5.1.3

Find the generating function for 1, 4, 9, 16, . . . Note we take $1 = a_0$.

Solution. Again we call the generating function for the sequence *A*. Using differencing:

$$A = 1 + 4x + 9x^{2} + 16x^{3} + \dots$$
$$\frac{-xA = 0 + x + 4x^{2} + 9x^{3} + 16x^{4} + \dots}{(1 - x)A = 1 + 3x + 5x^{2} + 7x^{3} + \dots}$$
Since $1 + 3x + 5x^{2} + 7x^{3} + \dots = \frac{1 + x}{(1 - x)^{2}}$ we have $A = \frac{1 + x}{(1 - x)^{3}}$.

In each of the examples above, we found the difference between consecutive terms which gave us a sequence of differences for which we knew a generating function. We can generalize this to more complicated relationships between terms of the sequence. For example, if we know that the sequence satisfies the recurrence relation $a_n = 3a_{n-1} - 2a_{n-2}$? In other words, if we take a term of the sequence and subtract 3 times the previous term and then add 2 times the term before that, we get 0 (since $a_n - 3a_{n-1} + 2a_{n-2} = 0$). That will hold for all but the first two terms of the sequence. So after the first two terms, the sequence of results of these calculations would be a sequence of 0's, for which we definitely know a generating function.

Example 5.1.4

The sequence 1, 3, 7, 15, 31, 63, ... satisfies the recurrence relation $a_n = 3a_{n-1} - 2a_{n-2}$. Find the generating function for the sequence.

Solution. Call the generating function for the sequence *A*. We have

$$A = 1 + 3x + 7x^{2} + 15x^{3} + 31x^{4} + \dots + a_{n}x^{n} + \dots$$
$$-3xA = 0 - 3x - 9x^{2} - 21x^{3} - 45x^{4} - \dots - 3a_{n-1}x^{n} - \dots$$
$$+ 2x^{2}A = 0 + 0x + 2x^{2} + 6x^{3} + 14x^{4} + \dots + 2a_{n-2}x^{n} + \dots$$
$$(1 - 3x + 2x^{2})A = 1$$

We multiplied *A* by -3x which shifts every term over one spot and multiplies them by -3. On the third line, we multiplied *A* by $2x^2$, which shifted every term over two spots and multiplied them by 2. When we add up the corresponding terms, we are taking each term, subtracting 3 times the previous term, and adding 2 times the term before that. This will happen for each term after a_1 because $a_n - 3a_{n-1} + 2a_{n-2} = 0$. In general, we might have two terms from the beginning of the generating series, although in this case the second term happens to be 0 as well.

Now we just need to solve for *A*:

$$A = \frac{1}{1 - 3x + 2x^2}$$

Multiplication and Partial Sums

What happens to the sequences when you multiply two generating functions? Let's see: $A = a_0 + a_1x + a_2x^2 + \cdots$ and $B = b_0 + b_1x + b_2x^2 + \cdots$. To multiply *A* and *B*, we need to do a lot of distributing (infinite FOIL?) but keep in mind we will group like terms and only need to write down the first few terms to see the pattern. The constant term is a_0b_0 . The coefficient of *x* is $a_0b_1 + a_1b_0$. And so on. We get:

 $AB = a_0b_0 + (a_0b_1 + a_1b_0)x + (a_0b_2 + a_1b_1 + a_2b_0)x^2 + (a_0b_3 + a_1b_2 + a_2b_1 + a_3b_0)x^3 + \cdots$

Example 5.1.5 "Multiply" the sequence 1, 2, 3, 4, . . . by the sequence 1, 2, 4, 8, 16,

Solution. The new constant term is just $1 \cdot 1$. The next term will be $1 \cdot 2 + 2 \cdot 1 = 4$. The next term: $1 \cdot 4 + 2 \cdot 2 + 3 \cdot 1 = 11$. One more: $1 \cdot 8 + 2 \cdot 4 + 3 \cdot 2 + 4 \cdot 1 = 28$. The resulting sequence is

1, 4, 11, 28, 57, ...

Since the generating function for 1, 2, 3, 4, ... is $\frac{1}{(1-x)^2}$ and the generating function for 1, 2, 4, 8, 16, ... is $\frac{1}{1-2x}$, we have that the generating function for 1, 4, 11, 28, 57, ... is $\frac{1}{(1-x)^2(1-2x)}$

Consider the special case when you multiply a sequence by 1, 1, 1, ... For example, multiply 1, 1, 1, 1, ... by 1, 2, 3, 4, 5.... The first term is $1 \cdot 1 = 1$. Then $1 \cdot 2 + 1 \cdot 1 = 3$. Then $1 \cdot 3 + 1 \cdot 2 + 1 \cdot 1 = 6$. The next term will be 10. We are getting the triangular numbers. More precisely, we get the sequence of partial sums of 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, In terms of generating functions, we take $\frac{1}{1-x}$ (generating 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, ...) and multiply it by $\frac{1}{(1-x)^2}$ (generating 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, ...) and this give $\frac{1}{(1-x)^3}$. This should not be a surprise as we found the same generating function for the triangular numbers earlier.

The point is, if you need to find a generating function for the sum of the first *n* terms of a particular sequence, and you know the generating function for *that* sequence, you can multiply it by $\frac{1}{1-x}$. To go back from the sequence of partial sums to the original sequence, you look at the sequence of differences. When you get the sequence of differences you end up multiplying by 1 - x, or equivalently, dividing by $\frac{1}{1-x}$. Multiplying by $\frac{1}{1-x}$ gives partial sums, dividing by $\frac{1}{1-x}$ gives differences.

Solving Recurrence Relations with Generating Functions

We conclude with an example of one of the many reasons studying generating functions is helpful. We can use generating functions to solve recurrence relations.

Example 5.1.6

Solve the recurrence relation $a_n = 3a_{n-1} - 2a_{n-2}$ with initial conditions $a_0 = 1$ and $a_1 = 3$.

Solution. We saw in an example above that this recurrence relation gives the sequence 1, 3, 7, 15, 31, 63, ... which has generating function $\frac{1}{1-3x+2x^2}$. We did this by calling the generating function A and then computing $A - 3xA + 2x^2A$ which was just 1, since every other term canceled out.

But how does knowing the generating function help us? First, break up the generating function into two simpler ones. For this, we can use partial fraction decomposition. Start by factoring the denominator:

$$\frac{1}{1-3x+2x^2} = \frac{1}{(1-x)(1-2x)}.$$

Partial fraction decomposition tells us that we can write this faction as the sum of two fractions (we decompose the given fraction):

$$\frac{1}{(1-x)(1-2x)} = \frac{a}{1-x} + \frac{b}{1-2x}$$
 for some constants and .

To find *a* and *b* we add the two decomposed fractions using a common denominator. This gives

$$\frac{1}{(1-x)(1-2x)} = \frac{a(1-2x)+b(1-x)}{(1-x)(1-2x)}.$$

so

$$1 = a(1 - 2x) + b(1 - x).$$

This must be true for all values of *x*. If x = 1, then the equation becomes 1 = -a so a = -1. When $x = \frac{1}{2}$ we get 1 = b/2 so b = 2. This tells us that we can decompose the fraction like this:

$$\frac{1}{(1-x)(1-2x)} = \frac{-1}{1-x} + \frac{2}{1-2x}.$$

This completes the partial fraction decomposition. Notice that these two fractions are generating functions we know. In fact, we should be able to expand each of them.

$$\frac{-1}{1-x} = -1 - x - x^2 - x^3 - x^4 - \dots$$
 which generates $-1, -1, -1, -1, -1, \dots$
$$\frac{2}{1-2x} = 2 + 4x + 8x^2 + 16x^3 + 32x^4 + \dots$$
 which generates 2, 4, 8, 16, 32, \dots

We can give a closed formula for the *n*th term of each of these sequences. The first is just $a_n = -1$. The second is $a_n = 2^{n+1}$. The sequence we are interested in is just the sum of these, so the solution to the recurrence relation is

 $a_n = 2^{n+1} - 1$

We can now add generating functions to our list of methods for solving recurrence relations.

Exercises

1. Find the generating function for each of the following sequences by relating them back to a sequence with known generating function.

- (a) $4, 4, 4, 4, 4, \ldots$
- (b) 2, 4, 6, 8, 10,
- (c) $0, 0, 0, 2, 4, 6, 8, 10, \ldots$

- (d) 1, 5, 25, 125,
- (e) 1, −3, 9, −27, 81,
- (f) 1, 0, 5, 0, 25, 0, 125, 0,
- (g) $0, 1, 0, 0, 2, 0, 0, 3, 0, 0, 4, 0, 0, 5, \ldots$

2. Find the sequence generated by the following generating functions:

(a)
$$\frac{4x}{1-x}$$
.
(b) $\frac{1}{1-4x}$.
(c) $\frac{x}{1+x}$.
(d) $\frac{3x}{(1+x)^2}$.

(e) $\frac{1+x+x^2}{(1-x)^2}$ (Hint: multiplication).

3. Show how you can get the generating function for the triangular numbers in three different ways:

- (a) Take two derivatives of the generating function for 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, ...
- (b) Use differencing.
- (c) Multiply two known generating functions.

4. Use differencing to find the generating function for 4, 5, 7, 10, 14, 19, 25,

5. Find a generating function for the sequence with recurrence relation $a_n = 3a_{n-1} - a_{n-2}$ with initial terms $a_0 = 1$ and $a_1 = 5$.

6. Use the recurrence relation for the Fibonacci numbers to find the generating function for the Fibonacci sequence.

7. Use multiplication to find the generating function for the sequence of partial sums of Fibonacci numbers, S_0, S_1, S_2, \ldots where $S_0 = F_0, S_1 = F_0 + F_1, S_2 = F_0 + F_1 + F_2, S_3 = F_0 + F_1 + F_2 + F_3$ and so on.

- **8.** Find the generating function for the sequence with closed formula $a_n = 2(5^n) + 7(-3)^n$.
- **9.** Find a closed formula for the *n*th term of the sequence with generating function $\frac{3x}{1-4x} + \frac{1}{1-x}$.

10. Find a_7 for the sequence with generating function $\frac{2}{(1-x)^2} \cdot \frac{x}{1-x-x^2}$.

11. Explain how we know that $\frac{1}{(1-x)^2}$ is the generating function for 1, 2, 3, 4,

12. Starting with the generating function for 1, 2, 3, 4, . . ., find a generating function for each of the following sequences.

- (a) 1, 0, 2, 0, 3, 0, 4,
- (b) $1, -2, 3, -4, 5, -6, \ldots$
- (c) 0, 3, 6, 9, 12, 15, 18,
- (d) 0, 3, 9, 18, 30, 45, 63, (Hint: relate this sequence to the previous one.)

13. You may assume that 1, 1, 2, 3, 5, 8, ... has generating function $\frac{1}{1-x-x^2}$ (because it does). Use this fact to find the sequence generated by each of the following generating functions.

(a) $\frac{x^2}{1-x-x^2}$.

- (b) $\frac{1}{1-x^2-x^4}$.
- (c) $\frac{1}{1-3x-9x^2}$.
- (d) $\frac{1}{(1-x-x^2)(1-x)}$.

14. Find the generating function for the sequence $1, -2, 4, -8, 16, \ldots$

- **15.** Find the generating function for the sequence 1, 1, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6,
- **16.** Suppose *A* is the generating function for the sequence 3, 5, 9, 15, 23, 33, . . .
- (a) Find a generating function (in terms of *A*) for the sequence of differences between terms.
- (b) Write the sequence of differences between terms and find a generating function for it (without referencing A).
- (c) Use your answers to parts (a) and (b) to find the generating function for the original sequence.

5.2 **INTRODUCTION TO NUMBER THEORY**

We have used the natural numbers to solve problems. This was the right set of numbers to work with in discrete mathematics because we always dealt with a whole number of things. The natural numbers have been a tool. Let's take a moment now to inspect that tool. What mathematical discoveries can we make about the natural numbers themselves?

This is the main question of number theory: a huge, ancient, complex, and above all, beautiful branch of mathematics. Historically, number theory was known as the Queen of Mathematics and was very much a branch of *pure* mathematics, studied for its own sake instead of as a means to understanding real world applications. This has changed in recent years however, as applications of number theory have been unearthed. Probably the most well known example of this is RSA cryptography, one of the methods used in encrypt data on the internet. It is number theory that makes this possible.

What sorts of questions belong to the realm of number theory? Here is a motivating example. Recall in our study of induction, we asked:

Which amounts of postage can be made exactly using just 5-cent and 8-cent stamps?

We were able to prove that *any* amount greater than 27 cents could be made. You might wonder what would happen if we changed the denomination of the stamps. What if we instead had 4- and 9-cent stamps? Would there be some amount after which all amounts would be possible? Well, again, we could replace two 4-cent stamps with a 9-cent stamp, or three 9-cent stamps with seven 4-cent stamps. In each case we can create one more cent of postage. Using this as the inductive case would allow us to prove that any amount of postage greater than 23 cents can be made.

What if we had 2-cent and 4-cent stamps. Here it looks less promising. If we take some number of 2-cent stamps and some number of 4-cent stamps, what can we say about the total? Could it ever be odd? Doesn't look like it.

Why does 5 and 8 work, 4 and 9 work, but 2 and 4 not work? What is it about these numbers? If I gave you a pair of numbers, could you tell me right away if they would work or not? We will answer these questions, and more, after first investigating some simpler properties of numbers themselves.

DIVISIBILITY

It is easy to add and multiply natural numbers. If we extend our focus to all integers, then subtraction is also easy (we need the negative numbers so we can subtract any number from any other number, even larger from smaller). Division is the first operation that presents a challenge. If we wanted to extend our set of numbers so any division would be possible (maybe excluding division by 0) we would need to look at the rational numbers (the set of all numbers which can be written as fractions). This would be going too far, so we will refuse this option.

In fact, it is a good thing that not every number can be divided by other numbers. This helps us understand the structure of the natural numbers and opens the door to many interesting questions and applications.

If given numbers *a* and *b*, it is possible that $a \div b$ gives a whole number. In this case, we say that *b* divides *a*, in symbols, we write $b \mid a$. If this holds, then *b* is a divisor or factor of *a*, and *a* is a multiple of *b*. In other words, if $b \mid a$, then a = bk for some integer *k* (this is saying *a* is some multiple of *b*).

The Divisibility Relation

Given integers m and n, we say "m divides n" and write

 $m \mid n$

provided $n \div m$ is an integer. Thus the following assertions mean the same thing:

1. $m \mid n$

2. n = mk for some integer k

- 3. *m* is a factor (or divisor) of *n*
- 4. *n* is a multiple of *m*.

Notice that $m \mid n$ is a statement. It is either true or false. On the other hand, $n \div m$ or n/m is some number. If we want to claim that n/m is not an integer, so m does not divide n, then we can write $m \nmid n$.

Example 5.2.1

Decide whether each of the statements below are true or false.

- 1. 4 | 20
- 2. 20 | 4
- 3.0|5
- 4.5|0
- 5.7|7
- 6. 1 | 37
- 7. -3 | 12
- 8.8 | 12
- 9. 1642 | 136299

Solution.

- 1. True. 4 "goes into" 20 five times without remainder. In other words, $20 \div 4 = 5$, an integer. We could also justify this by saying that 20 is a multiple of 4: $20 = 4 \cdot 5$.
- 2. False. While 20 is a multiple of 4, it is false that 4 is a multiple of 20.
- 3. False. $5 \div 0$ is not even defined, let alone an integer.
- 4. True. In fact, $x \mid 0$ is true for all x. This is because 0 is a multiple of every number: $0 = x \cdot 0$.
- 5. True. In fact, $x \mid x$ is true for all x.

- 6. True. 1 divides every number (other than 0).
- 7. True. Negative numbers work just fine for the divisibility relation. Here $12 = -3 \cdot 4$. It is also true that $3 \mid -12$ and that $-3 \mid -12$.
- 8. False. Both 8 and 12 are divisible by 4, but this does not mean that 12 is divisible by 8.
- 9. False. See below.

This last example raises a question: how might one decide whether $m \mid n$? Of course, if you had a trusted calculator, you could ask it for the value of $n \div m$. If it spits out anything other than an integer, you know $m \nmid n$. This seems a little like cheating though: we don't have division, so should we really use division to check divisibility?

While we don't really know how to divide, we do know how to multiply. We might try multiplying m by larger and larger numbers until we get close to n. How close? Well, we want to be sure that if we multiply m by the next larger integer, we go over n.

For example, let's try this to decide whether 1642 | 136299. Start finding multiples of 1642:

 $1642 \cdot 2 = 3284$ $1642 \cdot 3 = 4926$ $1642 \cdot 4 = 6568$...

All of these are well less than 136299. I suppose we can jump ahead a bit:

$$1642 \cdot 50 = 82100$$
 $1642 \cdot 80 = 131360$ $1642 \cdot 85 = 139570$

Ah, so we need to look somewhere between 80 and 85. Try 83:

$$1642 \cdot 83 = 136286$$

Is this the best we can do? How far are we from our desired 136299? If we subtract, we get 136299 - 136286 = 13. So we know we cannot go up to 84, that will be too much. In other words, we have found that

$$136299 = 83 \cdot 1642 + 13$$

Since 13 < 1642, we can now safely say that $1642 \nmid 136299$.

It turns out that the process we went through above can be repeated for any pair of numbers. We can always write the number *a* as some multiple of the number *b* plus some remainder. We know this because we know about *division with remainder* from elementary school. This is just a way of saying it using multiplication. Due to the procedural nature that can be used to find the remainder, this fact is usually called the *division algorithm*:

The Division Algorithm

Given any two integers *a* and *b*, we can always find an integer *q* such that

a = qb + r

where *r* is an integer satisfying $0 \le r < |b|$

The idea is that we can always take a large enough multiple of *b* so that the remainder *r* is as small as possible. We do allow the possibility of r = 0, in which case we have $b \mid a$.

Remainder Classes

The division algorithm tells us that there are only b possible remainders when dividing by b. If we fix this divisor, we can group integers by the remainder. Each group is called a *remainder class modulo* b (or sometimes *residue class*).

Example 5.2.2

Describe the remainder classes modulo 5.

Solution. We want to classify numbers by what their remainder would be when divided by 5. From the division algorithm, we know there will be exactly 5 remainder classes, because there are only 5 choices for what *r* could be ($0 \le r < 5$).

First consider r = 0. Here we are looking for all the numbers divisible by 5 since a = 5q + 0. In other words, the multiples of 5. We get the infinite set

$$\{\ldots, -15, -10, -5, 0, 5, 10, 15, 20, \ldots\}$$

Notice we also include negative integers.

Next consider r = 1. Which integers, when divided by 5, have remainder 1? Well, certainly 1, does, as does 6, and 11. Negatives? Here we must be careful: -6 does NOT have remainder 1. We can write $-6 = -2 \cdot 5 + 4$ or $-6 = -1 \cdot 5 - 1$, but only one of these is a "correct" instance of the division algorithm: r = 4 since we need r to be non-negative. So in fact, to get r = 1, we would have -4, or -9, etc. Thus we get the remainder class

$$\{\ldots, -14, -9, -4, 1, 6, 11, 16, 21, \ldots\}$$

There are three more to go. The remainder classes for 2, 3, and 4 are, respectively

 $\{\dots, -13, -8, -3, 2, 7, 12, 17, 22, \dots\}$ $\{\dots, -12, -7, -2, 3, 8, 13, 18, 23, \dots\}$ $\{\dots, -11, -6, -1, 4, 9, 14, 19, 24, \dots\}.$

Note that in the example above, *every* integer is in exactly one remainder class. The technical way to say this is that the remainder classes modulo *b* form a *partition* of the integers.¹ The most important fact about partitions, is that it is possible to define an *equivalence relation* from a partition: this is a relationship between pairs of numbers which acts in all the important ways like the "equals" relationship.²

All fun technical language aside, the idea is really simple. If two numbers belong to the same remainder class, then in some way, they are the same. That is, they are the same *up to division by b*. In the case where b = 5 above, the numbers 8 and 23, while not the same number, are the same when it comes to dividing by 5, because both have remainder 3.

It matters what the divisor is: 8 and 23 are the same up to division by 5, but not up to division by 7, since 8 has remainder of 1 when divided by 7 while 23 has a remainder of 2.

With all this in mind, let's introduce some notation. We want to say that 8 and 23 are basically the same, even though they are not equal. It would be wrong to say 8 = 23. Instead, we write $8 \equiv 23$. But this is not always true. It works if we are thinking division by 5, so we need to denote that somehow. What we will actually write is this:

$$8 \equiv 23 \pmod{5}$$

which is read, "8 is congruent to 23 modulo 5" (or just "mod 5"). Of course then we could observe that

```
8 \not\equiv 23 \pmod{7}
```

Congruence Modulo *n*

We say *a* is congruent to *b* modulo *n*, and write,

 $a \equiv b \pmod{n}$

¹It is possible to develop a mathematical theory of partitions, prove statements about all partitions in general and then apply those observations to our case here.

²Again, there is a mathematical theory of equivalence relations which applies in many more instances than the one we look at here.

provided a and b have the same remainder when divided by n. In other words, provided a and b belong to the same remainder class modulo n.

Many books define congruence modulo *n* slightly differently. They say that $a \equiv b \pmod{n}$ if and only if $n \mid a - b$. In other words, two numbers are congruent modulo *n*, if their difference is a multiple of *n*. So which definition is correct? Turns out, it doesn't matter: they are equivalent.

To see why, consider two numbers a and b which are congruent modulo n. Then a and b have the same remainder when divided by n. We have

 $a = q_1 n + r \qquad b = q_2 n + r$

Here the two *r*'s really are the same. Consider what we get when we take the difference of *a* and *b*:

$$a - b = q_1n + r - (q_2n + r) = q_1n - q_2n = (q_1 - q_2)n$$

So a - b is a multiple of n, or equivalently, $n \mid a - b$.

On the other hand, if we assume first that $n \mid a - b$, so a - b = kn, then consider what happens if we divide each term by n. Dividing a by n will leave some remainder, as will dividing b by n. However, dividing kn by n will leave 0 remainder. So the remainders on the left-hand side must cancel out. That is, the remainders must be the same.

Thus we have:

Congruence and Divisibility

For any integers *a*, *b*, and *n*, we have

 $a \equiv b \pmod{n}$ if and only if $n \mid a - b$.

It will also be useful to switch back and forth between congruences and regular equations. The above fact helps with this. We know that $a \equiv b \pmod{n}$ if and only if $n \mid a - b$, if and only if a - b = kn for some integer k. Rearranging that equation, we get a = b + kn. In other words, if a and b are congruent modulo n, then a is b more than some multiple of n. This conforms with our earlier observation that all the numbers in a particular remainder class are the same amount larger than the multiples of n.

Congruence and Equality

For any integers *a*, *b*, and *n*, we have

 $a \equiv b \pmod{n}$ if and only if a = b + kn for some integer.

PROPERTIES OF CONGRUENCE

We said earlier that congruence modulo n behaves, in many important ways, the same way equality does. Specifically, we could prove that congruence modulo n is an *equivalence relation*, which would require checking the following three facts:

Congruence Modulo *n* **is an Equivalence Relation**

Given any integers *a*, *b*, and *c*, and any positive integer *n*, the following hold:

1. $a \equiv a \pmod{n}$.

2. If $a \equiv b \pmod{n}$ then $b \equiv a \pmod{n}$.

3. If $a \equiv b \pmod{n}$ and $b \equiv c \pmod{n}$, then $a \equiv c \pmod{n}$.

In other words, congruence modulo *n* is reflexive, symmetric, and transitive, so is an equivalence relation.

You should take a minute to convince yourself that each of the properties above actually hold of congruence. Try explaining each using both the remainder and divisibility definitions.

Next, consider how congruence behaves when doing basic arithmetic. We already know that if you subtract two congruent numbers, the result will be congruent to 0 (be a multiple of n). What if we add something congruent to 1 to something congruent to 2? Will we get something congruent to 3?

Congruence and Arithmetic

Suppose $a \equiv b \pmod{n}$ and $c \equiv d \pmod{n}$. Then the following hold: 1. $a + c \equiv b + d \pmod{n}$. 2. $a - c \equiv b - d \pmod{n}$. 3. $ac \equiv bd \pmod{n}$.

The above facts might be written a little strangely, but the idea is simple. If we have a true congruence, and we add the same thing to both sides, the result is still a true congruence. This sounds like we are saying:

If $a \equiv b \pmod{n}$ then $a + c \equiv b + c \pmod{n}$.

Of course this is true as well, it is the special case where c = d. But what we have works in more generality. Think of congruence as being "basically equal." If we have two numbers which are basically equal, and we add basically the same thing to both sides, the result will be basically equal.

This seems reasonable. Is it really true? Let's prove the first fact:

Proof. Suppose $a \equiv b \pmod{n}$ and $c \equiv d \pmod{n}$. That means a = b + kn and c = d + jn for integers k and j. Add these equations:

$$a + c = b + d + kn + jn.$$

But kn + jn = (k + j)n, which is just a multiple of n. So a + c = b + d + (j + k)n, or in other words, $a + c \equiv b + d \pmod{n}$ QED

The other two facts can be proved in a similar way.

One of the important consequences of these facts about congruences, is that we can basically replace any number in a congruence with any other number it is congruent to. Here are some examples to see how (and why) that works:

Example 5.2.3

Find the remainder of 3491 divided by 9.

Solution. We could do long division, but there is another way. We want to find *x* such that $x \equiv 3491 \pmod{9}$. Now 3491 = 3000 + 400 + 90 + 1. Of course $90 \equiv 0 \pmod{9}$, so we can replace the 90 in the sum with 0. Why is this okay? We are actually subtracting the "same" thing from both sides:

$$x \equiv 3000 + 400 + 90 + 1 \pmod{9}$$

- 0 \equiv 90 \quad (mod 9)
$$x \equiv 3000 + 400 + 0 + 1 \pmod{9}.$$

Next, note that $400 = 4 \cdot 100$, and $100 \equiv 1 \pmod{9}$ (since $9 \mid 99$). So we can in fact replace the 400 with simply a 4. Again, we are appealing to our claim that we can replace congruent elements, but we are really appealing to property 3 about the arithmetic of congruence: we know $100 \equiv 1 \pmod{9}$, so if we multiply both sides by 4, we get $400 \equiv 4 \pmod{9}$.

Similarly, we can replace 3000 with 3, since $1000 = 1 + 999 \equiv 1 \pmod{9}$. So our original congruence becomes

$$x \equiv 3 + 4 + 0 + 1 \pmod{9}$$

 $x \equiv 8 \pmod{9}$.

Therefore 3491 divided by 9 has remainder 8.

The above example should convince you that the well known divisibility test for 9 is true: the sum of the digits of a number is divisible by 9 if and only if the original number is divisible by 9. In fact, we now know something more: any number is congruent to the sum of its digits, modulo 9.³

Let's try another:

Example 5.2.4

Find the remainder when 3^{123} is divided by 7.

Solution. Of course, we are working with congruence because we want to find the smallest positive x such that $x \equiv 3^{123} \pmod{7}$. Now first write $3^{123} = (3^3)^{41}$. We have:

$$3^{123} = 27^{41} \equiv 6^{41} \pmod{7}$$

since $27 \equiv 6 \pmod{7}$. Notice further that $6^2 = 36$ is congruent to 1 modulo 7. Thus we can simplify further:

$$6^{41} = 6 \cdot (6^2)^{20} \equiv 6 \cdot 1^{20} \pmod{7}.$$

But $1^{20} = 1$, so we are done:

 $3^{123} \equiv 6 \pmod{7}.$

In the above example, we are using the fact that if $a \equiv b \pmod{n}$, then $a^p \equiv b^p \pmod{n}$. This is just applying property 3 a bunch of times.

So far we have seen how to add, subtract and multiply with congruences. What about division? There is a reason we have waited to discuss it. It turns out that we cannot simply divide. In other words, even if $ad \equiv bd \pmod{n}$, we do not know that $a \equiv b \pmod{n}$. Consider, for example:

$$18 \equiv 42 \pmod{8}$$
.

This is true. Now 18 and 42 are both divisible by 6. However,

 $3 \not\equiv 7 \pmod{8}$.

While this doesn't work, note that $3 \equiv 7 \pmod{4}$. We cannot divide 8 by 6, but we can divide 8 by the greatest common factor of 8 and 6. Will this always happen?

Suppose $ad \equiv bd \pmod{n}$. In other words, we have ad = bd + kn for some integer k. Of course ad is divisible by d, as is bd. So kn must also be divisible by d. Now if n and d have no common factors (other than 1), then we must have $d \mid k$. But in general, if we try to divide kn by d, we don't know that we will get an integer multiple of n. Some of the n might get divided as well. To be safe, let's divide as much of n as we can. Take the largest factor of both d and n, and cancel that out from n. The rest of the factors of d will come from k, no problem.

We will call the largest factor of both *d* and *n* the gcd(d, n), for *greatest common divisor*. In our example above, gcd(6, 8) = 2 since the greatest divisor common to 6 and 8 is 2.

Congruence and Division

Suppose $ad \equiv bd \pmod{n}$. Then $a \equiv b \pmod{\frac{n}{\gcd(d,n)}}$. If *d* and *n* have no common factors then $\gcd(d, n) = 1$, so $a \equiv b \pmod{n}$.

³This works for 3 as well, but definitely not for any modulus in general.

Example 5.2.5

Simplify the following congruences using division: (a) $24 \equiv 39 \pmod{5}$ and (b) $24 \equiv 39 \pmod{5}$.

Solution. (a) Both 24 and 39 are divisible by 3, and 3 and 5 have no common factors, so we get

 $8 \equiv 13 \pmod{5}$.

(b) Again, we can divide by 3. However, doing so blindly gives us $8 \equiv 13 \pmod{15}$ which is no longer true. Instead, we must also divide the modulus 15 by the greatest common factor of 3 and 15, which is 3. Again we get

 $8 \equiv 13 \pmod{5}$.

Solving Congruences

Now that we have some algebraic rules to govern congruence relations, we can attempt to solve for an unknown in a congruence. For example, is there a value of *x* that satisfies,

$$3x + 2 \equiv 4 \pmod{5},$$

and if so, what is it?

In this example, since the modulus is small, we could simply try every possible value for x. There are really only 5 to consider, since any integer that satisfied the congruence could be replaced with any other integer it was congruent to modulo 5. Here, when x = 4 we get 3x + 2 = 14 which is indeed congruent to 4 modulo 5. This means that x = 9 and x = 14 and x = 19 and so on will each also be a solution because as we saw above, replacing any number in a congruence with a congruent number does not change the truth of the congruence.

So in this example, simply compute 3x + 2 for values of $x \in \{0, 1, 2, 3, 4\}$. This gives 2, 5, 8, 11, and 14 respectively, for which only 14 is congruent to 4.

Let's also see how you could solve this using our rules for the algebra of congruences. Such an approach would be much simpler than the trial and error tactic if the modulus was larger. First, we know we can subtract 2 from both sides:

 $3x \equiv 2 \pmod{5}$.

Then to divide both sides by 3, we first add 0 to both sides. Of course, on the right-hand side, we want that 0 to be a 10 (yes, 10 really is 0 since they are congruent modulo 5). This gives,

 $3x \equiv 12 \pmod{5}$.

Now divide both sides by 3. Since gcd(3, 5) = 1, we do not need to change the modulus:

 $x \equiv 4 \pmod{5}$.

Notice that this in fact gives the *general solution*: not only can x = 4, but x can be any number which is congruent to 4. We can leave it like this, or write "x = 4 + 5k for any integer k."

Example 5.2.6 Solve the following congruences for *x*.

1. $7x \equiv 12 \pmod{13}$.

- 2. $84x 38 \equiv 79 \pmod{15}$.
- 3. $20x \equiv 23 \pmod{14}$.

Solution.

1. All we need to do here is divide both sides by 7. We add 13 to the right-hand side repeatedly until we get a multiple of 7 (adding 13 is the same as adding 0, so this is legal). We get 25, 38,

51, 64, 77 – got it. So we have:

 $7x \equiv 12 \pmod{13}$ $7x \equiv 77 \pmod{13}$ $x \equiv 11 \pmod{13}.$

2. Here, since we have numbers larger than the modulus, we can reduce them prior to applying any algebra. We have $84 \equiv 9$, $38 \equiv 8$ and $79 \equiv 4$. Thus,

$$84x - 38 \equiv 79 \pmod{15}$$
$$9x - 8 \equiv 4 \pmod{15}$$
$$9x \equiv 12 \pmod{15}$$
$$9x \equiv 72 \pmod{15}.$$

We got the 72 by adding $0 \equiv 60 \pmod{15}$ to both sides of the congruence. Now divide both sides by 9. However, since gcd(9, 15) = 3, we must divide the modulus by 3 as well:

$$x \equiv 8 \pmod{5}$$
.

So the solutions are those values which are congruent to 8, or equivalently 3, modulo 5. This means that in some sense there are 3 solutions modulo 15: 3, 8, and 13. We can write the solution:

 $x \equiv 3 \pmod{15}$; $x \equiv 8 \pmod{15}$; $x \equiv 13 \pmod{15}$.

3. First, reduce modulo 14:

 $20x \equiv 23 \pmod{14}$ $6x \equiv 9 \pmod{14}.$

We could now divide both sides by 3, or try to increase 9 by a multiple of 14 to get a multiple of 6. If we divide by 3, we get,

 $2x \equiv 3 \pmod{14}$.

Now try adding multiples of 14 to 3, in hopes of getting a number we can divide by 2. This will not work! Every time we add 14 to the right side, the result will still be odd. We will never get an even number, so we will never be able to divide by 2. Thus there are no solutions to the congruence.

The last congruence above illustrates the way in which congruences might not have solutions. We could have seen this immediately in fact. Look at the original congruence:

$$20x \equiv 23 \pmod{14}$$
.

If we write this as an equation, we get

20x = 23 + 14k,

or equivalently 20x - 14k = 23. We can easily see there will be no solution to this equation in integers. The left-hand side will always be even, but the right-hand side is odd. A similar problem would occur if the right-hand side was divisible by *any* number the left-hand side was not.

So in general, given the congruence

$$ax \equiv b \pmod{n}$$
,

if a and n are divisible by a number which b is not divisible by, then there will be no solutions. In fact, we really only need to check one divisor of a and n: the greatest common divisor. Thus, a more compact way to say this is:

Congruences with no solutions

If $gcd(a, n) \nmid b$, then $ax \equiv b \pmod{n}$ has no solutions.

Solving Linear Diophantine Equations

Discrete math deals with whole numbers of things. So when we want to solve equations, we usually are looking for *integer* solutions. Equations which are intended to only have integer solutions were first studied by in the third century by the Greek mathematician Diophantus of Alexandria, and as such are called *Diophantine equations*. Probably the most famous example of a Diophantine equation is $a^2 + b^2 = c^2$. The integer solutions to this equation are called *Pythagorean triples*. In general, solving Diophantine equations is hard (in fact, there is provably no general algorithm for deciding whether a Diophantine equation has a solution, a result known as Matiyasevich's Theorem). We will restrict our focus to *linear* Diophantine equations, which are considerably easier to work with.

Diophantine Equations

An equation in two or more variables is called a **Diophantine equation** if only integers solutions are of interest. A **linear** Diophantine equation takes the form $a_1x_1 + a_2x_x + \cdots + a_nx_n = b$ for constants a_1, \ldots, a_n, b .

A **solution** to a Diophantine equation is a solution to the equation consisting only of integers.

We have the tools we need to solve linear Diophantine equations. We will consider, as a main example, the equation

$$51x + 87y = 123$$
.

The general strategy will be to convert the equation to a congruence, then solve that congruence.⁴ Let's work this particular example to see how this might go.

First, check if perhaps there are no solutions because a divisor of 51 and 87 is not a divisor of 123. Really, we just need to check whether $gcd(51, 87) \mid 123$. This greatest common divisor is 3, and yes 3 $\mid 123$. At this point, we might as well factor out this greatest common divisor. So instead, we will solve:

$$17x + 29y = 41.$$

Now observe that if there are going to be solutions, then for those values of x and y, the two sides of the equation must have the same remainder as each other, no matter what we divide by. In particular, if we divide both sides by 17, we must get the same remainder. Thus we can safely write

$$17x + 29y \equiv 41 \pmod{17}$$
.

We choose 17 because 17x will have remainder 0. This will allow us to reduce the congruence to just one variable. We could have also moved to a congruence modulo 29, although there is usually a good reason to select the smaller choice, as this will allow us to reduce the other coefficient. In our case, we reduce the congruence as follows:

$$17x + 29y \equiv 41 \pmod{17}$$
$$0x + 12y \equiv 7 \pmod{17}$$
$$12y \equiv 24 \pmod{17}$$
$$y \equiv 2 \pmod{17}.$$

Now at this point we know y = 2 + 17k will work for any integer k. If we haven't made a mistake, we should be able to plug this back into our original Diophantine equation to find x:

$$17x + 29(2 + 17k) = 41$$

$$17x = -17 - 29 \cdot 17k$$

$$x = -1 - 29k.$$

⁴This is certainly not the only way to proceed. A more common technique would be to apply the *Euclidean algorithm*. Our way can be a little faster, and is presented here primarily for variety.

We have now found all solutions to the Diophantine equation. For each k, x = -1 - 29k and y = 2 + 17k will satisfy the equation. We could check this for a few cases. If k = 0, the solution is (-1, 2), and yes, $-17 + 2 \cdot 29 = 41$. If k = 3, the solution is (-88, 53). If k = -2, we get (57, -32).

To summarize this process, to solve ax + by = c, we,

- 1. Divide both sides of the equation by gcd(a, b) (if this does not leave the right-hand side as an integer, there are no solutions). Let's assume that ax + by = c has already been reduced in this way.
- 2. Pick the smaller of *a* and *b* (here, assume it is *b*), and convert to a congruence modulo *b*:

 $ax + by \equiv c \pmod{b}$.

This will reduce to a congruence with one variable, *x*:

 $ax \equiv c \pmod{b}$.

3. Solve the congruence as we did in the previous section. Write your solution as an equation, such as,

x = n + kb

- 4. Plug this into the original Diophantine equation, and solve for *y*.
- 5. If we want to know solutions in a particular range (for example, $0 \le x, y \le 20$), pick different values of *k* until you have all required solutions.

Here is another example:

Example 5.2.7

How can you make \$6.37 using just 5-cent and 8-cent stamps? What is the smallest and largest number of stamps you could use?

Solution. First, we need a Diophantine equation. We will work in numbers of cents. Let *x* be the number of 5-cent stamps, and *y* be the number of 8-cent stamps. We have:

$$5x + 8y = 637$$
.

Convert to a congruence and solve:

 $8y \equiv 367 \pmod{5}$ $3y \equiv 2 \pmod{5}$ $3y \equiv 12 \pmod{5}$ $y \equiv 4 \pmod{5}.$

Thus y = 4 + 5k. Then 5x + 8(4 + 5k) = 637, so x = 121 - 8k.

This says that one way to make \$6.37 is to take 121 of the 5-cent stamps and 4 of the 8-cent stamps. To find the smallest and largest number of stamps, try different values of *k*.

k	(x, y)	Stamps
-1	(129, -1)	not possible
0	(121, 4)	125
1	(113, 9)	122
2	(105, 13)	119
÷		•

This is no surprise. Having the most stamps means we have as many 5-cent stamps as possible, and to get the smallest number of stamps would require have the least number of 5-cent stamps. To

minimize the number of 5-cent stamps, we want to pick *k* so that 121 - 8k is as small as possible (but still positive). When k = 15, we have x = 1 and y = 79.

Therefore, to make \$6.37, you can us as few as 80 stamps (1 5-cent stamp and 79 8-cent stamps) or as many as 125 stamps (121 5-cent stamps and 4 8-cent stamps).

Using this method, as long as you can solve linear congruences in one variable, you can solve linear Diophantine equations of two variables. There are times though that solving the linear congruence is a lot of work. For example, suppose you need to solve,

$$13x \equiv 6 \pmod{51}.$$

You *could* keep adding 51 to the right side until you get a multiple of 13: You would get 57, 108, 159, 210, 261, 312, and 312 is the first of these that is divisible by 13. This works, but is really too much work. Instead we could convert *back* to a Diophantine equation:

$$13x = 6 + 51k$$

Now solve *this* like we have in this section. Write it as a congruence modulo 13:

$$0 \equiv 6 + 51k \pmod{13}$$
$$-12k \equiv 6 \pmod{13}$$
$$2k \equiv -1 \pmod{13}$$
$$2k \equiv 12 \pmod{13}$$
$$k \equiv 6 \pmod{13}$$

so k = 6 + 13j. Now go back and figure out *x*:

$$13x = 6 + 51(6 + 13j)$$
$$x = 24 + 51j.$$

Of course you could do this switching back and forth between congruences and Diophantine equations as many times as you like. If you *only* used this technique, you would essentially replicate the Euclidean algorithm, a more standard way to solve Diophantine equations.

Exercises

- **1.** Suppose *a*, *b*, and *c* are integers. Prove that if *a* | *b*, then *a* | *bc*.
- **2.** Suppose *a*, *b*, and *c* are integers. Prove that if $a \mid b$ and $a \mid c$ then $a \mid b + c$ and $a \mid b c$.
- **3.** Write out the remainder classes for n = 4.

4. Let *a*, *b*, *c*, and *n* be integers. Prove that if $a \equiv b \pmod{n}$ and $c \equiv d \pmod{n}$, then $a - c \equiv b - d \pmod{n}$.

5. Find the remainder of 3^{456} when divided by

- (a) 2.
- (b) 5.
- (c) 7.
- (d) 9.

6. Determine which of the following congruences have solutions, and find any solutions (between 0 and the modulus) by trial and error.

(a)
$$4x \equiv 5 \pmod{6}$$

(b)
$$4x \equiv 5 \pmod{7}$$
.

(c) $6x \equiv 3 \pmod{9}$.

- (d) $6x \equiv 4 \pmod{9}$.
- (e) $x^2 \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$.
- (f) $x^2 \equiv 2 \pmod{7}$.

7. Solve the following congruences (describe the general solution).

- (a) $5x + 8 \equiv 11 \pmod{22}$.
- (b) $6x \equiv 4 \pmod{10}$.
- (c) $4x \equiv 24 \pmod{30}$.
- (d) $341x \equiv 2941 \pmod{9}$.

8. I'm thinking of a number. If you multiply my number by 7, add 5, and divide the result by 11, you will be left with a remainder of 2. What remainder would you get if you divided my original number by 11?

9. Solve the following linear Diophantine equations, using modular arithmetic (describe the general solutions).

- (a) 6x + 10y = 32.
- (b) 17x + 8y = 31.
- (c) 35x + 47y = 1.

10. You have a 13 oz. bottle and a 20 oz. bottle, with which you wish to measure exactly 2 oz. However, you have a limited supply of water. If any water enters either bottle and then gets dumped out, it is gone forever. What is the least amount of water you can start with and still complete the task?

Selected Solutions

0.2 Exercises

0.2.1.

- (a) This is not a statement; it does not make sense to say it is true or false.
- (b) This is an atomic statement (there are some quantifiers, but no connectives).
- (c) This is a molecular statement, specifically a disjunction. Although if we read into it a bit more, what the speaker is really saying is that if the Broncos do not win the super bowl, then he will eat his hat, which would be a conditional.
- (d) This is a molecular statement, a conditional.
- (e) This is an atomic statement. Even though there is an "or" in the statement, it would not make sense to consider the two halves of the disjuction. This is because we quantified *over* the disjunction. In symbols, we have $\forall x(x > 1 \rightarrow (P(x) \lor C(x)))$. If we drop the quantifier, we are not left with a statement, since there is a free variable.
- (f) This is not a statement, although it certainly looks like one. Remember that statements must be true or false. If this sentence were true, that would make it false. If it were false, that would make it true. Examples like this are rare and usually arise from some sort of self-reference.

0.2.2.

(a) $P \wedge Q$.

(b) $P \rightarrow \neg Q$.

- (c) Jack passed math or Jill passed math (or both).
- (d) If Jack and Jill did not both pass math, then Jill did.
- (e) i. Nothing else.
 - ii. Jack did not pass math either.

0.2.5. The statements are equivalent to the...

- (a) converse.
- (b) implication.
- (c) neither.
- (d) implication.
- (e) converse.
- (f) converse.
- (g) implication.
- (h) converse.
- (i) converse.
- (j) converse (in fact, this *is* the converse).

- (k) implication (the statement is the contrapositive of the implication).
- (l) neither.

- (a) $\neg \exists x (E(x) \land O(x)).$
- (b) $\forall x(E(x) \rightarrow O(x+1)).$
- (c) $\exists x (P(x) \land E(x))$ (where P(x) means "x is prime").
- (d) $\forall x \forall y \exists z (x < z < y \lor y < z < x).$
- (e) $\forall x \neg \exists y (x < y < x + 1).$

0.2.8.

- (a) Any even number plus 2 is an even number.
- (b) For any x there is a y such that sin(x) = y. In other words, every number x is in the domain of sine.
- (c) For every *y* there is an *x* such that sin(x) = y. In other words, every number *y* is in the range of sine (which is false).
- (d) For any numbers, if the cubes of two numbers are equal, then the numbers are equal.

0.2.10.

- (a) This says that everything has a square root (every element is the square of something). This is true of the positive real numbers, and also of the complex numbers. It is false of the natural numbers though, as for x = 2 there is no natural number y such that $y^2 = 2$.
- (b) This asserts that between every pair of numbers there is some number strictly between them. This is true of the rationals (and reals) but false of the integers. If x = 1 and y = 2, then there is nothing we can take for *z*.
- (c) Here we are saying that something is between every pair of numbers. For almost every domain, this is false. In fact, if the domain contains $\{1, 2, 3, 4\}$, then no matter what we take *x* to be, there will be a pair that *x* is *not* between. However, the set $\{1, 2, 3\}$ as our domain makes the statement true. Let x = 2. Then no matter what *y* and *z* we pick, if y < z, then 2 is between them.

0.3 Exercises

0.3.1.

- (a) $A \cap B = \{3, 4, 5\}.$
- (b) $A \cup B = \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7\}.$
- (c) $A \setminus B = \{1, 2\}.$
- (d) $A \cap \overline{(B \cup C)} = \{1\}.$
- (e) $A \times C = \{(1,2), (1,3), (1,5), (2,2), (2,3), (2,5), (3,2), (3,3), (3,5), (4,2), (4,3), (4,5), (5,2), (5,3), (5,5)\}$
- (f) Yes. All three elements of *C* are also elements of *A*.
- (g) No. There is an element of *C*, namely the element 2, which is not an element of *B*.

0.3.4. For example, $A = \{1, 2, 3\}$ and $B = \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5, \{1, 2, 3\}\}$

(a) No.

(b) No.

- (c) $2\mathbb{Z} \cap 3\mathbb{Z}$ is the set of all integers which are multiples of both 2 and 3 (so multiples of 6). Therefore $2\mathbb{Z} \cap 3\mathbb{Z} = \{x \in \mathbb{Z} : \exists y \in \mathbb{Z}(x = 6y)\}.$
- (d) $2\mathbb{Z} \cup 3\mathbb{Z}$.

0.3.7.

(a) $A \cup \overline{B}$:



(b) $\overline{(A \cup B)}$:



(c) $A \cap (B \cup C)$:



(d) $(A \cap B) \cup C$:



(e) $\overline{A} \cap B \cap \overline{C}$:



(f) $(A \cup B) \setminus C$:



0.3.9.

- (a) 34.
- (b) 103.
- (c) 8.

0.3.13. For example, $A = \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$ and $B = \{5, 6, 7, 8, 9\}$ gives $A \cup B = \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9\}$.

0.4 Exercises

0.4.1. There are 8 different functions. In two-line notation these are:

$$f = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 \\ a & a & a \end{pmatrix} \quad f = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 \\ b & b & b \end{pmatrix}$$
$$f = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 \\ a & a & b \end{pmatrix} \quad f = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 \\ a & b & a \end{pmatrix} \quad f = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 \\ b & a & a \end{pmatrix}$$
$$f = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 \\ b & a & a \end{pmatrix} \quad f = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 \\ b & a & b \end{pmatrix} \quad f = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 \\ a & b & b \end{pmatrix}$$

None of the functions are injective. Exactly 6 of the functions are surjective. No functions are both (since no functions here are injective).

0.4.2. There are 9 functions: you have a choice of three outputs for f(1), and for each, you have three choices for the output f(2). Of these functions, 6 are injective, 0 are surjective, and 0 are both:

$f = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ a \end{pmatrix}$	$\binom{2}{a}$	$f = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ b \end{pmatrix}$	$\binom{2}{b}$	$f = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ c \end{pmatrix}$	$\binom{2}{c}$
$f = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ a \end{pmatrix}$	$\binom{2}{b}$	$f = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ a \end{pmatrix}$	$\binom{2}{c}$	$f = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ b \end{pmatrix}$	$\binom{2}{c}$
$f = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ b \end{pmatrix}$	$\binom{2}{a}$	$f = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ c \end{pmatrix}$	$\binom{2}{a}$	$f = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ c \end{pmatrix}$	$\binom{2}{b}$

0.4.5.

- (a) *f* is injective, but not surjective (since 0, for example, is never an output).
- (b) *f* is injective and surjective. Unlike in the previous question, every integers is an output (of the integer 4 less than it).
- (c) *f* is injective, but not surjective (10 is not 8 less than a multiple of 5, for example).
- (d) f is not injective, but is surjective. Every integer is an output (of twice itself, for example) but some integers are outputs of more than one input: f(5) = 3 = f(6).

0.4.6.

- (a) f is not injective. To prove this, we must simply find two different elements of the domain which map to the same element of the codomain. Since $f(\{1\}) = 1$ and $f(\{2\}) = 1$, we see that f is not injective.
- (b) f is not surjective. The largest subset of A is A itself, and |A| = 10. So no natural number greater than 10 will ever be an output.
- (c) $f^{-1}(1) = \{\{1\}, \{2\}, \{3\}, \dots, \{10\}\}\$ (the set of all the singleton subsets of *A*).
- (d) $f^{-1}(0) = \{\emptyset\}$. Note, it would be wrong to write $f^{-1}(0) = \emptyset$ that would claim that there is no input which has 0 as an output.

(e) $f^{-1}(12) = \emptyset$, since there are no subsets of *A* with cardinality 12.

0.4.7.

- (a) $f^{-1}(3) = \{003, 030, 300, 012, 021, 102, 201, 120, 210, 111\}$
- (b) $f^{-1}(28) = \emptyset$ (since the largest sum of three digits is 9 + 9 + 9 = 27)
- (c) Part (a) proves that f is not injective. The output 3 is assigned to 10 different inputs.
- (d) Part (b) proves that *f* is not surjective. There is an element of the codomain (28) which is not assigned to any inputs.

0.4.8.

- (a) $|f^{-1}(3)| \le 1$. In other words, either $f^{-1}(3)$ is the emptyset or is a set containing exactly one element. Injective functions cannot have two elements from the domain both map to 3.
- (b) $|f^{-1}(3)| \ge 1$. In other words, $f^{-1}(3)$ is a set containing at least one elements, possibly more. Surjective functions must have something map to 3.
- (c) $|f^{-1}(3)| = 1$. There is exactly one element from X which gets mapped to 3, so $f^{-1}(3)$ is the set containing that one element.

0.4.9. *X* can really be any set, as long as f(x) = 0 or f(x) = 1 for every $x \in X$. For example, $X = \mathbb{N}$ and f(n) = 0 works.

0.4.13.

(a) *f* is injective.

Proof. Let *x* and *y* be elements of the domain \mathbb{Z} . Assume f(x) = f(y). If *x* and *y* are both even, then f(x) = x + 1 and f(y) = y + 1. Since f(x) = f(y), we have x + 1 = y + 1 which implies that x = y. Similarly, if *x* and *y* are both odd, then x - 3 = y - 3 so again x = y. The only other possibility is that *x* is even an *y* is odd (or visa-versa). But then x + 1 would be odd and y - 3 would be even, so it cannot be that f(x) = f(y). Therefore if f(x) = f(y) we then have x = y, which proves that *f* is injective.

(b) *f* is surjective.

Proof. Let *y* be an element of the codomain \mathbb{Z} . We will show there is an element *n* of the domain (\mathbb{Z}) such that f(n) = y. There are two cases: First, if *y* is even, then let n = y + 3. Since *y* is even, *n* is odd, so f(n) = n - 3 = y + 3 - 3 = y as desired. Second, if *y* is odd, then let n = y - 1. Since *y* is odd, *n* is even, so f(n) = n + 1 = y - 1 + 1 = y as needed. Therefore *f* is surjective. QED

0.4.14. Yes, this is a function, if you choose the domain and codomain correctly. The domain will be the set of students, and the codomain will be the set of possible grades. The function is almost certainly not injective, because it is likely that two students will get the same grade. The function might be surjective – it will be if there is at least one student who gets each grade.

0.4.16. This cannot be a function. If the domain were the set of cards, then it is not a function because not every card gets dealt to a player. If the domain were the set of players, it would not be a function because a single player would get mapped to multiple cards. Since this is not a function, it doesn't make sense to say whether it is injective/surjective/bijective.

1.1 Exercises

1.1.1. There are 255 outfits. Use the multiplicative principle.

1.1.2.

- (a) 8 ties. Use the additive principle.
- (b) 15 ties. Use the multiplicative principle
- (c) $5 \cdot (4+3) + 7 = 42$ outfits.

1.1.3.

- (a) For example, 16 is the number of choices you have if you want to watch one movie, either a comedy or horror flick.
- (b) For example, 63 is the number of choices you have if you will watch two movies, first a comedy and then a horror.

1.1.5.

- (a) To maximize the number of elements in common between *A* and *B*, make $A \subset B$. This would give $|A \cap B| = 10$.
- (b) *A* and *B* might have no elements in common, giving $|A \cap B| = 0$.
- (c) $15 \le |A \cup B| \le 25$. In fact, when $|A \cap B| = 0$ then $|A \cup B| = 25$ and when $|A \cap B| = 10$ then $|A \cup B| = 15$.
- **1.1.6.** $|A \cup B| + |A \cap B| = 13$. Use PIE: we know $|A \cup B| = 8 + 5 |A \cap B|$.

1.1.7. 39 students. Use PIE or a Venn diagram.

1.1.11.

- (a) $8^5 = 32768$ words, since you select from 8 letters 5 times.
- (b) $8 \cdot 7 \cdot 6 \cdot 5 \cdot 4 = 6720$ words. After selecting a letter, you have fewer letters to select for the next one.
- (c) $8 \cdot 8 = 64$ words: you need to select the 4th and 5th letters.
- (d) 64 + 64 0 = 128 words. There are 64 words which start with "aha" and another 64 words that end with "bah." Perhaps we over counted the words that both start with "aha" and end with "bah", but since the words are only 5 letters long, there are no such words.
- (e) $(8 \cdot 7 \cdot 6 \cdot 5 \cdot 4) 3 \cdot (5 \cdot 4) = 6660$ words. All the words minus the bad ones. The taboo word can be in any of three positions (starting with letter 1, 2, or 3) and for each position we must choose the other two letters (from the remaining 5 letters).

1.2 Exercises

1.2.1.

- (a) $2^6 = 64$ subsets. We need to select yes/no for each of the six elements.
- (b) $2^3 = 8$ subsets. We need to select yes/no for each of the remaining three elements.
- (c) $2^6 2^3 = 56$ subsets. There are 8 subsets which do not contain any odd numbers (select yes/no for each even number).
- (d) $3 \cdot 2^3 = 24$ subsets. First pick the even number. Then say yes or no to each of the odd numbers.

1.2.2.

(a) $\binom{6}{4} = 15$ subsets.

- (b) $\binom{3}{1} = 3$ subsets. We need to select 1 of the 3 remaining elements to be in the subset.
- (c) $\binom{6}{4} = 15$ subsets. All subsets of cardinality 4 must contain at least one odd number.
- (d) $\binom{3}{1} = 3$ subsets. Select 1 of the 3 even numbers. The remaining three odd numbers of *S* must all be in the set.

1.2.5.

- (a) We can think of each row as a 6-bit string of weight 3 (since of the 6 coins, we require 3 to be pennies). Thus there are $\binom{6}{3} = 20$ rows possible. Each row requires 6 coins, so if we want to make all the rows at the same time, we will need 120 coins (60 of each).
- (b) Now there are $2^6 = 64$ rows possible, which is also $\binom{6}{0} + \binom{6}{1} + \binom{6}{2} + \binom{6}{3} + \binom{6}{4} + \binom{6}{5} + \binom{6}{6}$, if you break them up into rows containing 0, 1, 2, etc. pennies. Thus we need $6 \cdot 64 = 384$ coins (192 of each).

1.2.6. $\binom{10}{6} + \binom{10}{7} + \binom{10}{8} + \binom{10}{9} + \binom{10}{10} = 386$ strings. Count the number of strings with each permissible number of 1's separately, then add them up.

1.2.8. To get an x^{12} , we must pick 12 of the 15 factors to contribute an x, leaving the other 3 to contribute a 2. There are $\binom{15}{12}$ ways to select these 12 factors. So the term containing an x^{12} will be $\binom{15}{12}x^{12}2^3$. In other words, the coefficient of x^{12} is $\binom{15}{12}2^3 = 3640$.

1.2.10.

- (a) $\binom{14}{7}$ = 3432 paths. The paths all have length 14 (7 steps up and 7 steps right), we just select which 7 of those 14 should be up.
- (b) $\binom{6}{2}\binom{8}{5} = 840$ paths. First travel to (5,7), and then continue on to (10,10).
- (c) $\binom{14}{7} \binom{6}{2}\binom{8}{5}$ paths. Remove all the paths that you found in part (b).

1.3 Exercises

1.3.1.

- (a) $\binom{10}{3} = 120$ pizzas. We must choose (in no particular order) 3 out of the 10 toppings.
- (b) $2^{10} = 1024$ pizzas. Say yes or no to each topping.
- (c) P(10,5) = 30240 ways. Assign each of the 5 spots in the left column to a unique pizza topping.

1.3.2. Despite its name, we are not looking for a combination here. The order in which the three numbers appears matters. There are $P(40,3) = 40 \cdot 39 \cdot 38$ different possibilities for the "combination". This is assuming you cannot repeat any of the numbers (if you could, the answer would be 40^3).

1.3.5. $\binom{7}{2}\binom{7}{2} = 441$ quadrilaterals. We must pick two of the seven dots from the top row and two of the seven dots on the bottom row. However, it does not make a difference which of the two (on each row) we pick first because once these four dots are selected, there is exactly one quadrilateral that they determine.

1.3.6.

- (a) 5 squares. You need to skip exactly one dot on the top and on the bottom to make the side lengths equal. Once you pick a dot on the top, the other three dots are determined.
- (b) $\binom{7}{2}$ rectangles. Once you select the two dots on the top, the bottom two are determined.
- (c) This is tricky since you need to worry about running out of space. One way to count: break into cases by the location of the top left corner. You get $\binom{7}{2} + \binom{7}{2} 1 + \binom{7}{2} 3 + \binom{7}{2} 6 + \binom{7}{2} 10 + \binom{7}{2} 15 = 91$ parallelograms.
- (d) All of them

(e) $\binom{7}{2}\binom{7}{2} - \left[\binom{7}{2} + \binom{7}{2} - 1\right] + \binom{7}{2} - 3 + \binom{7}{2} - 6 + \binom{7}{2} - 10 + \binom{7}{2} - 15$]. All of them, except the parallel-ograms.

1.3.8. After the first letter (a), we must rearrange the remaining 7 letters. There are only two letters (s and e), so this is really just a bit-string question (think of s as 1 and e as 0). Thus there $\binom{7}{2} = 21$ anagrams starting with "a".

1.3.10.

- (a) $\binom{20}{4}\binom{16}{4}\binom{12}{4}\binom{8}{4}\binom{4}{4}$ ways. Pick 4 out of 20 people to be in the first foursome, then 4 of the remaining 16 for the second foursome, and so on (use the multiplicative principle to combine).
- (b) $5!\binom{15}{3}\binom{9}{3}\binom{6}{3}\binom{3}{3}\binom{3}{3}$ ways. First determine the tee time of the 5 board members, then select 3 of the 15 non board members to golf with the first board member, then 3 of the remaining 12 to golf with the second, and so on.

1.3.11. 9! (there are 10 people seated around the table, but it does not matter where King Arthur sits, only who sits to his left, two seats to his left, and so on).

1.3.12.

- (a) 17^{10} functions. There are 17 choices for the image of each element in the domain.
- (b) *P*(17, 10) injective functions. There are 17 choices for image of the first element of the domain, then only 16 choices for the second, and so on.

1.4 Exercises

1.4.1.

Proof. Question: How many subsets of size k are there of the set $\{1, 2, ..., n\}$?

Answer 1: You must choose k out of n elements to put in the set, which can be done in $\binom{n}{k}$ ways.

Answer 2: First count the number of *k*-element subsets of $\{1, 2, ..., n\}$ which contain the number *n*. We must choose k - 1 of the n - 1 other element to include in this set. Thus there are $\binom{n-1}{k-1}$ such subsets. We have not yet counted all the *k*-element subsets of $\{1, 2, ..., n\}$ though. In fact, we have missed exactly those subsets which do NOT contain *n*. To form one of these subsets, we need to choose *k* of the other n - 1 elements, so this can be done in $\binom{n-1}{k}$ ways. Thus the answer to the question is $\binom{n-1}{k-1} + \binom{n-1}{k}$.

Since the two answers are both answers tot eh same question, they are equal, establishing the identity $\binom{n}{k} = \binom{n-1}{k-1} + \binom{n-1}{k}$.
QED

1.4.2.

Proof. Question: How many 2-letter words start with *a*, *b*, or *c* and end with either *y* or *z*?

Answer 1: There are two words that start with *a*, two that start with *b*, two that start with *c*, for a total of 2 + 2 + 2.

Answer 2: There are three choices for the first letter and two choices for the second letter, for a total of $3 \cdot 2$.

Since the two answers are both answers to the same question, they are equal. Thus $2 + 2 + 2 = 3 \cdot 2$. QED

1.4.3.

Proof. Question: How many subsets of A = 1, 2, 3, ..., n + 1 contain exactly two elements?

Answer 1: We must choose 2 elements from n + 1 choices, so there are $\binom{n+1}{2}$ subsets.

Answer 2: We break this question down into cases, based on what the larger of the two elements in the subset is. The larger element can't be 1, since we need at least one element smaller than it.

Larger element is 2: there is 1 choice for the smaller element.

Larger element is 3: there are 2 choices for the smaller element.

Larger element is 4: there are 3 choices for the smaller element.

And so on. When the larger element is n + 1, there are n choices for the smaller element. Since each two element subset must be in exactly one of these cases, the total number of two element subsets is $1 + 2 + 3 + \cdots + n$.

Answer 1 and answer 2 are both correct answers to the same question, so they must be equal. Therefore,

$$1+2+3+\dots+n = \binom{n+1}{2}$$
 QED

1.4.4.

- (a) She has $\binom{15}{6}$ ways to select the 6 bridesmaids, and then for each way, has 6 choices for the maid of honor. Thus she has $\binom{15}{6}$ 6 choices.
- (b) She has 15 choices for who will be her maid of honor. Then she needs to select 5 of the remaining 14 friends to be bridesmaids, which she can do in $\binom{14}{5}$ ways. Thus she has $15\binom{14}{5}$ choices.
- (c) We have answered the question (how many wedding parties can the bride choose from) in two ways. The first way gives the left-hand side of the identity and the second way gives the right-hand side of the identity. Therefore the identity holds.

1.4.5.

Proof. Question: You have a large container filled with ping-pong balls, all with a different number on them. You must select *k* of the balls, putting two of them in a jar and the others in a box. How many ways can you do this?

Answer 1: First select 2 of the *n* balls to put in the jar. Then select k - 2 of the remaining n - 2 balls to put in the box. The first task can be completed in $\binom{n}{2}$ different ways, the second task in $\binom{n-2}{k-2}$ ways. Thus there are $\binom{n}{2}\binom{n-2}{k-2}$ ways to select the balls.

Answer 2: First select *k* balls from the *n* in the container. Then pick 2 of the *k* balls you picked to put in the jar, placing the remaining k - 2 in the box. The first task can be completed in $\binom{n}{k}$ ways, the second task in $\binom{k}{2}$ ways. Thus there are $\binom{n}{k}\binom{k}{2}$ ways to select the balls.

Since both answers count the same thing, they must be equal and the identity is established. QED

1.4.6.

- (a) After the 1, we need to find a 5-bit string with one 1. There are $\binom{5}{1}$ ways to do this.
- (b) $\binom{4}{1}$ strings (we need to pick 1 of the remaining 4 slots to be the second 1).
- (c) $\binom{3}{1}$ strings.
- (d) Yes. We still need strings starting with 0001 (there are $\binom{2}{1}$ of these) and strings starting 00001 (there is only $\binom{1}{1} = 1$ of these).
- (e) $\binom{6}{2}$ strings
- (f) An example of the Hockey Stick Theorem:

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1\\1 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 2\\1 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 3\\1 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 4\\1 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 5\\1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 6\\2 \end{pmatrix}$$

1.4.7.

- (a) 3^n strings, since there are 3 choices for each of the *n* digits.
- (b) 1 string, since all the digits need to be 2's. However, we might write this as $\binom{n}{n}$ strings.
- (c) There are $\binom{n}{1}$ places to put the non-2 digit. That digit can be either a 0 or a 1, so there are $2\binom{n}{1}$ such strings.

- (d) We must choose two slots to fill with 0's or 1's. There are ⁿ₂ ways to do that. Once the slots are picked, we have two choices for the first slot (0 or 1) and two choices for the second slot (0 or 1). So there are a total of 2² ⁿ₂ such strings.
- (e) There are $\binom{n}{k}$ ways to pick which slots don't have the 2's. Then those slots can be filled in 2^k ways (0 or 1 for each slot). So there are $2^k \binom{n}{k}$ such strings.
- (f) These strings contain just 0's and 1's, so they are bit strings. There are 2^n bit strings. But keeping with the pattern above, we might write this as $2^n \binom{n}{n}$ strings.
- (g) We answer the question of how many length *n* ternary digit strings there are in two ways. First, each digit can be one of three choices, so the total number of strings is 3^n . On the other hand, we could break the question down into cases by how many of the digits are 2's. If they are all 2's, then there are $\binom{n}{0}$ strings. If all but one is a 2, then there are $2\binom{n}{1}$ strings. If all but 2 of the digits are 2's, then there are $2^2\binom{n}{2}$ strings. We choose 2 of the *n* digits to be non-2, and then there are 2 choices for each of those digits. And so on for every possible number of 2's in the string. Therefore $\binom{n}{0} + 2\binom{n}{1} + 2^2\binom{n}{2} + 2^3\binom{n}{3} + \dots + 2^n\binom{n}{n} = 3^n$.

1.4.8. The word contains 9 letters: 3 "r"s, 2 "a"s and 2 "e"s, along with an "n" and a "g". We could first select the positions for the "r"s in $\binom{9}{3}$ ways, then the "a"s in $\binom{6}{2}$ ways, the "e"s in $\binom{4}{2}$ ways and then select one of the remaining two spots to put the "n" (placing the "g" in the last spot). This gives the answer

$$\binom{9}{3}\binom{6}{2}\binom{4}{2}\binom{2}{1}\binom{1}{1}.$$

Alternatively, we could select the positions of the letters in the opposite order, which would give an answer

$$\binom{9}{1}\binom{8}{1}\binom{7}{2}\binom{5}{2}\binom{3}{3}.$$

(where the 3 "r"s go in the remaining 3 spots). These two expressions are equal:

$$\binom{9}{3}\binom{6}{2}\binom{4}{2}\binom{2}{1}\binom{1}{1} = \binom{9}{1}\binom{8}{1}\binom{7}{2}\binom{5}{2}\binom{3}{3}.$$

1.4.9.

Proof. Question: How many *k*-letter words can you make using *n* different letters without repeating any letter?

Answer 1: There are *n* choices for the first letter, n - 1 choices for the second letter, n - 2 choices for the third letter, and so on until n - (k - 1) choices for the *k*th letter (since k - 1 letters have already been assigned at that point). The product of these numbers can be written $\frac{n!}{(n-k)!}$ which is P(n,k). Therefore there are P(n,k) words.

Answer 2: First pick *k* letters to be in the word from the *n* choices. This can be done in $\binom{n}{k}$ ways. Now arrange those letters into a word. There are *k* choices for the first letter, k - 1 choices for the second, and so on, for a total of *k*! arrangements of the *k* letters. Thus the total number of words is $\binom{n}{k}k!$.

Since the two answers are correct answers to the same question, we have established that $P(n,k) = \binom{n}{k}k!$.

1.4.10.

Proof. Question: How many 5-element subsets are there of the set $\{1, 2, ..., n + 3\}$.

Answer 1: We choose 5 out of the n + 3 elements, so $\binom{n+3}{5}$ subsets.

Answer 2: Break this up into cases by what the "middle" (third smallest) element of the 5 element subset is. The smallest this could be is a 3. In that case, we have $\binom{2}{2}$ choices for the numbers below it, and $\binom{n}{2}$ choices for the numbers above it. Alternatively, the middle number could be a 4. In this case there are $\binom{3}{2}$ choices for the bottom two numbers and $\binom{n-1}{2}$ choices for the top two numbers. If the middle number is 5, then there are $\binom{4}{2}$ choices for the bottom two numbers and $\binom{n-2}{2}$ choices for the top two numbers. An so on,

all the way up to the largest the middle number could be, which is n + 1. In that case there are $\binom{n}{2}$ choices for the bottom two numbers and $\binom{2}{2}$ choices for the top number. Thus the number of 5 element subsets is

$$\binom{2}{2}\binom{n}{2} + \binom{3}{2}\binom{n-1}{2} + \binom{4}{2}\binom{n-2}{2} + \dots + \binom{n}{2}\binom{2}{2}.$$

Since the two answers correctly answer the same question, we have

$$\binom{2}{2}\binom{n}{2} + \binom{3}{2}\binom{n-1}{2} + \binom{4}{2}\binom{n-2}{2} + \dots + \binom{n}{2}\binom{2}{2} = \binom{n+3}{5}.$$
 QED

1.5 Exercises

1.5.1.

- (a) $\binom{10}{5}$ sets. We must select 5 of the 10 digits to put in the set.
- (b) Use stars and bars: each star represents one of the 5 elements of the set, each bar represents a switch between digits. So there are 5 stars and 9 bars, giving us $\binom{14}{9}$ sets.

1.5.2.

- (a) You take 3 strawberry, 1 lime, 0 licorice, 2 blueberry and 0 bubblegum.
- (b) This is backwards. We don't want the stars to represent the kids because the kids are not identical, but the stars are. Instead we should use 5 stars (for the lollipops) and use 5 bars to switch between the 6 kids. For example,

would represent the outcome with the first kid getting 2 lollipops, the third kid getting 3, and the rest of the kids getting none.

- (c) This is the word AAAEOO.
- (d) This doesn't represent a solution. Each star should represent one of the 6 units that add up to 6, and the bars should *switch* between the different variables. We have one too many bars. An example of a correct diagram would be

* * * || * **,

representing that $x_1 = 1$, $x_2 = 2$, $x_3 = 0$, and $x_4 = 3$.

1.5.3.

- (a) $\binom{18}{4}$ ways. Each outcome can be represented by a sequence of 14 stars and 4 bars.
- (b) $\binom{13}{4}$ ways. First put one ball in each bin. This leaves 9 stars and 4 bars.

1.5.4.

- (a) $\binom{7}{2}$ solutions. After each variable gets 1 star for free, we are left with 5 stars and 2 bars.
- (b) $\binom{10}{2}$ solutions. We have 8 stars and 2 bars.
- (c) $\binom{19}{2}$ solutions. This problem is equivalent to finding the number of solutions to x' + y' + z' = 17 where x', y' and z' are non-negative. (In fact, we really just do a substitution. Let x = x' 3, y = y' 3 and z = z' 3).

1.5.5.

(a) There are $\binom{7}{5}$ numbers. We simply choose five of the seven digits and once chosen put them in increasing order.

(b) This requires stars and bars. Use a star to represent each of the 5 digits in the number, and use their position relative to the bars to say what numeral fills that spot. So we will have 5 stars and 6 bars, giving $\binom{11}{6}$ numbers.

1.5.11.

- (a) $\binom{20}{4}$ sodas (order does not matter and repeats are not allowed).
- (b) $P(20, 4) = 20 \cdot 19 \cdot 18 \cdot 17$ sodas (order matters and repeats are not allowed).
- (c) $\binom{23}{19}$ sodas (order does not matter and repeats are allowed; 4 stars and 19 bars).
- (d) 20^4 sodas (order matters and repeats are allowed; 20 choices 4 times).

1.6 Exercises

1.6.1.

- (a) $\binom{9}{6}$ meals.
- (b) $\binom{16}{6}$ meals.
- (c) $\binom{16}{6} \left[\binom{7}{1}\binom{13}{6} \binom{7}{2}\binom{10}{6} + \binom{7}{3}\binom{7}{6}\right]$ meals. Use PIE to subtract all the meals in which you get 3 or more of a particular item.

1.6.3. $\binom{18}{4} - \left[\binom{5}{1}\binom{11}{4} - \binom{5}{2}\binom{4}{4}\right]$. Subtract all the distributions for which one or more bins contain 7 or more balls.

1.6.4. The easiest way to solve this is to instead count the solutions to $y_1 + y_2 + y_3 + y_4 = 7$ with $0 \le y_i \le 3$. By taking $x_i = y_i + 2$, each solution to this new equation corresponds to exactly one solution to the original equation.

Now all the ways to distribute the 7 units to the four y_i variables can be found using stars and bars, specifically 7 stars and 3 bars, so $\binom{10}{3}$ ways. But this includes the ways that one or more y_i variables can be assigned more than 3 units. So subtract, using PIE. We get

$$\binom{10}{3} - \binom{4}{1}\binom{6}{3}.$$

The $\binom{4}{1}$ counts the number of ways to pick one variable to be over-assigned, the $\binom{6}{3}$ is the number of ways to assign the remaining 3 units to the 4 variables. Note that this is the final answer because it is not possible to have two variables both get 4 units.

1.6.7. The 9 derangements are: 2143, 2341, 2413, 3142, 3412, 3421, 4123, 4312, 4321.

1.6.8. First pick one of the five elements to be fixed. For each such choice, derange the remaining four, using the standard advanced PIE formula. We get $\binom{5}{1} \left(4! - \left[\binom{4}{1} 3! - \binom{4}{2} 2! + \binom{4}{3} 1! - \binom{4}{4} 0! \right] \right)$ permutations.

1.6.11. There are $5 \cdot 6^3$ functions for which $f(1) \neq a$ and another $5 \cdot 6^3$ functions for which $f(2) \neq b$. There are $5^2 \cdot 6^2$ functions for which both $f(1) \neq a$ and $f(2) \neq b$. So the total number of functions for which $f(1) \neq a$ or $f(2) \neq b$ or both is

$$5 \cdot 6^3 + 5 \cdot 6^3 - 5^2 \cdot 6^2 = 1260.$$

1.6.12. $5^{10} - [\binom{5}{1}4^{10} - \binom{5}{2}3^{10} + \binom{5}{3}2^{10} - \binom{5}{4}1^{10}]$ functions. The 5^{10} is all the functions from *A* to *B*. We subtract those that aren't surjective. Pick one of the five elements in *B* to not have in the range (in $\binom{5}{1}$) ways) and count all those functions (4¹⁰). But this overcounts the functions where two elements from *B* are excluded from the range, so subtract those. And so on, using PIE.

1.7 Chapter Review

1.7.1.

- (a) $\binom{8}{3}$ ways, after giving one present to each kid, you are left with 5 presents (stars) which need to be divide among the 4 kids (giving 3 bars).
- (b) $\binom{12}{3}$ ways. You have 9 stars and 3 bars.
- (c) 4⁹. You have 4 choices for whom to give each present. This is like making a function from the set of presents to the set of kids.
- (d) $4^9 \left[\binom{4}{1}3^9 \binom{4}{2}2^9 + \binom{4}{3}1^9\right]$ ways. Now the function from the set of presents to the set of kids must be surjective.

1.7.2.

- (a) Neither. $\binom{14}{4}$ paths.
- (b) $\binom{10}{4}$ bow ties.
- (c) P(10, 4), since order is important.
- (d) Neither. Assuming you will wear each of the 4 ties on just 4 of the 7 days, without repeats: $\binom{10}{4}P(7,4)$.
- (e) *P*(10, 4).

(f) $\binom{10}{4}$.

- (g) Neither. Since you could repeat letters: 10^4 . If no repeats are allowed, it would be P(10, 4).
- (h) Neither. Actually, "k" is the 11th letter of the alphabet, so the answer is 0. If "k" was among the first 10 letters, there would only be 1 way - write it down.
- (i) Neither. Either $\binom{9}{3}$ (if every kid gets an apple) or $\binom{13}{3}$ (if appleless kids are allowed).
- (j) Neither. Note that this could not be $\binom{10}{4}$ since the 10 things and 4 things are from different groups. 4^{10} .
- (k) $\binom{10}{4}$ don't be fooled by the "arrange" in there you are picking 4 out of 10 spots to put the 1's.
- (l) $\binom{10}{4}$ (assuming order is irrelevant).
- (m) Neither. 16^{10} (each kid chooses yes or no to 4 varieties).
- (n) Neither. 0.
- (o) Neither. $4^{10} \left[\binom{4}{1}3^{10} \binom{4}{2}2^{10} + \binom{4}{3}1^{10}\right]$.
- (p) Neither. $10 \cdot 4$.
- (q) Neither. 4^{10} .
- (r) $\binom{10}{4}$ (which is the same as $\binom{10}{6}$).
- (s) Neither. If all the kids were identical, and you wanted no empty teams, it would be $\binom{10}{4}$. Instead, this will be the same as the number of surjective functions from a set of size 11 to a set of size 5.
- (t) $\binom{10}{4}$.
- (u) $\binom{10}{4}$.
- (v) Neither. 4!.
- (w) Neither. It's $\binom{10}{4}$ if you won't repeat any choices. If repetition is allowed, then this becomes $x_1 + x_2 + \cdots + x_{10} = 4$, which has $\binom{13}{9}$ solutions in non-negative integers.

- (x) Neither. Since repetition of cookie type is allowed, the answer is 10^4 . Without repetition, you would have P(10, 4).
- (y) $\binom{10}{4}$ since that is equal to $\binom{9}{4} + \binom{9}{3}$.
- (z) Neither. It will be a complicated (possibly PIE) counting problem.

1.7.3.

- (a) $2^8 = 256$ choices. You have two choices for each tie: wear it or don't.
- (b) You have 7 choices for regular ties (the 8 choices less the "no regular tie" option) and 31 choices for bow ties (32 total minus the "no bow tie" option). Thus total you have 7 ⋅ 31 = 217 choices.
- (c) $\binom{3}{2}\binom{5}{3} = 30$ choices.
- (d) Select one of the 3 bow ties to go on top. There are then 4 choices for the next tie, 3 for the tie after that, and so on. Thus $3 \cdot 4! = 72$ choices.

1.7.4. You own 8 purple bow ties, 3 red bow ties, 3 blue bow ties and 5 green bow ties. How many ways can you select one of each color bow tie to take with you on a trip? $8 \cdot 3 \cdot 3 \cdot 5$ ways. How many choices do you have for a single bow tie to wear tomorrow? 8 + 3 + 3 + 5 choices.

1.7.5.

- (a) 4^5 numbers.
- (b) 4⁴ · 2 numbers (choose any digits for the first four digits then pick either an even or an odd last digit to make the sum even).
- (c) We need 3 or more even digits. 3 even digits: $\binom{5}{3}2^32^2$. 4 even digits: $\binom{5}{4}2^42$. 5 even digits: $\binom{5}{5}2^5$. So all together: $\binom{5}{3}2^32^2 + \binom{5}{4}2^42 + \binom{5}{5}2^5$ numbers.

1.7.6. 51 passengers.

1.7.7.

- (a) 2^8 strings.
- (b) $\binom{8}{5}$ strings.
- (c) $\binom{8}{5}$ strings.
- (d) There is a bijection between subsets and bit strings: a 1 means that element in is the subset, a 0 means that element is not in the subset. To get a subset of an 8 element set we have a 8-bit string. To make sure the subset contains exactly 5 elements, there must be 5 1's, so the weight must be 5.

1.7.8. $\binom{13}{10} + \binom{17}{8}$.

1.7.9. With repeated letters allowed: $\binom{8}{5}5^{5}21^{3}$ words. Without repeats: $\binom{8}{5}5!P(21,3)$ words.

1.7.10.

- (a) $\binom{5}{2}\binom{11}{6}$ paths.
- (b) $\binom{16}{8} \binom{12}{7}\binom{4}{1}$ paths.
- (c) $\binom{5}{2}\binom{11}{6} + \binom{12}{5}\binom{4}{3} \binom{5}{2}\binom{7}{3}\binom{4}{3}$ paths.

1.7.11. $\binom{18}{8} \left(\binom{18}{8} - 1 \right)$ routes.

1.7.12. $2^7 + 2^7 - 2^4$ strings (using PIE).

- **1.7.13.** $\binom{7}{3} + \binom{7}{4} \binom{4}{1}$ strings.
- **1.7.14.** (a) $6! 4 \cdot 3!$ words. (b) $6! \binom{6}{3}3!$ words.
1.7.15. 2^n is the number of lattice paths which have length n, since for each step you can go up or right. Such a path would end along the line x + y = n. So you will end at (0, n), or (1, n - 1) or (2, n - 2) or ... or (n, 0). Counting the paths to each of these points separately, give $\binom{n}{0}$, $\binom{n}{1}$, $\binom{n}{2}$, ..., $\binom{n}{n}$ (each time choosing which of the n steps to be to the right). These two methods count the same quantity, so are equal.

1.7.16.

- (a) $\binom{19}{4}$ ways.
- (b) $\binom{24}{4}$ ways.
- (c) $\binom{19}{4} \left[\binom{5}{1}\binom{12}{4} \binom{5}{2}\binom{5}{4}\right]$ ways.

1.7.17.

- (a) $5^4 + 5^4 5^3$ functions.
- (b) $4 \cdot 5^4 + 5 \cdot 4 \cdot 5^3 4 \cdot 4 \cdot 5^3$ functions.
- (c) 5!-[4! + 4! 3!] functions. Note we use factorials instead of powers because we are looking for injective functions.
- (d) Note that being surjective here is the same as being injective, so we can start with all 5! injective functions and subtract those which have one or more "fixed point". We get $5! \left[\binom{5}{1}4! \binom{5}{2}3! + \binom{5}{3}2! \binom{5}{4}1! + \binom{5}{5}0!\right]$ functions.

1.7.18. $4^6 - \left[\binom{4}{1}3^6 - \binom{4}{2}2^6 + \binom{4}{3}1^6\right]$. **1.7.19.**

- (a) $\binom{10}{4}$ combinations. You need to choose 4 of the 10 cookie types. Order doesn't matter.
- (b) $P(10, 4) = 10 \cdot 9 \cdot 8 \cdot 7$ ways. You are choosing and arranging 4 out of 10 cookies. Order matters now.
- (c) $\binom{21}{9}$ choices. You must switch between cookie type 9 times as you make your 12 cookies. The cookies are the stars, the switches between cookie types are the bars.
- (d) 10¹² choices. You have 10 choices for the "1" cookie, 10 choices for the "2" cookie, and so on.
- (e) $10^{12} \left[\binom{10}{1}9^{12} \binom{10}{2}8^{12} + \cdots \binom{10}{10}0^{12}\right]$ choices. We must use PIE to remove all the ways in which one or more cookie type is not selected.

1.7.20.

- (a) You are giving your professor 4 types of cookies coming from 10 different types of cookies. This does not lend itself well to a function interpretation. We *could* say that the domain contains the 4 types you will give your professor and the codomain contains the 10 you can choose from, but then counting injections would be too much (it doesn't matter if you pick type 3 first and type 2 second, or the other way around, just that you pick those two types).
- (b) We want to consider injective functions from the set {most, second most, second least, least} to the set of 10 cookie types. We want injections because we cannot pick the same type of cookie to give most and least of (for example).
- (c) This is not a good problem to interpret as a function. The problem is that the domain would have to be the 12 cookies you bake, but these elements are indistinguishable (there is not a first cookie, second cookie, etc.).
- (d) The domain should be the 12 shapes, the codomain the 10 types of cookies. Since we can use the same type for different shapes, we are interested in counting all functions here.
- (e) Here we insist that each type of cookie be given at least once, so now we are asking for the number of surjections of those functions counted in the previous part.

2.1 Exercises

2.1.1.

(a) $a_n = n^2 + 1$. (b) $a_n = \frac{n(n+1)}{2} - 1$. (c) $a_n = \frac{(n+2)(n+3)}{2} + 2$. (d) $a_n = (n+1)! - 1$ (where $n! = 1 \cdot 2 \cdot 3 \cdots n$).

2.1.3.

- (a) $F_n = F_{n-1} + F_{n-2}$ with $F_0 = 0$ and $F_1 = 1$.
- (b) 0, 1, 2, 4, 7, 12, 20,
- (c) $F_0 + F_1 + \dots + F_n = F_{n+2} 1$.

2.1.4. The sequences all have the same recurrence relation: $a_n = a_{n-1} + a_{n-2}$ (the same as the Fibonacci numbers). The only difference is the initial conditions.

2.2 Exercises

2.2.1.

- (a) $a_n = a_{n-1} + 4$ with $a_1 = 5$.
- (b) $a_n = 5 + 4(n-1)$.
- (c) Yes, since 2013 = 5 + 4(503 1) (so $a_{503} = 2013$).
- (d) 133
- (e) $\frac{538 \cdot 133}{2} = 35777$.

(f)
$$b_n = 1 + \frac{(4n+6)n}{2}$$
.

2.2.2.

- (a) 32, which is 26 + 6.
- (b) $a_n = 8 + 6n$.
- (c) 30500. We want $8 + 14 + \cdots + 602$. Reverse and add to get 100 sums of 610, a total of 61000, which is twice the sum we are looking for.

2.2.3.

(a) 36.

(b)
$$\frac{253\cdot 36}{2} = 4554.$$

2.2.4.

- (a) n + 2 terms, since to get 1 using the formula 6n + 7 we must use n = -1. Thus we have n terms, plus the n = 0 and n = -1 terms.
- (b) 6n + 1, which is 6 less than 6n + 7 (or plug in n 1 for n).
- (c) $\frac{(6n+8)(n+2)}{2}$. Reverse and add. Each sum gives the constant 6n + 8 and there are n + 2 terms.

2.2.5. 68117. If we take $a_0 = 5$, the terms of the sum are an arithmetic sequence with closed formula $a_n = 5 + 2n$. Then $521 = a_{258}$, for a total of 259 terms in the sum. Reverse and add to get 259 identical 526 terms, which is twice the total we seek. $526 \cdot 259 = 68117$

2.2.6. $\frac{5-5\cdot3^{21}}{-2}$. Let the sum be *S*, and compute S - 3S = -2S, which causes terms except 5 and $-5\cdot3^{21}$ to cancel. Then solve for *S*.

2.2.10. We have 2 = 2, 7 = 2 + 5, 15 = 2 + 5 + 8, 26 = 2 + 5 + 8 + 11, and so on. The terms in the sums are given by the arithmetic sequence $b_n = 2 + 3n$. In other words, $a_n = \sum_{k=0}^{n} (2 + 3k)$. To find the closed formula, we reverse and add. We get $a_n = \frac{(4+3n)(n+1)}{2}$ (we have n + 1 there because there are n + 1 terms in the sum for a_n).

(a)
$$\sum_{k=1}^{n} 2k$$
.
(b) $\sum_{k=1}^{107} (1 + 4(k - 1))$.
(c) $\sum_{k=1}^{50} \frac{1}{k}$.
(d) $\prod_{k=1}^{n} 2k$.
(e) $\prod_{k=1}^{100} \frac{k}{k+1}$.

2.2.13.

(a)
$$\sum_{k=1}^{100} (3+4k) = 7+11+15+\dots+403.$$

(b) $\sum_{k=0}^{n} 2^{k} = 1+2+4+8+\dots+2^{n}.$
(c) $\sum_{k=2}^{50} \frac{1}{(k^{2}-1)} = 1+\frac{1}{3}+\frac{1}{8}+\frac{1}{15}+\dots+\frac{1}{2499}$
(d) $\prod_{k=2}^{100} \frac{k^{2}}{(k^{2}-1)} = \frac{4}{3} \cdot \frac{9}{8} \cdot \frac{16}{15} \cdots \frac{10000}{9999}.$
(e) $\prod_{k=0}^{n} (2+3k) = (2)(5)(8)(11)(14) \cdots (2+3n).$

2.3 Exercises

2.3.1.

(a) Notice that the third differences are constant, so $a_n = an^3 + bn^2 + cn + d$. Use the terms of the sequence to solve for *a*, *b*, *c*, and *d* to get $a_n = \frac{1}{6}(12 + 11n + 6n^2 + n^3)$.

(b) $a_n = n^2 + n$. Here we know that we are looking for a quadratic because the second differences are constant. So $a_n = an^2 + bn + c$. Since $a_0 = 0$, we know c = 0. So just solve the system

$$2 = a + b$$
$$6 = 4a + 2b$$

2.3.3. The first differences are 2, 4, 6, 8, . . ., and the second differences are 2, 2, 2, Thus the original sequence is Δ^2 -constant, so can be fit to a quadratic.

Call the original sequence a_n . Consider $a_n - n^2$. This gives 0, -1, -2, -3, ... *That* sequence has closed formula 1 - n (starting at n = 1) so we have $a_n - n^2 = 1 - n$ or equivalently $a_n = n^2 - n + 1$. **2.3.6.** $a_{n-1} = (n-1)^2 + 3(n-1) + 4 = n^2 + n + 2$. Thus $a_n - a_{n-1} = 2n + 2$. Note that this is linear (arithmetic). We can check that we are correct. The sequence a_n is 4, 8, 14, 22, 32, ... and the sequence of differences is thus 4, 6, 8, 10, ... which agrees with 2n + 2 (if we start at n = 1).

2.4 Exercises

2.4.1. 171 and 341. $a_n = a_{n-1} + 2a_{n-2}$ with $a_0 = 3$ and $a_1 = 5$. Closed formula: $a_n = \frac{8}{3}2^n + \frac{1}{3}(-1)^n$. To find this solve the characteristic polynomial, $x^2 - x - 2$, to get characteristic roots x = 2 and x = -1. Then solve the system

$$3 = a + b$$
$$5 = 2a - b$$

2.4.2. $a_n = 3 + 2^{n+1}$. We should use telescoping or iteration here. For example, telescoping gives

$$a_1 - a_0 = 2^1$$

$$a_2 - a_1 = 2^2$$

$$a_3 - a_2 = 2^3$$

$$\vdots$$

$$a_n - a_{n-1} = 2^n$$

which sums to $a_n - a_0 = 2^{n+1} - 2$ (using the multiply-shift-subtract technique from Section 2.2 for the right-hand side). Substituting $a_0 = 5$ and solving for a_n completes the solution.

2.4.3. We claim $a_n = 4^n$ works. Plug it in: $4^n = 3(4^{n-1}) + 4(4^{n-2})$. This works - just simplify the right-hand side.

2.4.4. By the Characteristic Root Technique. $a_n = 4^n + (-1)^n$.

2.5 Exercises

2.5.1.

Proof. We must prove that $1 + 2 + 2^2 + 2^3 + \cdots + 2^n = 2^{n+1} - 1$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Thus let P(n) be the statement $1 + 2 + 2^2 + \cdots + 2^n = 2^{n+1} - 1$. We will prove that P(n) is true for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. First we establish the base case, P(0), which claims that $1 = 2^{0+1} - 1$. Since $2^1 - 1 = 2 - 1 = 1$, we see that P(0) is true. Now for the inductive case. Assume that P(k) is true for an arbitrary $k \in \mathbb{N}$. That is, $1 + 2 + 2^2 + \cdots + 2^k = 2^{k+1} - 1$. We must show that P(k + 1) is true (i.e., that $1 + 2 + 2^2 + \cdots + 2^{k+1} = 2^{k+2} - 1$). To do this, we start with the left-hand side of P(k + 1) and work to the right-hand side:

$$1 + 2 + 2^{2} + \dots + 2^{k} + 2^{k+1} = 2^{k+1} - 1 + 2^{k+1}$$
 by inductive hypothesis
= $2 \cdot 2^{k+1} - 1$
= $2^{k+2} - 1$

Thus P(k + 1) is true so by the principle of mathematical induction, P(n) is true for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. QED

2.5.2.

Proof. Let P(n) be the statement "7^{*n*} – 1 is a multiple of 6." We will show P(n) is true for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. First we establish the base case, P(0). Since $7^0 - 1 = 0$, and 0 is a multiple of 6, P(0) is true. Now for the inductive case. Assume P(k) holds for an arbitrary $k \in \mathbb{N}$. That is, $7^k - 1$ is a multiple of 6, or in other words, $7^k - 1 = 6j$ for some integer *j*. Now consider $7^{k+1} - 1$:

by cleverness: $-1 = -7 + 6$	$7^{k+1} - 1 = 7^{k+1} - 7 + 6$
factor out a 7 from the first two terms	$=7(7^k-1)+6$
by the inductive hypothesis	= 7(6j) + 6
factor out a 6	= 6(7j + 1)

Therefore $7^{k+1} - 1$ is a multiple of 6, or in other words, P(k + 1) is true. Therefore by the principle of mathematical induction, P(n) is true for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

2.5.3.

Proof. Let P(n) be the statement $1 + 3 + 5 + \dots + (2n - 1) = n^2$. We will prove that P(n) is true for all $n \ge 1$. First the base case, P(1). We have $1 = 1^2$ which is true, so P(1) is established. Now the inductive case. Assume that P(k) is true for some fixed arbitrary $k \ge 1$. That is, $1 + 3 + 5 + \dots + (2k - 1) = k^2$. We will now prove that P(k + 1) is also true (i.e., that $1 + 3 + 5 + \dots + (2k + 1) = (k + 1)^2$). We start with the left-hand side of P(k + 1) and work to the right-hand side:

$$1 + 3 + 5 + \dots + (2k - 1) + (2k + 1) = k^{2} + (2k + 1)$$
 by ind. hyp.
= $(k + 1)^{2}$ by factoring

Thus P(k + 1) holds, so by the principle of mathematical induction, P(n) is true for all $n \ge 1$. QED

2.5.4.

Proof. Let P(n) be the statement $F_0 + F_2 + F_4 + \cdots + F_{2n} = F_{2n+1} - 1$. We will show that P(n) is true for all $n \ge 0$. First the base case is easy because $F_0 = 0$ and $F_1 = 1$ so $F_0 = F_1 - 1$. Now consider the inductive case. Assume P(k) is true, that is, assume $F_0 + F_2 + F_4 + \cdots + F_{2k} = F_{2k+1} - 1$. To establish P(k + 1) we work from left to right:

$$F_0 + F_2 + \dots + F_{2k} + F_{2k+2} = F_{2k+1} - 1 + F_{2k+2}$$
 by ind. hyp.
= $F_{2k+1} + F_{2k+2} - 1$
= $F_{2k+3} - 1$ by recursive def.

Therefore $F_0 + F_2 + F_4 + \dots + F_{2k+2} = F_{2k+3} - 1$, which is to say P(k+1) holds. Therefore by the principle of mathematical induction, P(n) is true for all $n \ge 0$. QED

2.5.5.

Proof. Let P(n) be the statement $2^n < n!$. We will show P(n) is true for all $n \ge 4$. First, we check the base case and see that yes, $2^4 < 4!$ (as 16 < 24) so P(4) is true. Now for the inductive case. Assume P(k) is true for an arbitrary $k \ge 4$. That is, $2^k < k!$. Now consider P(k + 1): $2^{k+1} < (k + 1)!$. To prove this, we start with the left side and work to the right side.

$$2^{k+1} = 2 \cdot 2^{k}$$

$$< 2 \cdot k!$$

$$< (k+1) \cdot k!$$

$$= (k+1)!$$
by the inductive hypothesis since $k + 1 > 2$

Therefore $2^{k+1} < (k+1)!$ so we have established P(k+1). Thus by the principle of mathematical induction P(n) is true for all $n \ge 4$.

2.5.10. The only problem is that we never established the base case. Of course, when $n = 0, 0 + 3 \neq 0 + 7$. **2.5.11.**

Proof. Let P(n) be the statement that n + 3 < n + 7. We will prove that P(n) is true for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. First, note that the base case holds: 0 + 3 < 0 + 7. Now assume for induction that P(k) is true. That is, k + 3 < k + 7. We must show that P(k + 1) is true. Now since k + 3 < k + 7, add 1 to both sides. This gives k + 3 + 1 < k + 7 + 1. Regrouping (k + 1) + 3 < (k + 1) + 7. But this is simply P(k + 1). Thus by the principle of mathematical induction P(n) is true for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

2.5.12. The problem here is that while P(0) is true, and while $P(k) \rightarrow P(k + 1)$ for *some* values of k, there is at least one value of k (namely k = 99) when that implication fails. For a valid proof by induction, $P(k) \rightarrow P(k + 1)$ must be true for all values of k greater than or equal to the base case.

2.5.13.

Proof. Let P(n) be the statement "there is a strictly increasing sequence $a_1, a_2, ..., a_n$ with $a_n < 100$." We will prove P(n) is true for all $n \ge 1$. First we establish the base case: P(1) says there is a single number a_1 with $a_1 < 100$. This is true – take $a_1 = 0$. Now for the inductive step, assume P(k) is true. That is there exists a strictly increasing sequence $a_1, a_2, a_3, ..., a_k$ with $a_k < 100$. Now consider this sequence, plus one more term, a_{k+1} which is greater than a_k but less than 100. Such a number exists, for example, the average between a_k and 100. So then P(k + 1) is true, so we have shown that $P(k) \rightarrow P(k + 1)$. Thus by the principle of mathematical induction, P(n) is true for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

2.5.16. The idea is to define the sequence so that a_n is less than the distance between the previous partial sum and 2. That way when you add it into the next partial sum, the partial sum is still less than 2. You could do this ahead of time, or use a clever P(n) in the induction proof.

Proof. Let P(n) be the statement, "there is a sequence of positive real numbers $a_0, a_1, a_2, ..., a_n$ such that $a_0 + a_1 + a_2 + \cdots + a_n < 2$."

Base case: Pick any $a_0 < 2$.

Inductive case: Assume that $a_1 + a_2 + \dots + a_k < 2$. Now let $a_{k+1} = \frac{2 - a_1 + a_2 + \dots + a_k}{2}$. Then $a_1 + a_2 + \dots + a_k + a_{k+1} < 2$.

Therefore, by the principle of mathematical induction, P(n) is true for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ QED

2.5.17. The proof will by by strong induction.

Proof. Let P(n) be the statement "*n* is either a power of 2 or can be written as the sum of distinct powers of 2." We will show that P(n) is true for all $n \ge 1$.

Base case: $1 = 2^0$ is a power of 2, so P(1) is true.

Inductive case: Suppose P(k) is true for all k < n. Now if n is a power of 2, we are done. If not, let 2^x be the largest power of 2 strictly less than n. Consider $n - 2^x$, which is a smaller number, in fact smaller than both n and 2^x . Thus $n - 2^x$ is either a power of 2 or can be written as the sum of distinct powers of 2, but none of them are going to be 2^x , so the together with 2^x we have written n as the sum of distinct powers of 2.

Therefore, by the principle of (strong) mathematical induction, P(n) is true for all $n \ge 1$. QED

2.5.19. Note, we have already proven this without using induction, but looking at it inductively sheds light onto the problem (and is fun).

Proof. Let P(n) be the statement "when *n* people shake hands with each other, there are a total of $\frac{n(n-1)}{2}$ handshakes."

Base case: When n = 2, there will be one handshake, and $\frac{2(2-1)}{2} = 1$. Thus P(2) is true.

Inductive case: Assume P(k) is true for arbitrary $k \ge 2$ (that the number of handshakes among k people is $\frac{k(k-1)}{2}$. What happens if a k + 1st person shows up? How many *new* handshakes take place? The new person must shake hands with everyone there, which is k new handshakes. So the total is now $\frac{k(k-1)}{2} + k = \frac{(k+1)k}{2}$, as needed.

Therefore, by the principle of mathematical induction, P(n) is true for all $n \ge 2$.

QED

2.5.20. When n = 0, we get $x^0 + \frac{1}{x^0} = 2$ and when n = 1, $x + \frac{1}{x}$ is an integer, so the base case holds. Now assume the result holds for all natural numbers n < k. In particular, we know that $x^{k-1} + \frac{1}{x^{k-1}}$ and $x + \frac{1}{x}$ are both integers. Thus their product is also an integer. But,

$$\left(x^{k-1} + \frac{1}{x^{k-1}}\right)\left(x + \frac{1}{x}\right) = x^k + \frac{x^{k-1}}{x} + \frac{x}{x^{k-1}} + \frac{1}{x^k}$$
$$= x^k + \frac{1}{x^k} + x^{k-2} + \frac{1}{x^{k-2}}$$

Note also that $x^{k-2} + \frac{1}{x^{k-2}}$ is an integer by the induction hypothesis, so we can conclude that $x^k + \frac{1}{x^k}$ is an integer.

2.5.23. The idea here is that if we take the logarithm of a^n , we can increase *n* by 1 if we multiply by another *a* (inside the logarithm). This results in adding 1 more log(a) to the total.

Proof. Let P(n) be the statement $\log(a^n) = n \log(a)$. The base case, P(2) is true, because $\log(a^2) = \log(a \cdot a) = \log(a) + \log(a) = 2 \log(a)$, by the product rule for logarithms. Now assume, for induction, that P(k) is true. That is, $\log(a^k) = k \log(a)$. Consider $\log(a^{k+1})$. We have

$$\log(a^{k+1}) = \log(a^k \cdot a) = \log(a^k) + \log(a) = k \log(a) + \log(a)$$

with the last equality due to the inductive hypothesis. But this simplifies to $(k + 1)\log(a)$, establishing P(k + 1). Therefore by the principle of mathematical induction, P(n) is true for all $n \ge 2$. QED

2.6 CHAPTER REVIEW

2.6.1. $\frac{430\cdot107}{2} = 23005.$ **2.6.2.** (a) n + 2 terms.

(b) 4n + 2.

(c)
$$\frac{(4n+8)(n+2)}{2}$$

2.6.3.

(a) 2, 10, 50, 250, . . . The sequence is geometric.

(b)
$$\frac{2-2\cdot 5^{25}}{-4}$$
.

2.6.5. $a_n = n^2 + 4n - 1$.

2.6.6.

- (a) The sequence of partial sums will be a degree 4 polynomial (its sequence of differences will be the original sequence).
- (b) The sequence of second differences will be a degree 1 polynomial an arithmetic sequence.

2.6.7.

- (a) 4, 6, 10, 16, 26, 42,
- (b) No, taking differences gives the original sequence back, so the differences will never be constant.

2.6.8. $b_n = (n+3)n$. **2.6.10.**

(a) 1, 2, 16, 68, 364,

(b)
$$a_n = \frac{3}{7}(-2)^n + \frac{4}{7}5^n$$

2.6.11.

- (a) $a_2 = 14$. $a_3 = 52$.
- (b) $a_n = \frac{1}{6}(-2)^n + \frac{5}{6}4^n$.

2.6.12.

- (a) On the first day, your 2 mini bunnies become 2 large bunnies. On day 2, your two large bunnies produce 4 mini bunnies. On day 3, you have 4 mini bunnies (produced by your 2 large bunnies) plus 6 large bunnies (your original 2 plus the 4 newly matured bunnies). On day 4, you will have 12 mini bunnies (2 for each of the 6 large bunnies) plus 10 large bunnies (your previous 6 plus the 4 newly matured). The sequence of total bunnies is 2, 2, 6, 10, 22, 42... starting with $a_0 = 2$ and $a_1 = 2$.
- (b) $a_n = a_{n-1} + 2a_{n-2}$. This is because the number of bunnies is equal to the number of bunnies you had the previous day (both mini and large) plus 2 times the number you had the day before that (since all bunnies you had 2 days ago are now large and producing 2 new bunnies each).
- (c) Using the characteristic root technique, we find $a_n = a2^n + b(-1)^n$, and we can find *a* and *b* to give $a_n = \frac{4}{3}2^n + \frac{2}{3}(-1)^n$.

2.6.13.

- (a) Hint: $(n+1)^{n+1} > (n+1) \cdot n^n$.
- (b) Hint: This should be similar to the other sum proofs. The last bit comes down to adding fractions.
- (c) Hint: Write $4^{k+1} 1 = 4 \cdot 4^k 4 + 3$.
- (d) Hint: one 9-cent stamp is 1 more than two 4-cent stamps, and seven 4-cent stamps is 1 more than three 9-cent stamps.
- (e) Careful to actually use induction here. The base case: $2^2 = 4$. The inductive case: assume $(2n)^2$ is divisible by 4 and consider $(2n + 2)^2 = (2n)^2 + 4n + 4$. This is divisible by 4 because 4n + 4 clearly is, and by our inductive hypothesis, so is $(2n)^2$.

2.6.14. Hint: This is a straight forward induction proof. Note you will need to simplify $\left(\frac{n(n+1)}{2}\right)^2 + (n+1)^3$ and get $\left(\frac{(n+1)(n+2)}{2}\right)^2$.

2.6.15. Hint: there are two base cases P(0) and P(1). Then, for the inductive case, assume P(k) is true for all k < n. This allows you to assume $a_{n-1} = 1$ and $a_{n-2} = 1$. Apply the recurrence relation.

2.6.16. Note that $1 = 2^0$; this is your base case. Now suppose *k* can be written as the sum of distinct powers of 2 for all $1 \le k \le n$. We can then write *n* as the sum of distinct powers of 2 as follows: subtract the largest power of 2 less than *n* from *n*. That is, write $n = 2^j + k$ for the largest possible *j*. But *k* is now less than *n*, and also less than 2^j , so write *k* as the sum of distinct powers of 2 (we can do so by the inductive hypothesis). Thus *n* can be written as the sum of distinct powers of 2 for all $n \ge 1$.

2.6.17. Let P(n) be the statement, "every set containing n elements has 2^n different subsets." We will show P(n) is true for all $n \ge 1$. Base case: Any set with 1 element $\{a\}$ has exactly 2 subsets: the empty set and the set itself. Thus the number of subsets is $2 = 2^1$. Thus P(1) is true. Inductive case: Suppose P(k) is true for some arbitrary $k \ge 1$. Thus every set containing exactly k elements has 2^k different subsets. Now consider a set containing k + 1 elements: $A = \{a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_k, a_{k+1}\}$. Any subset of A must either contain a_{k+1} or not. In other words, a subset of A is just a subset of $\{a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_k\}$ with or without a_{k+1} . Thus there are 2^k subsets of A which contain a_{k+1} and another 2^{k+1} subsets of A which do not contain a^{k+1} . This gives a total of $2^k + 2^k = 2 \cdot 2^k = 2^{k+1}$ subsets of A. But our choice of A was arbitrary, so this works for any subset containing k + 1 elements, so P(k + 1) is true. Therefore, by the principle of mathematical induction, P(n) is true for all $n \ge 1$.

3.1 Exercises

3.1.1.

- (a) *P*: it's your birthday; *Q*: there will be cake. $(P \lor Q) \rightarrow Q$
- (b) Hint: you should get three T's and one F.
- (c) Only that there will be cake.
- (d) It's NOT your birthday!
- (e) It's your birthday, but the cake is a lie.

3.1.2.

P	Q	$(P \lor Q) \to (P \land Q)$
Т	Т	Т
Т	F	F
F	Т	F
F	F	Т

3.1.3.

P	Q	$\neg P \land (Q \to P)$
Т	Т	F
Т	F	F
F	Т	F
F	F	Т

If the statement is true, then both *P* and *Q* are false.

3.1.5. Make a truth table for each and compare. The statements are logically equivalent.

3.1.7.

- (a) $P \wedge Q$.
- (b) $(\neg P \lor \neg R) \rightarrow (Q \lor \neg R)$ or, replacing the implication with a disjunction first: $(P \land Q) \lor (Q \lor \neg R)$.
- (c) $(P \land Q) \land (R \land \neg R)$. This is necessarily false, so it is also equivalent to $P \land \neg P$.

(d) Either Sam is a woman and Chris is a man, or Chris is a woman.

3.1.10. The deduction rule is valid. To see this, make a truth table which contains $P \lor Q$ and $\neg P$ (and P and Q of course). Look at the truth value of Q in each of the rows that have $P \lor Q$ and $\neg P$ true. **3.1.14.**

- (a) $\forall x \exists y (O(x) \land \neg E(y)).$
- (b) $\exists x \forall y (x \ge y \lor \forall z (x \ge z \land y \ge z)).$
- (c) There is a number *n* for which every other number is strictly greater than *n*.
- (d) There is a number *n* which is not between any other two numbers.

3.2 Exercises

3.2.1.

- (a) For all integers a and b, if a or b is not even, then a + b is not even.
- (b) For all integers *a* and *b*, if *a* and *b* are even, then a + b is even.
- (c) There are numbers a and b such that a + b is even but a and b are not both even.
- (d) False. For example, a = 3 and b = 5. a + b = 8, but neither a nor b are even.
- (e) False, since it is equivalent to the original statement.
- (f) True. Let *a* and *b* be integers. Assume both are even. Then a = 2k and b = 2j for some integers *k* and *j*. But then a + b = 2k + 2j = 2(k + j) which is even.
- (g) True, since the statement is false.

3.2.2.

(a) Direct proof.

Proof. Let *n* be an integer. Assume *n* is even. Then n = 2k for some integer *k*. Thus 8n = 16k = 2(8k). Therefore 8n is even. QED

(b) The converse is false. That is, there is an integer n such that 8n is even but n is odd. For example, consider n = 3. Then 8n = 24 which is even but n = 3 is odd.

3.2.6.

Proof. Suppose $\sqrt{3}$ were rational. Then $\sqrt{3} = \frac{a}{b}$ for some integers *a* and $b \neq 0$. Without loss of generality, assume $\frac{a}{b}$ is reduced. Now

$$3 = \frac{a^2}{b^2}$$
$$b^2 3 = a^2$$

So a^2 is a multiple of 3. This can only happen if *a* is a multiple of 3, so a = 3k for some integer *k*. Then we have

$$b^2 3 = 9k^2$$
$$b^2 = 3k^2$$

So b^2 is a multiple of 3, making *b* a multiple of 3 as well. But this contradicts our assumption that $\frac{a}{b}$ is in lowest terms.

OED

Therefore, $\sqrt{3}$ is irrational.

3.2.8. We will prove the contrapositive: if *n* is even, then 5*n* is even.

Proof. Let *n* be an arbitrary integer, and suppose *n* is even. Then n = 2k for some integer *k*. Thus $5n = 5 \cdot 2k = 10k = 2(5k)$. Since 5k is an integer, we see that 5n must be even. This completes the proof. QED

3.2.11.

(a) This is an example of the pigeonhole principle. We can prove it by contrapositive.

Proof. Suppose that each number only came up 6 or fewer times. So there are at most six 1's, six 2's, and so on. That's a total of 36 dice, so you must not have rolled all 40 dice. QED

(b) We can have 9 dice without any four matching or any four being all different: three 1's, three 2's, three 3's. We will prove that whenever you roll 10 dice, you will always get four matching or all being different.

Proof. Suppose you roll 10 dice, but that there are NOT four matching rolls. This means at most, there are three of any given value. If we only had three different values, that would be only 9 dice, so there must be 4 different values, giving 4 dice that are all different. QED

3.2.12. We give a proof by contradiction.

Proof. Suppose, contrary to stipulation that $\log(7)$ is rational. Then $\log(7) = \frac{a}{b}$ with a and $b \neq 0$ integers. By properties of logarithms, this implies

 $7 = 10^{\frac{a}{b}}$

Equivalently,

 $7^{b} = 10^{a}$

But this is impossible as any power of 7 will be odd while any power of 10 will be even. Therefore, log(7)is irrational. QED

3.2.15.

- (a) Proof by contradiction. Start of proof: Assume, for the sake of contradiction, that there are integers *x* and y such that x is a prime greater than 5 and x = 6y + 3. End of proof: ... this is a contradiction, so there are no such integers.
- (b) Direct proof. Start of proof: Let *n* be an integer. Assume *n* is a multiple of 3. End of proof: Therefore *n* can be written as the sum of consecutive integers.
- (c) Proof by contrapositive. Start of proof: Let *a* and *b* be integers. Assume that *a* and *b* are even. End of proof: Therefore $a^2 + b^2$ is even.

3.3 CHAPTER REVIEW

P	Q	R	$\neg P \to (Q \land R)$
Т	Т	Т	Т
Т	Т	F	Т
Т	F	Т	Т
Т	F	F	Т
F	Т	Т	Т
F	Т	F	F
F	F	Т	F
F	F	F	F
			•

3.3.2. Peter is not tall and Robert is not skinny. You must be in row 6 in the truth table above.

3.3.3. Yes. To see this, make a truth table for each statement and compare.

3.3.4. Make a truth table that includes all three statements in the argument:

Р	Q	R	$P \rightarrow Q$	$P \rightarrow R$	$P \to (Q \land R)$
Т	Т	Т	Т	Т	Т
Т	Т	F	Т	F	F
Т	F	Т	F	Т	F
Т	F	F	F	F	F
F	Т	Т	Т	Т	Т
F	Т	F	Т	Т	Т
F	F	Т	Т	Т	Т
F	F	F	Т	Т	Т

3.3.1.

Notice that in every row for which both $P \to Q$ and $P \to R$ is true, so is $P \to (Q \land R)$. Therefore, whenever the premises of the argument are true, so is the conclusion. In other words, the deduction rule is valid.

3.3.5.

- (a) Negation: The power goes off and the food does not spoil. Converse: If the food spoils, then the power went off. Contrapositive: If the food does not spoil, then the power did not go off.
- (b) Negation: The door is closed and the light is on. Converse: If the light is off then the door is closed. Contrapositive: If the light is on then the door is open.
- (c) Negation: $\exists x (x < 1 \land x^2 \ge 1)$ Converse: $\forall x (x^2 < 1 \rightarrow x < 1)$ Contrapositive: $\forall x (x^2 \ge 1 \rightarrow x \ge 1)$.
- (d) Negation: There is a natural number *n* which is prime but not solitary. Converse: For all natural numbers *n*, if *n* is solitary, then *n* is prime. Contrapositive: For all natural numbers *n*, if *n* is not solitary then *n* is not prime.
- (e) Negation: There is a function which is differentiable and not continuous. Converse: For all functions f, if f is continuous then f is differentiable. Contrapositive: For all functions f, if f is not continuous then f is not differentiable.
- (f) Negation: There are integers a and b for which $a \cdot b$ is even but a or b is odd. Converse: For all integers a and b, if a and b are even then ab is even. Contrapositive: For all integers a and b, if a or b is odd, then ab is odd.
- (g) Negation: There are integers x and y such that for every integer n, x > 0 and $nx \le y$. Converse: For every integer x and every integer y there is an integer n such that if nx > y then x > 0. Contrapositive: For every integer x and every integer y there is an integer n such that if $nx \le y$ then $x \le 0$.
- (h) Negation: There are real numbers x and y such that xy = 0 but $x \neq 0$ and $y \neq 0$. Converse: For all real numbers x and y, if x = 0 or y = 0 then xy = 0 Contrapositive: For all real numbers x and y, if $x \neq 0$ and $y \neq 0$ then $xy \neq 0$.
- (i) Negation: There is at least one student in Math 228 who does not understand implications but will still pass the exam. Converse: For every student in Math 228, if they fail the exam, then they did not understand implications. Contrapositive: For every student in Math 228, if they pass the exam, then they understood implications.

3.3.6.

- (a) The statement is true. If *n* is an even integer less than or equal to 7, then the only way it could not be negative is if *n* was equal to 0, 2, 4, or 6.
- (b) There is an integer *n* such that *n* is even and $n \le 7$ but *n* is not negative and $n \notin \{0, 2, 4, 6\}$. This is false, since the original statement is true.
- (c) For all integers *n*, if *n* is not negative and $n \notin \{0, 2, 4, 6\}$ then *n* is odd or n > 7. This is true, since the contrapositive is equivalent to the original statement (which is true).
- (d) For all integers *n*, if *n* is negative or $n \in \{0, 2, 4, 6\}$ then *n* is even and $n \le 7$. This is false. n = -3 is a counterexample.

3.3.7.

- (a) For any number x, if it is the case that adding any number to x gives that number back, then multiplying any number by x will give 0. This is true (of the integers or the reals). The "if" part only holds if x = 0, and in that case, anything times x will be 0.
- (b) The converse in words is this: for any number x, if everything times x is zero, then everything added to x gives itself. Or in symbols: $\forall x (\forall z (x \cdot z = 0) \rightarrow \forall y (x + y = y))$. The converse is true: the only number which when multiplied by any other number gives 0 is x = 0. And if x = 0, then x + y = y.

- (c) The contrapositive in words is: for any number x, if there is some number which when multiplied by x does not give zero, then there is some number which when added to x does not give that number. In symbols: $\forall x (\exists z (x \cdot z \neq 0) \rightarrow \exists y (x + y \neq y))$. We know the contrapositive must be true because the original implication is true.
- (d) The negation: there is a number *x* such that any number added to *x* gives the number back again, but there is a number you can multiply *x* by and not get 0. In symbols: $\exists x (\forall y(x + y = y) \land \exists z(x \cdot z \neq 0))$. Of course since the original implication is true, the negation is false.

3.3.8.

- (a) If you have lost weight, then you exercised.
- (b) If you exercise, then you will lose weight.
- (c) If you are American, then you are patriotic.
- (d) If you are patriotic, then you are American.
- (e) If a number is rational, then it is real.
- (f) If a number is not even, then it is prime. (Or the contrapositive: if a number is not prime, then it is even.)
- (g) If the Broncos don't win the Super Bowl, then they didn't play in the Super Bowl. Alternatively, if the Broncos play in the Super Bowl, then they will win the Super Bowl.

3.3.9.

- (a) $(\neg P \lor Q) \land (\neg R \lor (P \land \neg R)).$
- (b) $\forall x \forall y \forall z (z = x + y \land \forall w (x y \neq w)).$

3.3.10.

(a) Direct proof.

Proof. Let *n* be an integer. Assume *n* is odd. So n = 2k + 1 for some integer *k*. Then

$$7n = 7(2k + 1) = 14k + 7 = 2(7k + 3) + 1.$$

Since 7k + 3 is an integer, we see that 7n is odd.

(b) The converse is: for all integers n, if 7n is odd, then n is odd. We will prove this by contrapositive.

Proof. Let *n* be an integer. Assume *n* is not odd. Then n = 2k for some integer *k*. So 7n = 14k = 2(7k) which is to say 7n is even. Therefore 7n is not odd.

3.3.11.

- (a) Suppose you only had 5 coins of each denomination. This means you have 5 pennies, 5 nickels, 5 dimes and 5 quarters. This is a total of 20 coins. But you have more than 20 coins, so you must have more than 5 of at least one type.
- (b) Suppose you have 22 coins, including 2k nickels, 2j dimes, and 2l quarters (so an even number of each of these three types of coins). The number of pennies you have will then be

$$22 - 2k - 2j - 2l = 2(11 - k - j - l)$$

But this says that the number of pennies is also even (it is 2 times an integer). Thus we have established the contrapositive of the statement, "If you have an odd number of pennies then you have an odd number of at least one other coin type."

(c) You need 10 coins. You could have 3 pennies, 3 nickels, and 3 dimes. The 10th coin must either be a quarter, giving you 4 coins that are all different, or else a 4th penny, nickel or dime. To prove this, assume you don't have 4 coins that are all the same or all different. In particular, this says that you only have 3 coin types, and each of those types can only contain 3 coins, for a total of 9 coins, which is less than 10.

4.1 Exercises

4.1.1. This is asking for the number of edges in K_{10} . Each vertex (person) has degree (shook hands with) 9 (people). So the sum of the degrees is 90. However, the degrees count each edge (handshake) twice, so there are 45 edges in the graph. That is how many handshakes took place.

4.1.2. It is possible for everyone to be friends with exactly 2 people. You could arrange the 5 people in a circle and say that everyone is friends with the two people on either side of them (so you get the graph C_5). However, it is not possible for everyone to be friends with 3 people. That would lead to a graph with an odd number of odd degree vertices which is impossible since the sum of the degrees must be even.

4.1.3. Yes. For example, both graphs below contain 6 vertices, 7 edges, and have degrees (2,2,2,2,3,3).



4.1.4. The graphs are not equal. For example, graph 1 has an edge $\{a, b\}$ but graph 2 does not have that edge. They are isomorphic. One possible isomorphism is $f : G_1 \to G_2$ defined by f(a) = d, f(b) = c, f(c) = e, f(d) = b, f(e) = a.

4.1.6. Three of the graphs are bipartite. The one which is not is C_7 (second from the right). To see that the three graphs are bipartite, we can just give the bipartition into two sets *A* and *B*, as labeled below:



The graph C_7 is not bipartite because it is an *odd* cycle. You would want to put every other vertex into the set *A*, but if you travel clockwise in this fashion, the last vertex will also be put into the set *A*, leaving two *A* vertices adjacent (which makes it not a bipartition).

4.1.8.

(a) For example:



(b) This is not possible if we require the graphs to be connected. If not, we could take C_8 as one graph and two copies of C_4 as the other.

- (c) Not possible. If you have a graph with 5 vertices all of degree 4, then every vertex must be adjacent to every other vertex. This is the graph *K*₅.
- (d) This is not possible. In fact, there is not even one graph with this property (such a graph would have $5 \cdot 3/2 = 7.5$ edges).

4.2 Exercises

4.2.1. No. A (connected) planar graph must satisfy Euler's formula: v-e+f = 2. Here v-e+f = 6-10+5 = 1. **4.2.2.** *G* has 10 edges, since $10 = \frac{2+2+3+4+4+5}{2}$. It could be planar, and then it would have 6 faces, using Euler's formula: 6 - 10 + f = 2 means f = 6. To make sure that it is actually planar though, we would need to draw a graph with those vertex degrees without edges crossing. This can be done by trial and error (and is possible).

4.2.3. Say the last polyhedron has *n* edges, and also *n* vertices. The total number of edges the polyhedron has then is $(7 \cdot 3 + 4 \cdot 4 + n)/2 = (37 + n)/2$. In particular, we know the last face must have an odd number of edges. We also have that v = 11. By Euler's formula, we have 11 - (37 + n)/2 + 12 = 2, and solving for *n* we get n = 5, so the last face is a pentagon.

4.2.5.

Proof. Let P(n) be the statement, "every planar graph containing n edges satisfies v - n + f = 2." We will show P(n) is true for all $n \ge 0$. Base case: there is only one graph with zero edges, namely a single isolated vertex. In this case v = 1, f = 1 and e = 0, so Euler's formula holds. Inductive case: Suppose P(k) is true for some arbitrary $k \ge 0$. Now consider an arbitrary graph containing k + 1 edges (and v vertices and f faces). No matter what this graph looks like, we can remove a single edge to get a graph with k edges which we can apply the inductive hypothesis to. There are two possibilities. First, the edge we remove might be incident to a degree 1 vertex. In this case, also remove that vertex. The smaller graph will now satisfy v - 1 - k + f = 2 by the induction hypothesis (removing the edge and vertex did not reduce the number of faces). Adding the edge and vertex back gives v - (k + 1) + f = 2, as required. The second case is that the edge we remove is incident to vertices of degree greater than one. In this case, removing the edge will keep the number of vertices the same but reduce the number of faces by one. So by the inductive hypothesis we will have v - k + f - 1 = 2. Adding the edge back will give v - (k + 1) + f = 2 as needed. Therefore, by the principle of mathematical induction, Euler's formula holds for all planar graphs.

4.2.9.

Proof. We know in any planar graph the number of faces f satisfies $3f \le 2e$ since each face is bounded by at least three edges, but each edge borders two faces. Combine this with Euler's formula:

$$v - e + f = 2$$

$$v - e + \frac{2e}{3} \ge 2$$

$$3v - e \ge 6$$

$$3v - 6 \ge e.$$
QED

4.3 Exercises

4.3.1. 2, since the graph is bipartite. One color for the top set of vertices, another color for the bottom set of vertices.

4.3.2. For example, K_6 . If the chromatic number is 6, then the graph is not planar; the 4-color theorem states that all planar graphs can be colored with 4 or fewer colors.

4.3.3. The chromatic numbers are 2, 3, 4, 5, and 3 respectively from left to right.

4.3.5. The cube can be represented as a planar graph and colored with two colors as follows:

v



Since it would be impossible to color the vertices with a single color, we see that the cube has chromatic number 2 (it is bipartite).

4.3.8. The wheel graph below has this property. The outside of the wheel forms an odd cycle, so requires 3 colors, the center of the wheel must be different than all the outside vertices.



4.3.10. If we drew a graph with each letter representing a vertex, and each edge connecting two letters that were consecutive in the alphabet, we would have a graph containing two vertices of degree 1 (A and Z) and the remaining 24 vertices all of degree 2 (for example, D would be adjacent to both C and E). By Brooks' theorem, this graph has chromatic number at most 2, as that is the maximal degree in the graph and the graph is not a complete graph or odd cycle. Thus only two boxes are needed.

4.4 Exercises

4.4.1. This is a question about finding Euler paths. Draw a graph with a vertex in each state, and connect vertices if their states share a border. Exactly two vertices will have odd degree: the vertices for Nevada and Utah. Thus you must start your road trip at in one of those states and end it in the other.

4.4.2.

- (a) K_4 does not have an Euler path or circuit.
- (b) K_5 has an Euler circuit (so also an Euler path).
- (c) $K_{5,7}$ does not have an Euler path or circuit.
- (d) $K_{2,7}$ has an Euler path but not an Euler circuit.
- (e) *C*⁷ has an Euler circuit (it is a circuit graph!)
- (f) P_7 has an Euler path but no Euler circuit.

4.4.4. When *n* is odd, K_n contains an Euler circuit. This is because every vertex has degree n - 1, so an odd *n* results in all degrees being even.

4.4.5. If both *m* and *n* are even, then $K_{m,n}$ has an Euler circuit. When both are odd, there is no Euler path or circuit. If one is 2 and the other is odd, then there is an Euler path but not an Euler circuit.

4.4.6. All values of n. In particular, K_n contains C_n as a subgroup, which is a cycle that includes every vertex.

4.4.7. As long as $|m - n| \le 1$, the graph $K_{m,n}$ will have a Hamilton path. To have a Hamilton cycle, we must have m = n.

4.4.8. If we build one bridge, we can have an Euler path. Two bridges must be built for an Euler circuit.



4.4.9. We are looking for a Hamiltonian cycle, and this graph does have one:



4.5 Exercises

4.5.1. The first and third graphs have a matching, shown in bold (there are other matchings as well). The middle graph does not have a matching. If you look at the three circled vertices, you see that they only have two neighbors, which violates the matching condition $|N(S)| \ge |S|$ (the three circled vertices form the set *S*).



4.6 CHAPTER REVIEW

4.6.1. The first and the third graphs are the same (try dragging vertices around to make the pictures match up), but the middle graph is different (which you can see, for example, by noting that the middle graph has only one vertex of degree 2, while the others have two such vertices).

4.6.2. The first (and third) graphs contain an Euler path. All the graphs are planar.

4.6.3. For example, *K*₅.

4.6.4. For example, *K*_{3,3}.

4.6.5. Yes. According to Euler's formula it would have 2 faces. It does. The only such graph is C_{10} .

4.6.6.

- (a) Only if $n \ge 6$ and is even.
- (b) None.
- (c) 12. Such a graph would have $\frac{5n}{2}$ edges. If the graph is planar, then $n \frac{5n}{2} + f = 2$ so there would be $\frac{4+3n}{2}$ faces. Also, we must have $3f \le 2e$, since the graph is simple. So we must have $3\left(\frac{4+3n}{2}\right) \le 5n$. Solving for *n* gives $n \ge 12$.

4.6.7.

- (a) There were 24 couples: 6 choices for the girl and 4 choices for the boy.
- (b) There were 45 couples: $\binom{10}{2}$ since we must choose two of the 10 people to dance together.
- (c) For part (a), we are counting the number of edges in $K_{4,6}$. In part (b) we count the edges of K_{10} .

4.6.8. Yes, as long as *n* is even. If *n* were odd, then corresponding graph would have an odd number of odd degree vertices, which is impossible.

4.6.9.

- (a) No. The 9 triangles each contribute 3 edges, and the 6 pentagons contribute 5 edges. This gives a total of 57, which is exactly twice the number of edges, since each edge borders exactly 2 faces. But 57 is odd, so this is impossible.
- (b) Now adding up all the edges of all the 16 polygons gives a total of 64, meaning there would be 32 edges in the polyhedron. We can then use Euler's formula v e + f = 2 to deduce that there must be 18 vertices.
- (c) If you add up all the vertices from each polygon separately, we get a total of 64. This is not divisible by 3, so it cannot be that each vertex belongs to exactly 3 faces. Could they all belong to 4 faces? That would mean there were 64/4 = 16 vertices, but we know from Euler's formula that there must be 18 vertices. We can write 64 = 3x + 4y and solve for *x* and *y* (as integers). We get that there must be 10 vertices with degree 4 and 8 with degree 3. (Note the number of faces joined at a vertex is equal to its degree in graph theoretic terms.)

4.6.10. No. Every polyhedron can be represented as a planar graph, and the Four Color Theorem says that every planar graph has chromatic number at most 4.

4.6.11. $K_{n,n}$ has n^2 edges. The graph will have an Euler circuit when n is even. The graph will be planar only when n < 3.

4.6.12. *G* has 8 edges (since the sum of the degrees is 16). If *G* is planar, then it will have 4 faces (since 6 - 8 + 4 = 2). *G* does not have an Euler path since there are more than 2 vertices of odd degree.

4.6.13. 7 colors. Thus *K*₇ is not planar (by the contrapositive of the Four Color Theorem).

4.6.14. The chromatic number of $K_{3,4}$ is 2, since the graph is bipartite. You cannot say whether the graph is planar based on this coloring (the converse of the Four Color Theorem is not true). In fact, the graph is *not* planar, since it contains $K_{3,3}$ as a subgraph.

4.6.15. For all these questions, we are really coloring the vertices of a graph. You get the graph by first drawing a planar representation of the polyhedron and then taking its planar dual: put a vertex in the center of each face (including the outside) and connect two vertices if their faces share an edge.

- (a) Since the planar dual of a dodecahedron contains a 5-wheel, it's chromatic number is at least 4. Alternatively, suppose you could color the faces using 3 colors without any two adjacent faces colored the same. Take any face and color it blue. The 5 pentagons bordering this blue pentagon cannot be colored blue. Color the first one red. Its two neighbors (adjacent to the blue pentagon) get colored green. The remaining 2 cannot be blue or green, but also cannot both be red since they are adjacent to each other. Thus a 4th color is needed.
- (b) The planar dual of the dodecahedron is itself a planar graph. Thus by the 4-color theorem, it can be colored using only 4 colors without two adjacent vertices (corresponding to the faces of the polyhedron) being colored identically.
- (c) The cube can be properly 3-colored. Color the "top" and "bottom" red, the "front" and "back" blue, and the "left" and "right" green.

4.6.16. *G* has 13 edges, since we need 7 − *e* + 8 = 2. **4.6.17.**

- (a) The graph does have an Euler path, but not an Euler circuit. There are exactly two vertices with odd degree. The path starts at one and ends at the other.
- (b) The graph is planar. Even though as it is drawn edges cross, it is easy to redraw it without edges crossing.
- (c) The graph is not bipartite (there is an odd cycle), nor complete.
- (d) The chromatic number of the graph is 3.

- (a) False. For example, $K_{3,3}$ is not planar.
- (b) True. The graph is bipartite so it is possible to divide the vertices into two groups with no edges between vertices in the same group. Thus we can color all the vertices of one group red and the other group blue.
- (c) False. $K_{3,3}$ has 6 vertices with degree 3, so contains no Euler path.
- (d) False. $K_{3,3}$ again.
- (e) False. The sum of the degrees of all vertices is even for *all* graphs so this property does not imply that the graph is bipartite.

4.6.19.

- (a) If a graph has an Euler path, then it is planar.
- (b) If a graph does not have an Euler path, then it is not planar.
- (c) There is a graph which is planar and does not have an Euler path.
- (d) Yes. In fact, in this case it is because the original statement is false.
- (e) False. K_4 is planar but does not have an Euler path.
- (f) False. K_5 has an Euler path but is not planar.

5.1 Exercises

5.1.1.

(a)
$$\frac{4}{1-x}$$
.
(b) $\frac{2}{(1-x)^2}$.
(c) $\frac{2x^3}{(1-x)^2}$.
(d) $\frac{1}{1-5x}$.
(e) $\frac{1}{1+3x}$.
(f) $\frac{1}{1-5x^2}$.
(g) $\frac{x}{(1-x^3)^2}$.

5.1.2.

- (a) $0, 4, 4, 4, 4, 4, \ldots$
- (b) 1, 4, 16, 64, 256,
- (c) $0, 1, -1, 1, -1, 1, -1, \ldots$
- (d) $0, 3, -6, 9, -12, 15, -18, \ldots$
- (e) 1, 3, 6, 9, 12, 15,

- (a) The second derivative of $\frac{1}{1-x}$ is $\frac{2}{(1-x)^3}$ which expands to $2 + 6x + 12x^2 + 20x^3 + 30x^4 + \cdots$. Dividing by 2 gives the generating function for the triangular numbers.
- (b) Compute A xA and you get $1 + 2x + 3x^2 + 4x^3 + \cdots$ which can be written as $\frac{1}{(1-x)^2}$. Solving for A gives the correct generating function.
- (c) The triangular numbers are the sum of the first *n* numbers 1, 2, 3, 4, To get the sequence of partial sums, we multiply by $\frac{1}{1-x}$. So this gives the correct generating function again.

5.1.4. Call the generating function A. Compute $A - xA = 4 + x + 2x^2 + 3x^3 + 4x^4 + \cdots$. Thus $A - xA = 4 + \frac{x}{(1-x)^2}$.

Solving for *A* gives $\frac{4}{1-x} + \frac{x}{(1-x)^3}$. 5.1.5. $\frac{1+2x}{1-3x+x^2}$.

5.1.6. Compute $A - xA - x^2A$ and the solve for A. The generating function will be $\frac{x}{1 - x - x^2}$.

5.1.7.
$$\frac{x}{(1-x)(1-x-x^2)}.$$

5.1.8.
$$\frac{2}{1-5x} + \frac{7}{1+3x}.$$

5.1.9.
$$a_n = 3 \cdot 4^{n-1} + 1.$$

5.1.10. Hint: you should "multiply" the two sequences. Answer: 158.

5.1.11. Starting with $\frac{1}{1-x} = 1 + x + x^2 + x^3 + \cdots$, we can take derivatives of both sides, given $\frac{1}{(1-x)^2} = 1 + 2x + 3x^2 + \cdots$. By the definition of generating functions, this says that $\frac{1}{(1-x)^2}$ generates the *sequence* 1, 2, 3.... You can also find this using differencing or by multiplying.

5.1.12.

- (a) $\frac{1}{(1-r^2)^2}$.
- (b) $\frac{1}{(1+x)^2}$.
- (c) $\frac{3x}{(1-x)^2}$.
- (d) $\frac{3x}{(1-x)^3}$. (partial sums).

5.1.13.

- (a) 0, 0, 1, 1, 2, 3, 5, 8,
- (b) $1, 0, 1, 0, 2, 0, 3, 0, 5, 0, 8, 0, \ldots$
- (c) 1, 3, 18, 81, 405,
- (d) 1, 2, 4, 7, 12, 20,

5.1.14. $\frac{1}{1+2x}$. **5.1.15.** $\frac{x^3}{(1-x)^2} + \frac{1}{1-x}$. **5.1.16.**

(a) $(1-x)A = 3 + 2x + 4x^2 + 6x^3 + \cdots$ which is almost right. We can fix it like this: $2 + 4x + 6x^2 + \cdots = \frac{(1-x)A-3}{x}$.

(b) We know
$$2 + 4x + 6x^3 + \dots = \frac{2}{(1-x)^2}$$

(c)
$$A = \frac{2x}{(1-x)^3} + \frac{3}{1-x} = \frac{3-4x+3x^2}{(1-x)^3}.$$

5.2 Exercises

5.2.1.

Proof. Suppose $a \mid b$. Then b is a multiple of a, or in other words, b = ak for some k. But then bc = akc, and since kc is an integer, this says bc is a multiple of a. In other words, $a \mid bc$. QED

5.2.2.

Proof. Assume $a \mid b$ and $a \mid c$. This means that b and c are both multiples of a, so b = am and c = an for integers m and n. Then b + c = am + an = a(m + n), so b + c is a multiple of a, or equivalently, $a \mid b + c$. Similarly, b - c = am - an = a(m - n), so b - c is a multiple of a, which is to say $a \mid b - c$. QED

5.2.3. {..., -8, -4, 0, 4, 8, 12, ...}, {..., -7, -3, 1, 5, 9, 13, ...}, {..., -6, -2, 2, 6, 10, 14, ...}, and {..., -5, -1, 3, 7, 11, 15, ...}. **5.2.4.**

Proof. Assume $a \equiv b \pmod{n}$ and $c \equiv d \pmod{n}$. This means a = b + kn and c = d + jn for some integers k and j. Consider a - c. We have:

$$a - c = b + kn - (d + jn) = b - d + (k - j)n.$$

In other words, a - c is b - d more than some multiple of n, so $a - c \equiv b - d \pmod{n}$. QED

5.2.5.

- (a) $3^{456} \equiv 1^{456} = 1 \pmod{2}$.
- (b) $3^{456} = 9^{228} \equiv (-1)^{228} = 1 \pmod{5}$.
- (c) $3^{456} = 9^{228} \equiv 2^{228} \equiv 8^{76} \equiv 1^{76} \equiv 1 \pmod{7}$.
- (d) $3^{456} = 9^{228} \equiv 0^{228} \equiv 0 \pmod{9}$.

5.2.6. For all of these, just plug in all integers between 0 and the modulus to see which, if any, work.

- (a) No solutions.
- (b) x = 3.
- (c) x = 2, x = 5, x = 8.
- (d) No solutions.
- (e) No solutions.

(f) x = 3.

5.2.7.

- (a) x = 5 + 22k for $k \in \mathbb{Z}$.
- (b) x = 4 + 5k for $k \in \mathbb{Z}$.
- (c) x = 6 + 15k for $k \in \mathbb{Z}$.
- (d) First reduce each number modulo 9, which can be done by adding up the digits of the numbers. Answer: x = 2 + 9k for $k \in \mathbb{Z}$.

5.2.8. We must solve $7x + 5 \equiv 2 \pmod{11}$. This gives $x \equiv 9 \pmod{11}$. In general, x = 9 + 11k, but when you divide any such x by 11, the remainder will be 9.

5.2.9.

- (a) Divide through by 2: 3x + 5y = 16. Convert to a congruence, modulo 3: $5y \equiv 16 \pmod{3}$, which reduces to $2y \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$. So $y \equiv 2 \pmod{3}$ or y = 2 + 3k. Plug this back into 3x + 5y = 16 and solve for x, to get x = 2 5k. So the general solution is x = 2 5k and y = 2 + 3k for $k \in \mathbb{Z}$.
- (b) x = 7 + 8k and y = -11 17k for $k \in \mathbb{Z}$.
- (c) x = -4 47k and y = 3 + 35k for $k \in \mathbb{Z}$.

5.2.10. First, solve the Diophantine equation 13x + 20y = 2. The general solution is x = -6 - 20k and y = 4 + 13k. Now if k = 0, this correspond to filling the 20 oz. bottle 4 times, and emptying the 13 oz. bottle 6 times, which would require 80 oz. of water. Increasing k would require considerably more water. Perhaps k = -1 would be better? Then we would have x = -6 + 20 = 14 and y = 4 - 13 = -11, which describes the solution where we fill the 13 oz. bottle 14 times, and empty the 20 oz. bottle 11 times. This would require 182 oz. of water. Thus the most efficient procedure is to repeatedly fill the 20 oz bottle, emptying it into the 13 oz bottle, and discarding full 13 oz. bottles, which requires 80 oz. of water.

List of Symbols

Symbol	Description	Page
P, Q, R, S,	propositional (sentential) variables	3
\wedge	logical "and" (conjunction)	3
\vee	logical "or" (disjunction)	3
-	logical negation	4
Э	existential quantifier	9
\forall	universal quantifier	9
Ø	the empty set	13
U	universal set (domain of discourse)	13
\mathbb{N}	the set of natural numbers	13
\mathbb{Z}	the set of integers	13
Q	the set of rational numbers	13
R	the set of real numbers	13
$\mathcal{P}(A)$	the power set of <i>A</i>	13
{,}	braces, to contain set elements.	13
:	"such that"	13
E	"is an element of"	13
\subseteq	"is a subset of"	13
C	"is a proper subset of"	13
\cap	set intersection	14
U	set union	14
×	Cartesian product	14
\	set difference	14
\overline{A}	the complement of A	14
A	cardinality (size) of A	14
$A \times B$	the Cartesian product of A and B	17
$f^{-1}(y)$	the complete inverse image of y under f .	24
\mathbf{B}^n	the set of length <i>n</i> bit strings	38
\mathbf{B}_k^n	the set of legth n bit strings with weight k .	38
$(a_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$	the sequence a_0, a_1, a_2, \ldots	78
T_n	the n th triangular number	81
F_n	the <i>n</i> th Fibonacci number	82
Δ^k	the <i>k</i> th differences of a sequence	92
P(n)	the n th case we are trying to prove by induction	102
42	the ultimate answer to life, etc.	102
.:.	"therefore"	113
K_n	the complete graph on n vertices	141
K_n	the complete graph on n vertices.	142
$K_{m,n}$	the complete bipartite graph of of m and n vertices.	143
C_n	the cycle on <i>n</i> vertices	143
P_n	the path on <i>n</i> vertices	143
$\chi(G)$	the chromatic number of <i>G</i>	152
$\Delta(G)$	the maximum degree in G	155
	(Conti	nued on next page)

Symbol	Description	Page
$\chi'(G)$	the chromatic index of G	156
N(S)	the set of neighbors of <i>S</i> .	163

INDEX

 Δ^k -constant, 92 *k*-permutation, 46 *modus ponens*, 118

additive principle, 29, 32 adjacent, 135, 143 argument, 113 arithmetic sequence, 83

biconditional, 4 bijection, 24 binomial coefficients, 41 binomial identity, 51 bipartite, 141, 143 bit string, 38 bow ties, 35, 72, 73, 202 Brooks' Theorem, 155

Canadians, 106 cardinality, 14, 15 Cartesian product, 17, 32 cases, 128 characteristic equation, 99 characteristic roots, 98, 99 chromatic index, 156 chromatic number, 143, 152 clique, 155 closed formula, 79 codomain, 20 coloring, 152 combination, 47 complement, 16 complete graph, 141, 143 composition, 27 conclusion, 113 conditional, 3 congruence, 179 conjunction, 3 connected, 141, 143 connectives, 3 and, 3 if and only if, 4 implies, 3 not, 4 or, 3 contradiction, 126 contrapositive, 6 proof by, 125 converse, 6 convex, 149 counterexample, 127

cube, 148 cycle, 143 De Morgan's laws, 116 deduction rule, 118 degree, 143 derangement, 65 difference, of sets, 16 Diophantine equation, 185 direct proof, 125 disjoint, 29 disjunction, 3 divides, 177 divisibility relation, 177 Division algorithm, 178 Doctor Who, 12 dodecahedron, 150 domain, 20 double negation, 117 edges, 135 empty set, 13 Euler path, 144 Euler's formula, 146 existential quantifier, 9 faces, 146 factorial, 45 Fibonacci sequence, 79, 82, 94, 95, 99 finite differences, 93 Four Color Theorem, 153 function, 20 gcd, 182 geometric sequence, 84 girth, 148 Goldbach conjecture, 131 graph, 143 greatest commond divisor, 182 Hall's Marriage Theorem, 164 Hamilton path, 160 Hanoi, 77 icosahedron, 150 if and only if, 4 if..., then..., 3 implication, 3 inclusive or, 4 induced subgraph, 140 induction, 101, 103

inductive hypothesis, 103 injection, 23 integers, 13 intersection, 14, 16 inverse image, 24 isomorphic, 138 isomorphism, 138 isomorphism class, 139 iteration, 97 Königsberg, 135 lattice path, 39 law of logic, 121 logical equivalence, 116 logically valid, see law of logic magic chocolate bunnies, 112 matching, 163 matching condition, 163 mod, 179 modular arithmetic, 181 modulo *n*, 179 monochromatic, 156 multigraph, 141, 144 multiplicative principle, 30, 33 natural numbers, 13 necessary condition, 8 negation, 4 neighbors, 163 NP-complete, 160 octahedron, 150 one-to-one, 23 onto, 22 partial sums, 86 Pascal's triangle, 42 perfect graph, 155 permutation, 46 Petersen graph, 151 PIE, 33 Pigeonhole principle, 127 planar, 144, 145 Platonic solids, 148 polyhedron, 149 polynomial fitting, 91 power set, 13, 15 premises, 113 prime numbers, 108, 124 principle of inclusion/exclusion, 33 product notation, 89

proof by cases, 128 proof by contradiction, 126 proof by contrapositive, 125 proposition, 114 quantifiers, 9 exists, 9 for all, 9 Ramsey theory, 156 range, 20 rationals, 13 reals, 13 recurrence relation, 79 recursive definition, 79 reference, self, see self reference self reference, see reference, self sequence, 78 set, 12 set difference, 16 Sigma notation, 89 stars and bars, 57 statement, 3 subgraph, 140, 144 induced, 140 subset, 14 sufficient condition, 8 summation notation, 89 surjection, 22 tautology, 116 telescoping, 96 tetrahedron, 150 Tower of Hanoi, 77 tree, 144 triangular numbers, 81, 85 truth table, 114 truth value, 3 union, 14, 16 universal quantifier, 9 valid, 113 Venn diagram, 18, 33 vertex coloring, 144, 152 vertices, 135 Vizing's Theorem, 156 walk, 144 weight, of a string, 38 word, 29

This book was authored in MathBook XML.